TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey (TROSS)

Provider Region South

Annual Report

May 2008 - April 2009

TRICARE Management Activity
Health Program Analysis and Evaluation
5111 Leesburg Pike, Five Skyline Place
Falls Church, VA 22041

Prepared by



Altarum Institute Ann Arbor, MI / Alexandria, VA



Synovate Public Sector and Healthcare Services Research Group Chicago, IL / Falls Church, VA

Contract Number: W81XWH-08-D-0023 DOD#0003

Purchased Care Provider Region South Table of Contents

Section 1	Executive Summary and Key Findings	1
Ex	ecutive Summary	3
	ecutive Summary Table: MHS Wide	
	ecutive Summary Table: Purchased Care	
	ecutive Summary Table: Direct Care	
Section 2	Driver Analysis	1
Section 3	Introduction to the Methods	1
Pu	rpose of the TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey	3
	rvey Methods	
Su	rvey Instrument	3
Co	mposite Measures	4
Civ	rilian Benchmark Survey	5
Pre	esentation of Results and Statistical Testing	5
Ke	y Item Correlations to Composites	6
Ho	w to Read the Annual Report	7
Ca	veats to Annual Reports	8
Section 4	Provider Region South Report Tables	1
CA	HPS Composite 1: Access to Care	2
Q1		
Q6	• • • •	
Q3		
Q3		
Q3	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Q3		
- • -	HPS Composite 2: Doctors Communicate	
Q2	·	
Q2		
Q2		
Q3		
	HPS Composite 3: Office Staff9	
Q2		
DC	DD Composite 1: Feelings Toward MHS11	
Q3		
Q3		
Q3		
Q2	· ·	
DC	DD Composite 2: Mental Health Care15	
Appendix	A Methodology Report	1
Г.	aleman un d	_
ва	ckground	3
	erview of the TROSS Program	
	OSS Survey Methods	
	onth of Purchased Care Sample by Provider Region and USFHPrvev Instrument	

Data Collection	6
TROSS Mail Timeline	7
TROSS 2009 Purchased Care Mail Fielding Schedule	
Data Processing	8
Response Rates	9
Weighting	12
Civilian Benchmark Survey	12
Composite Scores	13
Appendix B Civilian Benchmark Survey	1
	_
Civilian Benchmark Sample	3
Civilian Benchmark Instruments	3
Calculation of Civilian Benchmark Scores	3
Civilian Benchmark Allocation Parameters	5
Appendix C Survey Instrument	1
Adult Version of the Survey Instrument	1
Child Version of the Survey Instrument	7
•	

Section 1:

Executive Summary and Key Findings

Executive Summary and Key Findings

Executive Summary

The TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey (TROSS) reports on experiences of beneficiaries who receive outpatient care from the Military Health System Direct Care (DC) military treatment facilities (MTFs) and through its civilian network of providers, also known as Purchased Care (PC).

The survey includes questions from the Clinician and Group Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (C&G CAHPS ®) survey instrument where adults and sponsors of children are asked about either their or their child's recent experiences as an outpatient, specifically focusing on: (1) access to care, (2) doctor or provider communication and courtesy, (3) effectiveness of the clerks/receptionists at the doctor's office, and (4) overall satisfaction with TRICARE. Administration guidelines follow the most current instructions from the CAHPS Quality Assurance Guidelines.

The 2009 Purchased Care system had 38.1 million encounters. The 2009 Purchased Care sample consisted of 219,050 TRICARE beneficiaries receiving care at an MHS civilian provider. Of the total number sampled, 57,675 responded to the mail survey for an overall Purchased Care AAPOR response rate of 28.0%. The Purchase Care report is broken out by provider region and includes further breakouts by military treatment facility service areas.

This report summarizes the satisfaction of beneficiaries who received care in Provider Region South between May 1, 2008 and April 30, 2009. Statistical comparisons to the 2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark are provided. For Provider Region South, 89,064 beneficiaries received a survey and 23,332 beneficiaries responded. The AAPOR response rate for Provider Region South was 27.8%. Details on the full survey are included in Appendix A: Methodology Report.

The 2009 Civilian Benchmark is derived from a random sample selected from Synovate's Consumer Opinion Panel, which is a cross-section of American households. The panel members complete the same questionnaire used on the TROSS. The results of the Civilian Benchmark survey are adjusted to match the beneficiary population in terms of gender, age, and type of care.

Highlights of Results

Military Health System Overall: For both of the key indicators of satisfaction (*Overall rating of health care* and *Overall rating of provider*) the MHS is significantly different from the MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark. Fifty percent (50%) of MHS beneficiaries rated their health care with a 9 or 10, compared with 53% of Civilian respondents rating their overall health care with a 9 or 10. Eight-six percent (86%) of MHS beneficiaries rated their provider with an 8, 9, or 10, compared with Civilian respondents, of whom 83% rated their provider with an 8, 9, or 10. MHS satisfaction is significantly higher than MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark for all CAHPS Composites: *Access to Care, Doctors Communicate*, and *Office Staff.* MHS satisfaction is also significantly higher than the MHS Civilian Benchmark for *Timely appt for routine care* and *Talk about prescriptions*. Between Direct and Purchased Care networks, Direct Care beneficiaries are less satisfied with their care. Among beneficiary categories, Active Duty are the least satisfied and Retirees and Family Members 65+ are the most satisfied in their outpatient care. Overall, MHS Wide outpatient satisfaction scores increased from 2008 to 2009 (46% to 50%).

Purchased Care Results: For both of the key indicators of satisfaction (*Overall rating of health care* and *Overall rating of provider*) the Purchased Care network is significantly higher than the Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark. Sixty percent (60%) of Purchased Care beneficiaries rated their health care with a 9 or 10, compared with Civilian respondents, of whom 58% rated their overall health care with a 9 or 10. Eighty-nine percent (89%) of Purchased Care beneficiaries rated their provider with an 8, 9, or 10, compared with Civilian respondents, of whom 87% rated their provider with an 8, 9, or 10. This trend is consistent across the Provider Regions and the USFHP. Purchased Care satisfaction is significantly higher than Civilian Benchmark for all CAHPS Composites and Balanced Scorecard questions. This pattern is also consistent across the Provider Regions and the USFHP. Purchased Care satisfaction is significantly higher than the Civilians (67% vs. 63%) for *Talk about prescriptions*. Among beneficiary categories, Retirees and Family Members 65+ are the most satisfied in their outpatient care. Overall, the Purchased Care outpatient satisfaction scores increased from 2008 to 2009 (56% to 60%).

Provider Region South Results: Similar to Purchased Care beneficiaries, for both of the key indicators of satisfaction (*Overall rating of health care* and *Overall rating of provider*) the Provider Region South is significantly higher than the Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark. Sixtyone percent (61%) of Provider Region South beneficiaries rated their health care with a 9 or 10, compared with Civilian respondents, of whom 58% rated their overall health care with a 9 or 10. Eighty-nine percent (89%) of Provider Region South beneficiaries rated their provider with an 8, 9, or 10, compared with Civilian respondents, of whom 87% rated their provider with an 8, 9, or 10. Provider Region South satisfaction is significantly higher than Civilian Benchmark for all CAHPS Composites, as well as for *Doctor knew your important medical hx*, *Timely appt for routine care*, and *Get results on test or X-ray*. Provider Region South beneficiary satisfaction is significantly higher than the Civilians (67% vs. 63%) for *Talk about prescriptions*.

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey

MHS Wide 2009 Report - May 2008 through April 2009 Encounters

1						1	Ratirons &	Ratirons &		
MHS Wide						Active Duty			MHS Wide	MHS Wide
	Direct	Purchased	Primary	Specialty	Active		,	,		2008
Overall	Care	Care	Care	Care		- 1	Under 65	65+	Benchmark ¹	Overall
50%	33%	60%	52%	48%	27%	38%	51%	72%	53%	46%
86%	78%	89%	85%	86%	77%	79%	87%	93%	83%	84%
700/	EE0/	769/	700/	710/	E 00/	650/	720/	700/	670/	70%
										83%
										81%
03%	12%	00%	0170	04%	1270	74%	04%	92%	70%	01%
57%	43%	63%	58%	56%	37%	43%	55%	75%	N/A	53%
66%	58%	71%	66%	66%	57%	59%	68%	82%	N/A	64%
1 1		1				1				
										92%
										66%
										75%
										78%
76%	60%	83%	76%	77%	63%	66%	77%	85%	75%	74%
80%	65%	86%	80%	79%	66%	71%	81%	90%	N/A	N/A
										N/A
										N/A
										N/A
										N/A
										N/A
										N/A
										N/A
	MHS Wide 2009 Overall 50% 86% 84% 83%	MHS Wide 2009 Direct Care 50% 33% 86% 78% 70% 55% 84% 79% 83% 72% 57% 43% 66% 58% 93% 83% 72% 70% 54% 77% 65% 79% 61% 76% 60% 80% 65% 20% 20% 20% 45% 24% 32% 4% 3% 86% 80% 86% 80% 86% 82%	2009 Overall Direct Care Purchased Care 50% 33% 60% 86% 78% 89% 70% 55% 76% 84% 79% 87% 83% 72% 88% 57% 43% 63% 66% 58% 71% 93% 83% 97% 70% 54% 79% 77% 65% 82% 76% 60% 83% 80% 65% 86% 20% 20% 20% 52% 45% 55% 24% 32% 20% 4% 3% 5% 86% 80% 88% 86% 80% 88%	MHS Wide 2009 Overall Direct Care Purchased Care Primary Care 50% 33% 60% 52% 86% 78% 89% 85% 70% 55% 76% 70% 84% 79% 87% 84% 83% 72% 88% 81% 57% 43% 63% 58% 66% 58% 71% 66% 93% 83% 97% 92% 70% 54% 79% 72% 70% 54% 79% 72% 76% 60% 82% 76% 76% 60% 83% 76% 80% 65% 86% 80% 20% 20% 26% 52% 45% 55% 53% 24% 32% 20% 18% 4% 3% 5% 3% 86% 80% 88% 86% 86% 80% 88%	MHS Wide 2009 Overall Direct Care Purchased Care Primary Care Specialty Care 50% 33% 60% 52% 48% 86% 78% 89% 85% 86% 70% 55% 76% 70% 71% 84% 79% 87% 84% 85% 83% 72% 88% 81% 84% 57% 43% 63% 58% 56% 66% 58% 71% 66% 66% 70% 54% 79% 72% 68% 77% 65% 82% 76% 77% 79% 61% 86% 79% 80% 76% 60% 83% 76% 77% 80% 65% 86% 80% 79% 20% 20% 26% 13% 52% 45% 55% 53% 49% 24% 32% 20% 18% 32% 4%<	MHS Wide 2009 Overall Direct Care Purchased Care Primary Care Specialty Care Active Duty 50% 33% 60% 52% 48% 27% 86% 78% 89% 85% 86% 77% 70% 55% 76% 70% 71% 58% 84% 79% 87% 84% 85% 78% 83% 72% 88% 81% 84% 72% 57% 43% 63% 58% 56% 37% 66% 58% 71% 66% 66% 57% 93% 83% 97% 92% 94% 86% 70% 54% 79% 72% 68% 48% 77% 65% 82% 76% 77% 65% 79% 61% 86% 79% 80% 63% 76% 60% 83% 76% 77% 63% 80% 65% 86% 80%	MHS Wide 2009 Overall Direct Care Purchased Care Primary Care Specialty Care Active Duty Active Family Members 50% 33% 60% 52% 48% 27% 38% 86% 78% 89% 85% 86% 77% 79% 70% 55% 76% 70% 71% 58% 65% 84% 79% 87% 84% 85% 78% 80% 83% 72% 88% 81% 84% 72% 74% 57% 43% 63% 58% 56% 37% 43% 66% 58% 71% 66% 66% 57% 59% 93% 83% 97% 92% 94% 86% 87% 70% 54% 79% 72% 68% 48% 60% 77% 65% 82% 76% 77% 65% 68% 79% 61% 86% 79% 80% 63%	MHS Wide 2009 Direct Care Purchased Care Primary Care Specialty Care Active Duty Duty Retirees & Family Members Family Members Members Family Members Members Under 65 50% 33% 60% 52% 48% 27% 38% 51% 86% 78% 89% 85% 86% 77% 79% 87% 84% 79% 87% 84% 85% 78% 80% 86% 83% 72% 88% 81% 84% 72% 74% 84% 57% 43% 63% 58% 56% 37% 43% 55% 66% 58% 71% 66% 66% 57% 59% 68% 93% 83% 97% 92% 94% 86% 87% 95% 66% 58% 71% 66% 66% 57% 59% 68% 70% 54% 79% 72% 68% 48% 60%	MHS Wide 2009 Overall Direct Care Purchased Care Primary Care Specialty Care Active Duty Retirees & Family Family Members Under 65 Retirees & Family Members Under 65 Family Members Under 65 Family Members Under 65 Members Under 65 Retirees & Family Members Under 65 Family Members Under 65 Family Members Under 65 72% 86% 72% 86% 77% 79% 87% 93% 70% 55% 76% 70% 71% 58% 65% 72% 78% 84% 79% 87% 84% 85% 78% 80% 86% 89% 57% 43% 63% 58% 56% 37% 43% 55% 75% 66% 58% 71% 66% 66% 57% 59% 68% 82% 93% 83% 97% 92% 94% 86% 87% 95% 98% 57% 58% 66% 57% 59% 68% 82% 93% 83% 97% 92%	MHS Wide 2009 Direct Care Purchased Primary Care Care Duty Members Members

¹ Numbers in bold represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the 2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark score

² All rating question scales are 0-10, 10 = best possible score. Overall rating of health care is reported using two methods to calculate the score. Under the Overall Satisfaction section, this rating question score is calculated using the CAHPS method by combining the percentage of individuals who rated health care 9 or 10. For the Balanced Scorecard section, this rating question is calculated using a combined percentage of individuals who rated health care with 8 or higher.

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey

Purchased Care 2009 Report - May 2008 through April 2009 Encounters

Purchased Care 2009 Report - May 2008 t	Purchased Care 2009 Overall	North	South	West	USFHP	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees & Family Members Under 65	Retirees & Family Members 65+	Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark ¹	Purchased Care 2008 Overall
Overall Satisfaction											
Overall rating of health care ²	60%	59%	61%	59%	72%	32%	45%	53%	72%	58%	56%
Overall rating of provider	89%	89%	89%	89%	92%	82%	82%	88%	93%	87%	88%
CAHPS Composites		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Access to Care	76%	78%	75%	76%	78%	72%	74%	75%	79%	71%	76%
Doctors Communicate	87%	88%	87%	87%	88%	83%	83%	87%	89%	84%	87%
Office Staff	88%	88%	88%	88%	89%	84%	80%	86%	92%	80%	87%
DoD Composites											
Feelings Toward MHS	63%	61%	64%	63%	74%	40%	46%	55%	75%	N/A	59%
Mental Health Care	71%	72%	70%	72%	73%	57%	60%	68%	83%	N/A	70%
Wentar realth Care	7 1 70	12/0	7070	12/0	7370	31 70	0070	00 /0	0370	IN/A	7070
Balanced Scorecard											
Ease making appt by phone	97%	97%	97%	97%	97%	94%	93%	97%	98%	96%	96%
Overall rating of health care ²	79%	79%	80%	79%	87%	54%	66%	75%	89%	79%	75%
Doctor knew your important medical hx	82%	83%	82%	81%	85%	73%	74%	81%	86%	79%	81%
Timely appt for routine care	86%	87%	87%	85%	90%	80%	82%	85%	89%	81%	87%
Get results on test or X-ray	83%	82%	83%	82%	86%	77%	74%	81%	86%	78%	81%
DoD Wide		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Saw my provider when needed	86%	86%	87%	85%	91%	75%	80%	83%	91%	N/A	N/A
# days from appt to visit (Same day)	20%	22%	20%	19%	20%	18%	30%	19%	18%	N/A	N/A
# days from appt to visit (1 to 7 days)	55%	52%	56%	55%	58%	52%	47%	55%	57%	N/A	N/A
# days from appt to visit (8 to 30 days)	20%	21%	19%	21%	18%	27%	19%	21%	19%	N/A	N/A
# days from appt to visit (31 days +)	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	3%	5%	5%	N/A	N/A
Overall satisfaction of care	88%	88%	88%	88%	92%	84%	85%	87%	90%	N/A	N/A
Take prescription medicine	88%	88%	90%	86%	92%	83%	81%	90%	90%	N/A	N/A
Talk about prescriptions	67%	67%	67%	66%	70%	67%	68%	69%	66%	63%	N/A

¹ Numbers in bold represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the 2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark score

² All rating question scales are 0-10, 10 = best possible score. Overall rating of health care is reported using two methods to calculate the score. Under the Overall Satisfaction section, this rating question score is calculated using the CAHPS method by combining the percentage of individuals who rated health care 9 or 10. For the Balanced Scorecard section, this rating question is calculated using a combined percentage of individuals who rated health care with 8 or higher.

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey

Direct Care 2009 Report - May 2008 through April 2009 Encounters

Direct Care 2009 Report - May 2000 timougi		oouiitoi						Retirees &	Retirees &		
	Direct Care						Active Duty	Family	Family	Direct Care	Direct Care
	2009					Active	Family	Members	Members	Civilian	2008
	Overall	Army	Navy	Air Force	OCONUS	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Benchmark ¹	Overall
Overall Satisfaction											
	220/	2.40/	220/	240/	240/	200/	240/	470/	C00/	4.40/	200/
Overall rating of health care 2	33%	34%	32%	31%	31%	26%	31%	47%	69%	44%	30%
Overall rating of provider	78%	79%	77%	78%	77%	76%	76%	84%	92%	77%	77%
CAHPS Composites											
Access to Care	55%	55%	55%	54%	60%	54%	52%	58%	70%	61%	56%
Doctors Communicate	79%	79%	79%	79%	79%	78%	77%	83%	89%	77%	78%
Office Staff	72%	72%	70%	73%	72%	70%	66%	80%	89%	70%	71%
									•		
DoD Composites	1										
Feelings Toward MHS	43%	45%	42%	42%	41%	36%	39%	53%	74%	N/A	41%
Mental Health Care	58%	57%	57%	62%	57%	57%	57%	64%	75%	N/A	56%
Balanced Scorecard											
Ease making appt by phone	83%	84%	83%	83%	84%	84%	79%	87%	92%	94%	83%
Overall rating of health care ²	54%	55%	55%	54%	53%	48%	53%	70%	87%	67%	52%
Doctor knew your important medical hx	65%	67%	65%	64%	66%	63%	61%	73%	82%	70%	64%
Timely appt for routine care	61%	61%	61%	61%	65%	60%	56%	65%	76%	69%	62%
Get results on test or X-ray	60%	62%	59%	57%	60%	60%	55%	62%	71%	69%	59%
DoD Wide											
Saw my provider when needed	65%	62%	67%	67%	69%	65%	60%	69%	80%	N/A	N/A
# days from appt to visit (Same day)	20%	22%	18%	18%	21%	21%	20%	15%	16%	N/A	N/A
# days from appt to visit (Same day) # days from appt to visit (1 to 7 days)	45%	42%	45%	48%	47%	45%	43%	46%	43%	N/A	N/A
	32%		33%	31%	28%	30%	33%		38%	N/A	N/A N/A
# days from appt to visit (8 to 30 days) # days from appt to visit (31 days +)	32%	32%	4%	2%	3%	3%	4%	36%	3%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
Overall satisfaction of care	80%	4% 80%	4% 81%	80%	83%	79%	80%	4% 84%	88%		N/A N/A
										N/A	
Take prescription medicine	82%	82%	80%	83%	80%	78%	79%	93%	93%	N/A	N/A
Talk about prescriptions	64%	65%	64%	63%	65%	62%	64%	67%	69%	61%	N/A

¹ Numbers in bold represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the 2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark score

² All rating question scales are 0-10, 10 = best possible score. Overall rating of health care is reported using two methods to calculate the score. Under the Overall Satisfaction section, this rating question score is calculated using the CAHPS method by combining the percentage of individuals who rated health care 9 or 10. For the Balanced Scorecard section, this rating question is calculated using a combined percentage of individuals who rated health care with 8 or higher.

This page intentionally left blank.

Section 2:

Driver Analysis


Driver Analysis

The following analyses show which factors have the greatest impact on overall satisfaction for the Provider Region South. The factors that would increase satisfaction the most (noted in blue) are referred to as <u>potential gain</u>. The top five factors for potential gain in the Provider Region South include:

- It feels like the Military Health System was designed just for me
- Overall ratings of TRICARE Prime
- I am a partner with my health care team. They know and care about improving my health.
- Overall ratings of TRICARE Standard, Extra, or TRICARE for Life benefits
- See provider within 15 minutes of your appointment time

The factors that would have the greatest loss in satisfaction (noted in red) are referred to as <u>potential</u> <u>loss</u>. The top five attributes for potential loss in the Provider Region South Driver Analysis are:

- Overall ratings of TRICARE Prime
- Overall ratings of TRICARE Standard, Extra, or TRICARE for Life benefits
- Overall ratings of provider
- I am a partner with my health care team. They know and care about improving my health.
- Ease of making appointment through the phone



The analysis used in the driver analysis is called attributable effects. It analyzes respondent answers between one question (the dependent variable) and other survey questions. This attributable effects model focuses specifically on levels of satisfaction and uses variable association to determine a causal or "driver" relationship. The attributable effects model graphically depicts this relationship according to two measures -- potential gain and potential loss. This driver analysis provides greater insights between Overall rating of health care and satisfaction among 24 questions on the TROSS.

- Potential Gain is determined by making individual comparisons between the dependent variable and each related key question. It addresses the following: of the respondents who are giving low ratings to Overall rating of health care, what proportion would switch to high ratings of overall health care if all respondents rated that driver question favorably? For example, of the respondents who rated overall health care with low ratings, what proportion would give higher ratings to overall health care if all respondents agreed with the question, See provider within 15 minutes of your appointment time? Each question is presented in rank order for potential gain in relation to Overall rating of health care. See provider within 15 minutes of your appointment time received a score of 31%. This indicates that 31% of those respondents who gave a low Overall rating of health care would switch to a high Overall rating of health care if their perceptions of this attribute were improved.
- Potential Loss is determined by making individual comparisons between the dependent variable and each related key question. It addresses the following: of the respondents who are giving high ratings to Overall rating of health care, what proportion would switch to low ratings of overall health care if all respondents were low on the driver? For example, of the respondents who rated overall health care with high ratings, what proportion would give lower ratings to overall health care if all respondents disagreed with the question, Ease of making appointment through the phone? Ease of making appointment through the phone received a score of 42%. This indicates that 42% of those respondents who gave a high Overall rating of health care would switch to a low Overall rating of health care if their perceptions of this attribute became poor.

Section 3:

Introduction to the Methods

Introduction to the Methods

Purpose of the TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey

The TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey (TROSS) is part of a stable of surveys the military health system (MHS) leadership uses to assess beneficiary satisfaction with health care services. The TROSS surveys beneficiaries who received outpatient care services at military treatment facilities (MTF) usually referred to as the Direct Care system and within the MHS network of civilian providers usually referred to as the Purchased Care system. The TROSS surveys DC beneficiaries worldwide and PC beneficiaries in the United States. Additionally, a Civilian Benchmark survey is conducted each quarter in which an external population is surveyed using survey instruments that are as similar as possible to the TROSS instruments to allow comparison of TRICARE beneficiary satisfaction to the civilian sector. Results are intended to assist with the continual military health system leadership's efforts to sustain and improve quality health care.

Results are made available primarily through an interactive Web site. The Web-based reports provide TRICARE regional offices, Services, and military treatment facility commanders with a comprehensive description of beneficiaries' satisfaction across TRICARE regions, across catchment areas, and with relevant Civilian Benchmarks. This report presents findings on 17 key questions: Overall Satisfaction, CAHPS Composites, DoD Composites, Balanced Scorecard, and DoD Wide questions.

Survey Methods

<u>Survey Population</u>: The population for the monthly TROSS Mail Survey includes beneficiaries who received outpatient medical services from the military health system's Direct Care or Purchased Care network between May 1, 2008 and April 30, 2009. The 2009 Direct Care network had 22.8 million encounters and the Direct Care sample consisted of 293,748 TRICARE beneficiaries receiving care at military treatment facilities. The 2009 Purchased Care system had 38.1 million encounters and the Purchased Care sample consisted of 219,417 TRICARE beneficiaries receiving care at civilian network facilities. TRICARE beneficiaries eligible for inclusion in the survey:

- Children ages 0-11 at the time of the encounter;
- Adults 18 years or older at the time of the encounter; and
- Had a legitimate outpatient encounter at a MTF or civilian network facility.

Beneficiaries who had been selected as part of the TROSS sample in the past 6 months were excluded from the survey sample.

Survey Instrument

The TROSS is modeled after the Adult Primary Care version of the Clinician & Group Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (C & G CAHPS) survey. The TROSS has an adult and a child version. Differences between versions are minimal. The CAHPS questions have standard versions for both adults and children, and these are used as appropriate. The Mail Adult and Mail Child surveys comprise 57 and 56 questions, respectively. Other questions have slight variations in wording to pertain to either adults or children (see Appendix C). The TROSS mail surveys include 37 questions from the C & G CAHPS Adult Primary Care survey, 4 questions regarding mental health, and 5 health care questions common to all Department of Defense surveys. The questionnaires are also available on the TROSS reporting Web site. Full details on the survey methodology are presented in Appendix A.

<u>C & G CAHPS Questions:</u> Questions related to the same topic are grouped together in "composites" which allow easy identification of patterns in the results. Of the 37 questions, 13 questions make up the three CAHPS Composites: 5 are on Access to Care, 6 are on Doctor Communication, and 2 are on Office Staff. Table 1 provides a list of composite measures (bold lettering) and the questions within each composite. Questions identified in blue represent those appearing on the Balanced Scorecard.

<u>DoD Questions:</u> In addition to standard CAHPS questions, the TROSS contains a number of items specific to the DoD to allow for trending key items from previous outpatient satisfaction surveys. The surveys also include several demographic items.

- Mental Health questions 37a, 37b, 37c, and 37d –added to the mail survey in September 2007 for Purchased Care and October 2007 for Direct Care. The 2008 Mental Health Care Composite, made up of 37c and 37d does not reflect a full year of data.
- DOD Wide questions 3A, 3B, and 3C –added to the mail survey in September 2008 for Purchased Care and October 2008 for Direct Care. These questions will not have 2008 data in these reports. All available data for 2009 are reported.
- <u>Prescription Medication questions</u> 24a –added as a gate question in September 2008 for Purchased Care and October 2008 for Direct Care mail surveys. Due to the change in methodology, 24a and 24 will not have 2008 data in these reports. A corresponding 2009 Civilian Benchmark is available for 24.

Table 1: Composite Measures

C & G CAHPS Composites

Access to Care

- Q8 Received appointment as soon as need for care you needed right away
- Q10 Received appointment as soon as need for a check-up or routine care
- Q13 Get an answer to your medical question during business hours on the same day you called
- Q15 Receive answer as soon as needed after regular hours
- Q16 See provider within 15 minutes of your appointment time

Doctors Communicate

- Q17 Explain things in an easy to understand way
- Q18 Listen carefully to you
- Q20 Give easy to understand instructions about your health care
- Q21 Know the important information about your medical history
- Q22 Show respect for what you had to say
- Q23 Spend enough time with you

Office Staff

- Q28 Helpful as you thought they should be
- Q29 Treat you with courtesy and respect

DoD Composites

Feelings Toward MHS

- Q30 I am a partner with my health care team
- Q31 Military Health System was designed just for me
- Q32 Overall rating of health care
- Q34 Overall rating of TRICARE Prime
- Q36 Overall rating of TRICARE Standard, Extra, or TRICARE for Life benefits

Mental Health Care

- Q37c How difficult to get the treatment or counseling you needed through your health plan
- Q37d Overall rating of mental health treatment or counseling

Note. Items in blue identify questions reported on the Balanced Scorecard.

Civilian Benchmark Survey

Each quarter a survey was conducted using members of Synovate's Consumer Opinion Panel who have seen a provider for themselves or their child. Panel members were sent an email invitation to participate in the study and took the survey online. The survey instruments were modified slightly to make them appropriate for civilians, but otherwise replicated the main TROSS instruments. For reporting purposes respondents were grouped by type of visit (emergency, pediatric, primary care, or specialty care) and by age and gender categories. The survey results are used as the civilian benchmark for the TROSS. The benchmark scores reported in this annual report are based on respondents from all four quarterly benchmark surveys (see Appendix B).

2009 Benchmark data are available for the Overall Satisfaction items, the C & G CAHPS Composites, the Balanced Scorecard items, and one DoD Wide question *Talk About Prescriptions*. In 2010, Benchmark comparisons and statistical testing will be available for the DoD Wide questions (3A, 3B, 3C, and 24a) and the Mental Health Care composite.

Presentation of Results and Statistical Testing

Results of the key survey questions reflect the percentage of beneficiaries who provided positive responses to each question for 2008 and 2009. For 2009 data, *t*-tests were used to determine whether responses to the 2009 TROSS were statistically different from the 2009 Civilian Benchmark. Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences (either increases or decreases) at the 95% confidence level from the MHS Wide, Direct Care, or Purchased Care Benchmark, respectively. Note that results are presented as whole numbers and are rounded using Microsoft Excel rounding function.

It is important to note that statistical tests comparing results of the various subgroups have limited power when based on sample sizes of fewer than 100 respondents. Statistical testing is masked in estimates with low precision due to sample size of fewer than 30 in a cell. Additionally, for cells with fewer than 10 responses, data are not presented and are shown as an asterisk " * ".

In Section 4, the 17 key items for Purchased Care or Direct Care are presented in order by strength of correlation to the composites (See Table 2). Breakouts within Purchased Care drill-downs are divided into provider region and provider MTF service area, whereas divisions among Direct Care are provided by Sponsor of Service, Intermediate Command, and Parent DMIS for each item. Across both Purchased and Direct Care, comparisons by beneficiary category and primary/specialty percentages are provided for each question.

An analysis of those that responded on the survey with a subsample of non-respondents from the mail survey showed no statistical differences in the responses for the responding sample and those in the non-response study sample. These results were consistent for both the Purchased Care and Direct Care. (For more information, see the *TROSS Non-Response Bias Analysis Report – May 2009*).

Table 2: TROSS Key Item Correlations to Composites


Purchased Care Correlations	Direct Care Correlations
Access to Care	Access to Care
Timely appt for routine care	Timely appt for routine care
Ease making appt by phone	Ease making appt by phone
# days from appt to visit	Saw my provider when needed
Doctors Communicate	# days from appt to visit
Doctor knew your important medical hx	Doctors Communicate
Overall rating of provider	Doctor knew your important medical hx
Talk about prescriptions	Overall rating of provider
Overall satisfaction of care	Talk about prescriptions
Office Staff	Get results on test or X-ray
Get results on test or X-ray	Overall satisfaction of care
Feelings Toward MHS	Office Staff
Overall rating of health care (top 2)	Feelings Toward MHS
Overall rating of health care (top 3)	Overall rating of health care (top 2)
Saw my provider when needed	Overall rating of health care (top 3)
Take prescription medicine	Mental Health Care
Mental Health Care	Take prescription medicine

How to Read the Annual Report

Key Features

- Question by question report.
- > Civilian benchmarks for MHS, Purchased Care and Direct Care.
- Results reported for total and key beneficiary categories.
- Purchased Care reported for Provider Regions North, South, West, and the U.S. Family Health Plan.
- Direct Care reported for Overall, CONUS and OCONUS.
- > Statistically significant differences between TROSS results and 2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark score are reported in **bold**. They represent statistically significant differences at the 95% confidence level.

The following show the basic features of the report.



Caveats to Annual Reports

Summarized here are definitions and caveats found in the Annual Reports.

Reporting Periods

- MHS Wide 2009 comparisons include May 2008 through April 2009 combined Direct Care and Purchased Care encounters.
- MHS Wide 2008 comparisons include May 2007 through April 2008 combined Direct Care and Purchased Care encounters.
- Purchased Care 2009 comparisons include May 2008 through April 2009 encounters.
- Purchased Care 2008 comparisons include May 2007 through April 2008 encounters.
- Direct Care 2009 comparisons include May 2008 through April 2009 encounters.
- Direct Care 2008 comparisons include May 2007 through April 2008 encounters.

General Definitions

- 1. Active Duty includes Active Duty and Medically Eligible Guard/Reserve.
- 2. Active Duty Family Members includes Dependents of Active Duty and Dependents of Medically Eligible Guard/Reserve.
- 3. Retirees under 65 includes Retirees, Dependents of Retirees, and Dependent Survivors.
- 4. Retirees 65 and over includes Retirees, Dependents of Retirees, and Dependent Survivors.

Purchased Care Definitions

- 1. Provider Regions and Provider Service Areas are defined by the location of the provider, where the health care service was received.
 - a. Provider Region is the TRICARE Region code reflecting the TRICARE Region of the Provider Catchment Area, as defined by the official TMA DMIS ID table.
 - b. Provider MTF Service Area represents the area assigned to each provider. If a provider is within 40 miles of an MTF, then the Provider MTF Service Area is the DMIS ID for that MTF, subject to overlap rules, barriers and other override policies.
 - c. The West region includes Alaska and Hawaii.
- 2. U.S. Family Health Plan enrollees (DMIS IDs 190 through 198) are not included in the provider region code classifications.

Direct Care Definitions

- 1. CONUS results include Alaska and Hawaii.
- 2. Service represents the Service that operates the MTF. Marine Corps is included in Navy.
- 3. In July 2008, Air Force clinic, 62nd Med Squad McChord (DMIS 0395) was transitioned to Army clinic, US Army Health Clinic McChord AFB (DMIS 1485). Reports reflect encounters received by the TRICARE Operation Center at both clinics through April 2009.
- 4. DMIS 0395 is reported as its own Parent DMIS and reflects its status during the majority of 2008 and 2009. Its reporting hierarchy changed in April 2009.

Section 4:

Provider Region South Report Tables

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey - MHS Wide - Provider Region South

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites

Composite 1 - Access to Care

·				Pr	oportion Alw			
			Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark ¹		67%						
2009 Direct Care Civilian Benchmark		61%						
2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark		71%						
MHS Wide								
2009	41824.4	70%	58%	65%	72%	78%	70%	71%
2008	56923.6	70%	59%	64%	71%	79%	68%	72%
Overall - Direct Care								
2009	16033	55%	54%	52%	58%	70%	52%	59%
2008	17288.6	56%	57%	51%	57%	72%	52%	63%
Direct Care - CONUS ²								
2009	14399	54%	54%	52%	57%	69%	52%	58%
2008	15760	55%	56%	51%	57%	71%	51%	62%
Direct Care - OCONUS								
2009	1634	60%	59%	57%	65%	76%	57%	66%
2008	1528.6	62%	63%	57%	64%	83%	58%	68%
Overall - Purchased Care								
2009	25791.4	76%	72%	74%	75%	79%	77%	76%
2008	39635	76%	72%	73%	75%	79%	76%	76%
Purchased Care - North Region ³								
2009	7901.2	78%	74%	75%	76%	80%	78%	76%
2008	12219.2	77%	75%	75%	76%	80%	78%	77%
Purchased Care - South Region ³								
2009	10230.4	75%	70%	71%	73%	78%	75%	75%
2008	15646.2	76%	69%	71%	74%	79%	75%	76%
Purchased Care - West Region ³								
2009	7322.6	76%	71%	75%	76%	78%	77%	76%
2008	11121.4	77%	71%	73%	76%	79%	77%	77%
Purchased Care - U.S. Family Health Plan								
2009	336.4	78%	*	74%	72%	86%	77%	83%
2008	647.4	72%		66%	68%	76%	71%	75%

¹ Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the (as appropriate) 2009 Civilian Purchased Care or Direct Care Benchmark score. ² CONUS includes Alaska and Hawaii.

³ Region based on region where beneficiary received care.

Note. "--" no response for the reporting period

Note. Data are not shown in cases where fewer than 10 valid responses were received nor are statistical tests reported in cases where fewer than 30 valid responses were received.

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites

Composite 1 - Access to Care

	_			Pi	roportion Alw			
				Anthun Dutur	Retirees	Retirees		
			A -4:	Active Duty	and Family	and Family	Duimonum	0
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Family Members	Members Under 65	Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialt Care
1st SPEC OPS MED GRP-HURLBURT	1100000	- Otal	<u> </u>		011401 00		- Gui G	- Gui G
2009	42.6	80%	*	85%	82%	*	81%	69%
2008	55.6	80%	*	78%	86%	74%	79%	85%
2nd MED GRP-BARKSDALE	00.0	3070		. 57.5	30,0	,0	. 0,70	30,0
2009	114.8	75%	65%	74%	79%	77%	83%	71%
2008	154.2	80%	75%	82%	74%	84%	79%	82%
6th MED GRP-MACDILL								
2009	560.4	77%	73%	75%	78%	76%	74%	80%
2008	914.8	77%	67%	77%	74%	77%	78%	75%
7th MED GRP-DYESS								
2009	71.4	72%	71%	65%	82%	79%	70%	78%
2008	89	79%	66%	80%	83%	81%	77%	82%
12th MED GRP-RANDOLPH								
2009	70.2	76%	*	84%	78%	76%	75%	81%
2008	120.4	77%	*	81%	66%	79%	74%	81%
14th MED GRP-COLUMBUS								
2009	36	83%	*	*	82%	82%	88%	70%
2008	43.8	72%	*	*	89%	57%	70%	75%
17th MED GRP-GOODFELLOW								
2009	28	79%	48%	*	*	*	*	75%
2008	40.6	74%	*	*	*	61%	60%	76%
19th MEDICAL GROUP-LITTLE ROCK								
2009	157.8	73%	74%	66%	75%	75%	78%	74%
2008	246	78%	72%	76%	77%	82%	78%	78%
20th MED GRP-SHAW								
2009	80	77%	80%	74%	70%	81%	71%	81%
2008	121.4	76%	86%	68%	84%	77%	75%	77%
23rd MED GRP-MOODY								
2009	87.4	78%	79%	76%	77%	73%	79%	75%
2008	116.4	76%	*	65%	83%	85%	78%	74%
42ND MEDICAL GROUP-MAXWELL								
2009	107.8	73%	67%	70%	85%	61%	78%	69%
2008	152	79%	59%	73%	78%	83%	79%	79%
45th MED GRP-PATRICK								
2009	175.2	75%	50%	74%	81%	73%	76%	74%
2008	363	79%	*	72%	79%	79%	81%	75%

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites

Composite 1 - Access to Care

				Pı	oportion Alw	ays		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
47th MED GRP-LAUGHLIN								
2009	8.3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	9.4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
59th MED WING-LACKLAND								
2009	120.2	68%	*	60%	58%	74%	68%	70%
2008	165.4	82%	*	71%	86%	84%	81%	84%
71st MED GRP-VANCE								
2009	17	72%	*	*	*	*	*	85%
2008	19	76%	*	*	*	80%	*	61%
72nd MED GRP-TINKER								
2009	239.2	77%	77%	71%	75%	81%	74%	80%
2008	325	69%	68%	66%	73%	71%	70%	68%
78th MED GRP-ROBINS								
2009	155.6	74%	71%	73%	67%	78%	74%	73%
2008	249.4	77%	77%	74%	81%	76%	75%	79%
81st MED GRP-KEESLER								
2009	38.8	76%	*	*	61%	86%	73%	77%
2008	52.6	75%	*	*	70%	77%	74%	75%
82nd MED GRP-SHEPPARD								
2009	56.2	75%	57%	67%	71%	87%	81%	70%
2008	78	74%	61%	71%	72%	81%	81%	72%
96th MED GRP-EGLIN								
2009	159.6	76%	74%	52%	76%	79%	76%	76%
2008	266	78%	*	82%	70%	81%	77%	79%
97th MED GRP-ALTUS		. 0 / 0		02,0	. 0,0	0.70	,0	
2009	14.8	84%	*	*	*	*	*	84%
2008	25	78%	*	*	76%	*	84%	*
325th MED GRP-TYNDALL	20	7070			1070		0470	
2009	24.3	68%	*	*	77%	*	74%	*
2008	48	72%	*	*	74%	77%	72%	75%
437th MED GRP-CHARLESTON		12/0			7 - 7 / 0	1170	1270	7.070
2009	123.2	83%	72%	80%	82%	87%	81%	83%
2009	173.6	77%	1 Z /0 *	77%	75%	77%	76%	77%
AHC FT. MCPHERSON	173.0	11/0		1170	1370	1170	7070	11/0
2009	298.4	72%	64%	69%	71%	80%	69%	76%
2009	389.4	69%	42%	67%	68%	81%	68%	76% 71%
2000	309.4	0970	42 /0	0170	00%	0170	00 70	(continued

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites

Composite 1 - Access to Care

				Pi	roportion Alw			
	_				Retirees	Retirees		
				Active Duty	and Family	and Family		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Family Members	Members Under 65	Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
ALABAMA	Теоропосо	Total	Duty	Wichibers	Officer 05	<u> </u>	Ourc	Ouic
2009	259.8	76%	76%	65%	79%	79%	75%	77%
2008	392.8	76%	85%	75%	71%	82%	74%	78%
ARKANSAS								
2009	201	75%	79%	63%	75%	78%	75%	75%
2008	313.2	80%	62%	75%	79%	81%	80%	78%
BAYNE-JONES ACH-FT. POLK								
2009	31.2	74%	*	65%	67%	*	79%	69%
2008	44.8	73%	*	*	80%	57%	80%	51%
BMC NAVSUPPACT EAST BANK								
2009	24.3	60%	66%	*	*	*	55%	68%
2008	22	57%	*	46%	*	*	52%	*
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON								
2009	110.6	72%	*	78%	70%	73%	76%	66%
2008	203.8	76%	*	83%	64%	79%	80%	71%
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD								
2009	288.4	69%	78%	65%	69%	80%	66%	74%
2008	414	67%	72%	66%	61%	80%	66%	72%
EASTERN FLORIDA								
2009	806.8	78%	74%	79%	72%	80%	78%	79%
2008	1447.4	75%	68%	62%	71%	78%	75%	73%
EASTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	53	72%	82%	68%	73%	73%	71%	74%
2008	102.2	74%	86%	81%	58%	71%	73%	76%
EASTERN TEXAS								
2009	789.8	75%	69%	70%	74%	78%	75%	76%
2008	1298.6	76%	66%	70%	76%	80%	76%	75%
EISENHOWER AMC-FT. GORDON								
2009	96.4	73%	*	74%	67%	78%	80%	66%
2008	142.6	82%	*	80%	79%	88%	83%	81%
FOX AHC-REDSTONE ARSENAL								
2009	138.2	78%	74%	68%	76%	85%	81%	67%
2008	224.8	77%	79%	81%	76%	73%	74%	80%
GEORGIA								
2009	102.8	75%	80%	66%	74%	83%	73%	78%
2008	164.6	80%	*	73%	74%	84%	79%	81%

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites

Composite 1 - Access to Care

	_	Proportion Always									
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care			
GEORGIA-FORMER NOBLE CATCHMENT											
2009	10.5	72%	*	*	*	*	*	*			
2008	12.6	81%		*	*	65%	*	*			
LYSTER AHC-FT. RUCKER											
2009	133.6	83%	77%	79%	79%	87%	86%	79%			
2008	202.2	78%	43%	80%	73%	80%	81%	73%			
MARTIN ACH-FT. BENNING											
2009	142	77%	72%	72%	61%	85%	79%	76%			
2008	204.2	76%	70%	73%	77%	76%	74%	77%			
MISSISSIPPI											
2009	257.6	73%	62%	73%	71%	70%	73%	65%			
2008	314.6	77%	70%	66%	77%	85%	76%	78%			
MONCRIEF ACH-FT. JACKSON											
2009	189.6	79%	72%	82%	69%	88%	78%	81%			
2008	284.2	80%	65%	80%	81%	81%	81%	80%			
NACC NEW ORLEANS											
2009	59.4	73%	88%	66%	87%	73%	77%	66%			
2008	70.4	71%	*	72%	68%	70%	75%	65%			
NBHC ALBANY											
2009	40.6	80%	*	*	72%	82%	85%	69%			
2008	67	68%	*	*	52%	88%	71%	63%			
NBHC ATHENS											
2009	71.4	77%	76%	*	69%	80%	75%	77%			
2008	112	73%	*	55%	75%	79%	71%	80%			
NBHC FORT WORTH											
2009	362.6	74%	72%	68%	71%	78%	75%	71%			
2008	595	76%	75%	72%	68%	81%	75%	77%			
NBHC GULFPORT											
2009	43.8	70%	*	*	72%	67%	59%	85%			
2008	58.2	71%	*	*	83%	77%	68%	76%			
NBHC INGLESIDE											
2009	6.6	*		*	*	*	*	*			
2008	10.5	79%	*	*	*	*	*	*			
NBHC KEY WEST											
2009	17.2	90%	*	*	*	*	*	89%			
2008	24.2	50%	*	*	*	*	39%	62%			

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites

Composite 1 - Access to Care

		Proportion Always							
	Responses	Total	Active	Active Duty Family	Members	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary	Specialty Care	
NBHC KINGS BAY	Responses	TOLAI	Duty	Members	Under 65	03+	Care	Care	
2009	69.8	72%	*	77%	68%	71%	71%	76%	
2008	105	73%	*	78%	64%	67%	69%	80%	
NBHC KINGSVILLE	100	7070		7070	0.170	01 70	0070	0070	
2009	4.3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
2008	8.3	*			*	*	*	*	
NBHC MARIETTA	0.0								
2009	206.6	77%	78%	78%	77%	75%	80%	74%	
2008	320	74%	51%	70%	77%	79%	76%	71%	
NBHC MAYPORT									
2009	70	72%	*	65%	64%	86%	72%	77%	
2008	149.8	77%	*	84%	74%	65%	75%	79%	
NBHC MCRD PARRIS ISLAND									
2009	17.4	79%	*	*	*	*	*	*	
2008	21.2	88%	*	*	*	79%	85%	91%	
NBHC MERIDIAN									
2009	45.6	80%	*	81%	76%	79%	76%	82%	
2008	73.6	68%	*	60%	75%	74%	71%	65%	
NBHC MILTON WHITING FIELD									
2009	37.6	82%	*	*	82%	82%	83%	*	
2008	52.6	83%	*	*	68%	84%	85%	70%	
NBHC NAS BELLE CHASE									
2009	55.2	84%	82%	74%	78%	*	88%	79%	
2008	81.8	81%	58%	85%	79%	83%	82%	78%	
NBHC NAS JACKSONVILLE									
2009	158.2	72%	75%	68%	71%	75%	75%	70%	
2008	240.6	75%	*	66%	78%	78%	74%	75%	
NBHC NAS PENSACOLA									
2009	4.6	*		*	*	*	*	*	
2008	11.6	76%		*	*	*	76%		
NBHC NATTC PENSACOLA									
2009	72.2	69%	75%	*	67%	71%	66%	78%	
2008	104.4	78%	*	*	81%	87%	84%	76%	
NBHC NAVCOASTSYSC PANAMA CITY									
2009	107	72%	64%	66%	70%	74%	75%	70%	
2008	135.2	78%	*	70%	76%	87%	76%	79% (continue	

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites

Composite 1 - Access to Care

	Proportion Always								
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care	
NBHC NSA MID-SOUTH									
2009	149.6	71%	54%	68%	67%	73%	70%	71%	
2008	234.6	73%	82%	71%	63%	82%	73%	73%	
NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA									
2009	103.2	80%	*	75%	81%	81%	85%	76%	
2008	179.6	82%	*	81%	83%	80%	82%	82%	
NBHC PASCAGOULA									
2009	72.8	69%	75%	51%	68%	76%	67%	71%	
2008	106.2	68%	*	60%	77%	66%	67%	67%	
NBHC WPNSTA CHARLESTON									
2009	33.2	88%	*	*	88%	*	88%	*	
2008	59.6	74%	*	*	75%	74%	72%	*	
NH BEAUFORT									
2009	57.4	68%	*	69%	*	*	66%	74%	
2008	64.2	84%	*	83%	*	84%	82%	87%	
NH JACKSONVILLE									
2009	150.8	75%	56%	73%	70%	81%	77%	70%	
2008	224	69%	*	74%	71%	66%	63%	81%	
NH PENSACOLA									
2009	15.6	81%		*	*	*	80%	*	
2008	24	72%	*	*	76%	*	70%	*	
NHC CHARLESTON									
2009	97.2	79%	76%	79%	71%	87%	85%	73%	
2008	136	82%	*	86%	76%	83%	84%	79%	
NHC CORPUS CHRISTI									
2009	79.6	73%	60%	71%	69%	72%	75%	71%	
2008	110	77%	*	76%	80%	66%	77%	78%	
OKLAHOMA									
2009	148.2	73%	50%	73%	79%	72%	74%	75%	
2008	187.6	76%	*	60%	75%	80%	75%	82%	
REYNOLDS ACH-FT. SILL									
2009	92	66%	59%	55%	72%	58%	67%	58%	
2008	102.2	81%	*	87%	76%	81%	83%	79%	
SOUTH CAROLINA									
2009	282.4	78%	72%	81%	74%	80%	79%	77%	
2008	435.2	78%	58%	69%	73%	84%	77%	82%	

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites

Composite 1 - Access to Care

	Proportion Always								
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care	
SOUTHCOM CLINIC	rtooponooo	Total	Duty	members	Onder de		Guit	Ourc	
2009 2008	143.2 203.4	70% 68%	58% 56%	67% 62%	67% 70%	79% 73%	72% 71%	68% 63%	
TENNESSEE									
2009	581.2	75%	75%	74%	70%	78%	75%	71%	
2008	844.4	77%	70%	73%	79%	79%	78%	77%	
TUTTLE AHC-HUNTER ARMY AIRFLD									
2009	134.8	77%	77%	74%	72%	82%	84%	71%	
2008	145.8	77%	72%	74%	80%	83%	77%	77%	
WESTERN FLORIDA									
2009	44.8	78%	*	*	78%	79%	78%	71%	
2008	72.2	80%	*	74%	81%	80%	78%	84%	
WESTERN LOUISIANA									
2009	134	76%	72%	72%	74%	79%	75%	77%	
2008	166.4	78%	*	77%	79%	76%	78%	80%	
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART									
2009	43.2	59%	*	44%	61%	77%	56%	66%	
2008	34.6	69%	*	48%	83%	80%	81%	55%	

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey - MHS Wide - Provider Region South

Domain: Access to Care

Q10 - Timely appt for routine care

			Percent Alway	cent Always				
	_		Activo	Active Duty	Retirees and Family	Retirees and Family	Deimoni	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Active Dutv	Family Members	Members Under 65	Members 65+	Primary Care	Care
2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark ¹		77%						
2009 Direct Care Civilian Benchmark		69%						
2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark		81%						
MHS Wide								
2009	55087	79%	63%	71%	81%	88%	79%	80%
2008	75098	78%	65%	69%	81%	89%	77%	80%
Overall - Direct Care								
2009	21475	61%	60%	56%	65%	76%	58%	65%
2008	23252	62%	63%	57%	64%	78%	58%	69%
Direct Care - CONUS ²								
2009	19216	61%	60%	56%	65%	76%	57%	65%
2008	21110	62%	63%	56%	64%	77%	57%	69%
Direct Care - OCONUS								
2009	2259	65%	64%	62%	68%	77%	64%	67%
2008	2142	64%	62%	63%	72%	87%	63%	66%
Overall - Purchased Care								
2009	33612	86%	80%	82%	85%	89%	87%	86%
2008	51846	87%	81%	82%	86%	89%	87%	86%
Purchased Care - North Region ³								
2009	10298	87%	81%	82%	85%	89%	87%	86%
2008	16025	87%	82%	83%	86%	90%	88%	86%
Purchased Care - South Region ³								
2009	13400	87%	80%	81%	85%	89%	88%	86%
2008	20470	87%	81%	82%	86%	90%	88%	86%
Purchased Care - West Region ³								
2009	9438	85%	80%	82%	84%	88%	86%	85%
2008	14456	85%	80%	80%	85%	88%	85%	85%
Purchased Care - U.S. Family Health Plan								
2009	476	90%	*	81%	86%	94%	90%	89%
2008	895	81%		71%	75%	86%	79%	85%

¹ Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the (as appropriate) 2009 Civilian Purchased Care or Direct Care Benchmark score. ² CONUS includes Alaska and Hawaii.

³ Region based on region where beneficiary received care.

Note. "--" no response for the reporting period

Note. Data are not shown in cases where fewer than 10 valid responses were received nor are statistical tests reported in cases where fewer than 30 valid responses were received.

Domain: Access to Care

Q10 - Timely appt for routine care

		Percent Always							
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care	
1st SPEC OPS MED GRP-HURLBURT									
2009	56	90%	*	86%	94%	*	94%	78%	
2008	72	89%	*	85%	95%	84%	88%	90%	
2nd MED GRP-BARKSDALE									
2009	149	89%	77%	82%	92%	92%	94%	86%	
2008	188	94%	87%	97%	89%	96%	95%	93%	
6th MED GRP-MACDILL									
2009	720	85%	77%	82%	83%	87%	86%	83%	
2008	1202	88%	72%	87%	86%	89%	90%	84%	
7th MED GRP-DYESS									
2009	93	83%	74%	72%	83%	91%	79%	87%	
2008	111	78%	75%	86%	83%	73%	84%	73%	
12th MED GRP-RANDOLPH									
2009	101	82%	*	93%	80%	81%	82%	81%	
2008	160	87%	*	88%	76%	90%	88%	85%	
14th MED GRP-COLUMBUS									
2009	53	90%	77%	*	100%	92%	94%	86%	
2008	55	89%	*	*	86%	89%	82%	94%	
17th MED GRP-GOODFELLOW									
2009	32	89%	83%	*	*	91%	*	85%	
2008	52	87%	*	*	*	94%	88%	86%	
19th MEDICAL GROUP-LITTLE ROCK									
2009	195	88%	68%	82%	85%	93%	91%	84%	
2008	321	91%	82%	92%	89%	93%	91%	92%	
20th MED GRP-SHAW									
2009	107	90%	87%	90%	83%	96%	92%	87%	
2008	157	89%	96%	88%	89%	89%	91%	88%	
23rd MED GRP-MOODY									
2009	119	81%	78%	80%	86%	78%	86%	74%	
2008	152	85%	86%	76%	93%	85%	86%	83%	
42ND MEDICAL GROUP-MAXWELL									
2009	140	90%	73%	85%	91%	92%	89%	90%	
2008	193	87%	*	83%	85%	90%	87%	87%	
45th MED GRP-PATRICK									
2009	220	90%	74%	83%	93%	89%	91%	88%	
2008	476	91%	*	75%	90%	93%	92%	90%	

Domain: Access to Care

Q10 - Timely appt for routine care

To Timoly appending					Percent Alwa			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
47th MED GRP-LAUGHLIN								
2009	10	68%	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	14	75%	*	*	*	*	*	*
59th MED WING-LACKLAND								
2009	154	86%	*	76%	87%	87%	82%	91%
2008	223	92%	*	94%	93%	91%	92%	92%
71st MED GRP-VANCE								
2009	18	88%	*	*	*	*	*	93%
2008	27	99%	*	*	*	100%	96%	100%
72nd MED GRP-TINKER								
2009	290	86%	82%	77%	87%	90%	84%	88%
2008	389	79%	59%	73%	81%	83%	82%	73%
78th MED GRP-ROBINS								
2009	199	86%	86%	90%	82%	89%	87%	86%
2008	319	89%	93%	87%	89%	90%	88%	91%
81st MED GRP-KEESLER								
2009	55	89%	*	83%	76%	96%	90%	86%
2008	70	89%	*	*	87%	91%	88%	89%
82nd MED GRP-SHEPPARD								
2009	63	86%	76%	75%	83%	95%	86%	87%
2008	92	87%	87%	72%	79%	96%	88%	87%
96th MED GRP-EGLIN								
2009	221	88%	80%	72%	86%	92%	88%	88%
2008	360	90%	*	87%	92%	90%	91%	89%
97th MED GRP-ALTUS								
2009	21	99%	*	*	*	*	*	98%
2008	29	91%	*	*	95%	100%	97%	79%
325th MED GRP-TYNDALL								
2009	23	75%	*	*	86%	*	71%	*
2008	70	86%	*	*	78%	95%	89%	74%
437th MED GRP-CHARLESTON								
2009	157	91%	76%	83%	88%	98%	91%	91%
2008	225	87%	97%	77%	90%	86%	87%	87%
AHC FT. MCPHERSON								
2009	401	85%	72%	80%	87%	86%	84%	86%
2008	532	83%	52%	84%	82%	89%	82%	85%
								(continue

Domain: Access to Care

Q10 - Timely appt for routine care

					Percent Alwa			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
ALABAMA								
2009	338	91%	88%	78%	94%	92%	90%	92%
2008	517	87%	98%	84%	79%	92%	88%	85%
ARKANSAS								
2009	268	91%	85%	82%	87%	95%	93%	86%
2008	419	90%	89%	81%	89%	91%	90%	89%
BAYNE-JONES ACH-FT. POLK								
2009	44	91%	*	77%	87%	100%	94%	88%
2008	57	90%	*	*	92%	97%	98%	80%
BMC NAVSUPPACT EAST BANK								
2009	26	68%	*	66%	*	*	74%	60%
2008	30	75%	*	66%	*	*	61%	88%
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON								
2009	153	87%	*	77%	83%	90%	87%	87%
2008	264	88%	*	86%	83%	89%	87%	88%
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD								
2009	391	76%	83%	75%	74%	79%	76%	75%
2008	546	81%	67%	77%	81%	90%	82%	78%
EASTERN FLORIDA								
2009	1104	88%	85%	87%	85%	89%	89%	87%
2008	1973	87%	80%	73%	84%	90%	88%	85%
EASTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	77	90%	88%	82%	95%	93%	93%	86%
2008	135	82%	91%	90%	84%	77%	82%	82%
EASTERN TEXAS								
2009	1023	86%	86%	84%	85%	88%	87%	85%
2008	1693	88%	86%	82%	87%	90%	89%	87%
EISENHOWER AMC-FT. GORDON								
2009	118	85%	*	86%	75%	90%	83%	87%
2008	182	90%	*	87%	81%	97%	94%	86%
FOX AHC-REDSTONE ARSENAL								
2009	191	91%	63%	91%	90%	92%	92%	88%
2008	291	89%	91%	89%	87%	90%	89%	89%
GEORGIA								
2009	138	89%	86%	82%	95%	88%	88%	93%
2008	223	91%	*	82%	90%	94%	91%	91%
						 		(continue

Domain: Access to Care

Q10 - Timely appt for routine care

					Percent Alwa	ys		
	_		Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
GEORGIA-FORMER NOBLE CATCHMENT								
2009	14	85%		*	*	*	81%	*
2008	17	95%		*	*	90%	*	*
LYSTER AHC-FT. RUCKER								
2009	178	92%	89%	89%	87%	97%	95%	90%
2008	274	87%	51%	83%	86%	90%	91%	83%
MARTIN ACH-FT. BENNING								
2009	194	89%	83%	80%	83%	95%	93%	85%
2008	263	88%	91%	86%	86%	89%	85%	90%
MISSISSIPPI								
2009	342	88%	85%	83%	87%	90%	87%	89%
2008	402	87%	79%	76%	90%	90%	88%	85%
MONCRIEF ACH-FT. JACKSON								
2009	241	86%	79%	88%	73%	94%	84%	89%
2008	371	88%	82%	89%	85%	91%	89%	88%
NACC NEW ORLEANS								
2009	70	80%	93%	81%	80%	78%	89%	73%
2008	92	74%	51%	77%	66%	85%	87%	57%
NBHC ALBANY	<u> </u>	,,	0.70	7.70	3373	3373	0. 70	0.70
2009	54	81%	*	76%	78%	83%	88%	67%
2008	91	88%	*	100%	74%	95%	86%	92%
NBHC ATHENS	<u> </u>	3373		.0070	,,	3373	3070	0270
2009	91	82%	80%	59%	86%	83%	80%	84%
2008	147	84%	*	62%	86%	90%	82%	88%
NBHC FORT WORTH	1 11	0.70		0270	0070	0070	0270	0070
2009	478	88%	82%	81%	86%	92%	88%	89%
2008	769	89%	88%	83%	86%	92%	89%	88%
NBHC GULFPORT	700	0070	0070	0070	0070	32 / O	0070	0070
2009	60	85%	85%	100%	86%	82%	80%	93%
2008	73	90%	*	*	88%	91%	85%	96%
NBHC INGLESIDE	70	0070			0070	3170	0070	3070
2009	9	*		*	*	*	*	*
2008	12	90%		*	*	*	86%	*
NBHC KEY WEST	12	3070					00 /0	
2009	19	97%	*	*	*	*	*	94%
2009	32	71%	*	*	*	*	50%	83%
2000	JZ	/ 1 /0					JU /0	(continued

Domain: Access to Care

Q10 - Timely appt for routine care

					Percent Alwa			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC KINGS BAY								
2009	90	91%	83%	88%	91%	93%	95%	83%
2008	128	85%	*	84%	85%	83%	81%	93%
NBHC KINGSVILLE								
2009	4	*		*		*	*	*
2008	7	*			*	*	*	
NBHC MARIETTA								
2009	260	86%	94%	85%	90%	82%	88%	83%
2008	425	85%	69%	83%	83%	90%	88%	81%
NBHC MAYPORT								
2009	94	88%		84%	82%	96%	89%	87%
2008	198	87%	*	90%	83%	89%	86%	90%
NBHC MCRD PARRIS ISLAND								
2009	21	87%	*	*	*	*	*	77%
2008	24	90%	*	*	*	78%	87%	*
NBHC MERIDIAN								
2009	57	84%	*	82%	100%	78%	74%	98%
2008	93	82%	*	76%	79%	87%	84%	79%
NBHC MILTON WHITING FIELD								
2009	48	92%	*	85%	88%	96%	94%	81%
2008	71	87%	*	76%	87%	89%	92%	72%
NBHC NAS BELLE CHASE								
2009	68	90%	68%	85%	86%	100%	93%	85%
2008	118	88%	70%	88%	86%	95%	90%	85%
NBHC NAS JACKSONVILLE								
2009	201	86%	80%	77%	88%	88%	85%	86%
2008	302	87%	*	81%	85%	90%	83%	89%
NBHC NAS PENSACOLA								
2009	6	*			*	*	*	
2008	17	91%		*	*	*	91%	
NBHC NATTC PENSACOLA								
2009	96	80%	79%	*	79%	82%	79%	80%
2008	125	92%	*	*	88%	93%	92%	92%
NBHC NAVCOASTSYSC PANAMA CITY								
2009	137	85%	84%	68%	88%	88%	90%	80%
2008	178	91%	80%	91%	84%	97%	95%	87%

Domain: Access to Care

Q10 - Timely appt for routine care

					Percent Alwa			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC NSA MID-SOUTH								
2009	203	85%	86%	81%	81%	89%	82%	90%
2008	318	87%	94%	79%	82%	94%	86%	88%
NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA								
2009	121	86%	*	75%	94%	86%	95%	81%
2008	220	92%	*	90%	92%	91%	89%	94%
NBHC PASCAGOULA								
2009	92	79%	78%	72%	77%	84%	78%	80%
2008	128	85%	*	77%	89%	86%	86%	83%
NBHC WPNSTA CHARLESTON								
2009	43	97%	*	*	98%	*	100%	*
2008	75	91%	*	*	86%	95%	91%	*
NH BEAUFORT								
2009	83	84%	78%	86%	78%	87%	87%	82%
2008	81	93%	*	96%	100%	85%	97%	84%
NH JACKSONVILLE								
2009	201	84%	63%	90%	80%	88%	87%	78%
2008	304	84%	*	83%	88%	80%	84%	84%
NH PENSACOLA								
2009	23	87%			75%	93%	86%	*
2008	26	94%		*	92%	*	94%	
NHC CHARLESTON								
2009	117	89%	80%	91%	83%	94%	93%	86%
2008	181	90%	*	95%	88%	91%	90%	90%
NHC CORPUS CHRISTI								
2009	92	90%	71%	78%	92%	97%	97%	81%
2008	128	87%	*	82%	92%	88%	86%	87%
OKLAHOMA								
2009	187	84%	74%	81%	82%	85%	83%	85%
2008	241	86%	96%	68%	91%	86%	83%	94%
REYNOLDS ACH-FT. SILL								
2009	122	79%	65%	66%	82%	87%	86%	68%
2008	124	86%	*	85%	93%	81%	86%	86%
SOUTH CAROLINA								
2009	374	89%	77%	90%	84%	92%	92%	85%
2008	571	91%	69%	85%	88%	94%	92%	89%
								(continu

Domain: Access to Care

Q10 - Timely appt for routine care

					Percent Alwa	ys		
	_		Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
SOUTHCOM CLINIC								
2009	200	83%	68%	75%	85%	89%	88%	75%
2008	267	82%	87%	75%	85%	83%	82%	82%
TENNESSEE								
2009	769	90%	89%	84%	90%	91%	90%	89%
2008	1111	88%	79%	86%	88%	88%	88%	86%
TUTTLE AHC-HUNTER ARMY AIRFLD								
2009	178	87%	80%	85%	79%	97%	89%	86%
2008	190	89%	82%	86%	90%	92%	88%	90%
WESTERN FLORIDA								
2009	57	88%	*	*	86%	88%	91%	80%
2008	106	87%	*	78%	95%	84%	83%	95%
WESTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	165	90%	81%	86%	91%	92%	90%	91%
2008	205	89%	*	80%	93%	91%	92%	84%
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART								
2009	60	74%	*	70%	49%	88%	80%	67%
2008	49	89%	*	63%	94%	100%	95%	80%

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey - MHS Wide - Provider Region South

Domain: Access to Care

Q6 - Ease making appt by phone

3 11 71				Perc	ent Excellent	Top 3		
	Responses	Total	Active Dutv	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark ¹		95%						
2009 Direct Care Civilian Benchmark		94%						
2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark		96%						
MHS Wide								
2009	66834	93%	86%	87%	95%	98%	92%	94%
2008	89292	92%	86%	86%	93%	98%	90%	93%
Overall - Direct Care								
2009	26277	83%	84%	79%	87%	92%	82%	86%
2008	28294	83%	84%	78%	86%	94%	80%	87%
Direct Care - CONUS ²								
2009	23620	83%	84%	79%	87%	92%	82%	86%
2008	25812	82%	84%	77%	85%	94%	80%	86%
Direct Care - OCONUS								
2009	2657	84%	84%	80%	85%	92%	82%	88%
2008	2482	86%	86%	84%	91%	95%	84%	89%
Overall - Purchased Care								
2009	40557	97%	94%	93%	97%	98%	97%	97%
2008	60998	96%	95%	93%	96%	98%	96%	96%
Purchased Care - North Region ³								
2009	12166	97%	95%	94%	96%	98%	96%	97%
2008	18710	97%	95%	94%	96%	98%	97%	96%
Purchased Care - South Region ³								
2009	16019	97%	95%	93%	96%	98%	97%	97%
2008	23635	96%	95%	93%	96%	98%	96%	96%
Purchased Care - West Region ³								
2009	11848	97%	94%	93%	97%	98%	97%	97%
2008	17649	96%	95%	93%	96%	98%	97%	96%
Purchased Care - U.S. Family Health Plan								
2009	523	97%	*	89%	97%	99%	97%	98%
2008	1002	95%		90%	94%	98%	95%	97%

¹ Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the (as appropriate) 2009 Civilian Purchased Care or Direct Care Benchmark score. ² CONUS includes Alaska and Hawaii.

³ Region based on region where beneficiary received care.

Note. "--" no response for the reporting period

Note. Data are not shown in cases where fewer than 10 valid responses were received nor are statistical tests reported in cases where fewer than 30 valid responses were received.

Domain: Access to Care

Q6 - Ease making appt by phone

2 Lace making approx pm	_			Perc	ent Excellent			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
1st SPEC OPS MED GRP-HURLBURT								
2009	68	97%	*	98%	100%	91%	97%	96%
2008	80	96%	*	95%	93%	100%	95%	97%
2nd MED GRP-BARKSDALE								
2009	180	97%	96%	93%	95%	100%	99%	97%
2008	233	98%	92%	96%	94%	100%	100%	95%
6th MED GRP-MACDILL								
2009	911	97%	92%	94%	97%	98%	96%	98%
2008	1400	96%	93%	96%	94%	98%	96%	96%
7th MED GRP-DYESS								
2009	115	94%	99%	86%	97%	96%	94%	93%
2008	132	97%	71%	98%	100%	100%	100%	94%
12th MED GRP-RANDOLPH								
2009	121	97%	*	93%	98%	97%	96%	97%
2008	194	96%	*	93%	94%	98%	98%	94%
14th MED GRP-COLUMBUS								
2009	64	97%	95%	*	100%	100%	93%	100%
2008	62	97%	*	88%	100%	100%	100%	94%
17th MED GRP-GOODFELLOW								
2009	50	98%	91%	*	100%	100%	*	98%
2008	65	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	100%	100%
19th MEDICAL GROUP-LITTLE ROCK								
2009	238	98%	99%	94%	100%	99%	98%	99%
2008	364	97%	94%	98%	93%	99%	96%	98%
20th MED GRP-SHAW								
2009	118	99%	96%	98%	100%	100%	99%	100%
2008	164	98%	100%	96%	99%	100%	100%	97%
23rd MED GRP-MOODY								
2009	129	95%	92%	94%	93%	100%	100%	90%
2008	161	94%	*	86%	94%	98%	97%	91%
42ND MEDICAL GROUP-MAXWELL								
2009	176	98%	87%	97%	97%	100%	98%	98%
2008	240	98%	95%	95%	98%	99%	98%	98%
45th MED GRP-PATRICK								
2009	281	98%	89%	89%	97%	99%	97%	98%
2008	563	98%	79%	93%	98%	99%	98%	96%

Domain: Access to Care

Q6 - Ease making appt by phone

				Perc	ent Excellent	Top 3		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
47th MED GRP-LAUGHLIN								
2009	10	69%	*	*	*	*		69%
2008	13	85%	*	*	*	*	*	*
59th MED WING-LACKLAND								
2009	201	98%	80%	96%	98%	99%	98%	98%
2008	263	95%	*	88%	98%	95%	94%	95%
71st MED GRP-VANCE								
2009	30	96%	82%	*	*	*	*	98%
2008	25	100%	*	*	*	*	100%	100%
72nd MED GRP-TINKER								
2009	366	96%	93%	92%	96%	99%	96%	96%
2008	482	95%	98%	89%	96%	98%	95%	96%
78th MED GRP-ROBINS								
2009	254	98%	99%	97%	99%	97%	96%	100%
2008	375	96%	95%	95%	95%	98%	96%	96%
81st MED GRP-KEESLER								
2009	69	97%	93%	100%	96%	97%	100%	93%
2008	78	95%	*	*	93%	99%	96%	93%
82nd MED GRP-SHEPPARD								
2009	83	97%	97%	91%	100%	100%	98%	97%
2008	111	93%	100%	87%	84%	100%	100%	92%
96th MED GRP-EGLIN								
2009	257	98%	94%	93%	99%	99%	99%	97%
2008	426	97%	100%	96%	98%	96%	97%	97%
97th MED GRP-ALTUS							2.70	
2009	24	99%	*	*	*	*	*	99%
2008	30	100%	*	*	100%	*	100%	100%
325th MED GRP-TYNDALL					.0070		.0070	.00,0
2009	29	93%	*	*	100%	*	93%	*
2008	70	90%	*	*	84%	100%	94%	82%
437th MED GRP-CHARLESTON		3373			0.70	.0070	0.70	0270
2009	187	97%	91%	91%	97%	100%	95%	97%
2008	257	95%	100%	92%	94%	97%	95%	95%
AHC FT. MCPHERSON	201	3070	10070	0270	J-7-0	01 /0	3070	3070
2009	497	97%	93%	97%	98%	96%	96%	97%
2008	634	96%	93 % 87%	94%	95%	99%	95%	97%
2000	004	30 /0	01 /0	J -1 /0	3370	3370	33 /0	(continue

Domain: Access to Care

Q6 - Ease making appt by phone

Lace making approx pr				Perc	ent Excellent			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
ALABAMA								
2009	382	98%	100%	95%	97%	99%	98%	98%
2008	583	97%	100%	97%	96%	97%	97%	97%
ARKANSAS								
2009	310	96%	97%	96%	95%	97%	96%	98%
2008	454	97%	87%	100%	96%	97%	97%	96%
BAYNE-JONES ACH-FT. POLK								
2009	51	95%	*	95%	100%	91%	90%	100%
2008	66	96%	*	97%	92%	98%	97%	95%
BMC NAVSUPPACT EAST BANK								
2009	35	94%	83%	89%	*	*	92%	95%
2008	38	90%	*	87%	*	*	86%	93%
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON								
2009	181	94%	*	84%	92%	96%	97%	91%
2008	322	96%	*	95%	94%	98%	97%	95%
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD								
2009	456	91%	100%	88%	93%	93%	90%	94%
2008	625	90%	89%	88%	89%	98%	89%	93%
EASTERN FLORIDA								
2009	1262	98%	98%	94%	95%	99%	98%	98%
2008	2151	97%	100%	90%	95%	98%	97%	97%
EASTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	85	99%	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%
2008	149	95%	100%	100%	92%	93%	97%	90%
EASTERN TEXAS								
2009	1260	97%	93%	96%	94%	99%	97%	97%
2008	1972	97%	96%	92%	96%	98%	97%	97%
EISENHOWER AMC-FT. GORDON								
2009	162	96%	*	99%	95%	95%	95%	96%
2008	212	96%	*	95%	97%	98%	99%	95%
FOX AHC-REDSTONE ARSENAL								
2009	198	96%	95%	88%	95%	100%	98%	94%
2008	322	93%	100%	95%	91%	95%	93%	94%
GEORGIA								
2009	150	99%	94%	100%	98%	100%	99%	99%
2008	249	96%	*	92%	91%	100%	95%	97%
	-							(continue

Domain: Access to Care

Q6 - Ease making appt by phone

2 Lace making approx prior				Perc	ent Excellent	Тор 3		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
GEORGIA-FORMER NOBLE CATCHMENT								
2009	14	96%		*	*	*	94%	*
2008	16	96%		*	*	100%	*	*
LYSTER AHC-FT. RUCKER								
2009	203	97%	97%	97%	93%	100%	98%	97%
2008	297	97%	90%	97%	95%	98%	97%	96%
MARTIN ACH-FT. BENNING								
2009	209	96%	96%	97%	91%	99%	97%	96%
2008	285	95%	100%	94%	97%	95%	96%	95%
MISSISSIPPI								
2009	389	98%	97%	96%	99%	97%	97%	98%
2008	431	97%	100%	91%	96%	99%	97%	98%
MONCRIEF ACH-FT. JACKSON								
2009	285	98%	97%	92%	99%	99%	97%	99%
2008	414	96%	95%	92%	98%	97%	97%	96%
NACC NEW ORLEANS								
2009	101	93%	98%	79%	100%	96%	94%	93%
2008	119	96%	97%	88%	94%	100%	98%	93%
NBHC ALBANY								
2009	62	99%	*	87%	100%	100%	98%	100%
2008	103	94%	*	100%	85%	100%	97%	91%
NBHC ATHENS								
2009	113	96%	86%	71%	98%	98%	92%	100%
2008	168	96%	*	89%	97%	97%	94%	98%
NBHC FORT WORTH								
2009	572	99%	96%	97%	97%	100%	98%	99%
2008	942	97%	94%	92%	96%	99%	96%	97%
NBHC GULFPORT								
2009	69	95%	100%	90%	93%	96%	93%	98%
2008	88	93%	*	80%	100%	93%	91%	94%
NBHC INGLESIDE								
2009	9	*		*	*	*	*	*
2008	12	100%		*	*	*	*	*
NBHC KEY WEST								
2009	33	100%	97%	*	*	*	*	99%
2008	40	84%	95%	*	73%	*	70%	93%

Domain: Access to Care

Q6 - Ease making appt by phone

Laco making approxy pile				Perc	ent Excellent			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC KINGS BAY								
2009	104	96%	93%	94%	95%	100%	96%	96%
2008	150	91%	*	87%	95%	87%	88%	95%
NBHC KINGSVILLE								
2009	3	*	*		*	*	*	
2008	9	*			*	*	*	*
NBHC MARIETTA								
2009	347	98%	95%	96%	98%	100%	98%	98%
2008	526	99%	90%	99%	100%	99%	100%	98%
NBHC MAYPORT								
2009	112	100%	*	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
2008	225	98%	*	96%	99%	99%	99%	96%
NBHC MCRD PARRIS ISLAND								
2009	24	88%	*	*	*	*	76%	96%
2008	40	100%	*	*	*	100%	100%	100%
NBHC MERIDIAN								
2009	61	100%	*	98%	100%	100%	99%	100%
2008	106	95%	100%	91%	100%	93%	92%	99%
NBHC MILTON WHITING FIELD								
2009	60	98%	*	100%	100%	95%	97%	100%
2008	85	97%	*	89%	95%	100%	99%	88%
NBHC NAS BELLE CHASE								
2009	91	94%	100%	93%	89%	100%	95%	92%
2008	136	96%	98%	96%	93%	100%	94%	98%
NBHC NAS JACKSONVILLE								
2009	257	94%	89%	90%	92%	99%	96%	93%
2008	405	97%	100%	94%	98%	97%	97%	97%
NBHC NAS PENSACOLA								
2009	7	*			*	*	*	
2008	14	100%		*	*	*	100%	
NBHC NATTC PENSACOLA								
2009	112	96%	100%	78%	97%	97%	95%	97%
2008	169	99%	*	100%	96%	100%	97%	100%
NBHC NAVCOASTSYSC PANAMA CITY								
2009	165	96%	100%	93%	97%	97%	99%	95%
2008	220	99%	93%	100%	98%	100%	99%	99%

Domain: Access to Care

Q6 - Ease making appt by phone

			Percent Excellent Top 3						
	_			Active Duty	Retirees and Family	Retirees and Family			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Family Members	Members Under 65	Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care	
NBHC NSA MID-SOUTH									
2009	232	96%	91%	94%	94%	99%	94%	98%	
2008	358	96%	100%	96%	93%	99%	95%	97%	
NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA									
2009	161	97%	100%	95%	99%	96%	99%	96%	
2008	263	98%	*	95%	97%	99%	98%	97%	
NBHC PASCAGOULA									
2009	107	95%	85%	97%	93%	96%	94%	95%	
2008	156	92%	*	82%	97%	96%	89%	97%	
NBHC WPNSTA CHARLESTON									
2009	54	100%	*	*	100%	100%	100%	100%	
2008	81	95%	*	*	93%	98%	94%	*	
NH BEAUFORT									
2009	84	96%	95%	96%	100%	94%	92%	100%	
2008	93	95%	*	97%	100%	91%	93%	99%	
NH JACKSONVILLE									
2009	244	96%	85%	94%	95%	99%	94%	100%	
2008	362	96%	*	95%	95%	97%	95%	97%	
NH PENSACOLA									
2009	22	100%		*	*	100%	100%	*	
2008	29	98%	*	*	97%	*	98%	*	
NHC CHARLESTON									
2009	157	99%	96%	100%	98%	100%	99%	100%	
2008	204	99%	*	100%	99%	99%	100%	99%	
NHC CORPUS CHRISTI									
2009	113	95%	86%	91%	90%	100%	100%	90%	
2008	159	96%	*	90%	96%	98%	98%	93%	
OKLAHOMA									
2009	235	98%	88%	95%	99%	99%	98%	98%	
2008	282	96%	*	88%	99%	96%	96%	97%	
REYNOLDS ACH-FT. SILL									
2009	136	95%	95%	91%	97%	95%	94%	96%	
2008	150	98%	89%	96%	100%	100%	100%	97%	
SOUTH CAROLINA									
	404	97%	91%	97%	97%	98%	97%	98%	
2009	404	31 /0	01/0						

Domain: Access to Care

Q6 - Ease making appt by phone

				Perc	ent Excellent	Top 3		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
SOUTHCOM CLINIC	·							
2009 2008	244 307	90% 96%	94% 98%	86% 91%	83% 97%	96% 98%	88% 93%	94% 98%
TENNESSEE								
2009	877	98%	99%	95%	97%	99%	97%	99%
2008	1228	98%	99%	95%	98%	99%	98%	98%
TUTTLE AHC-HUNTER ARMY AIRFLD								
2009	202	97%	95%	93%	97%	100%	97%	96%
2008	228	94%	100%	93%	93%	95%	91%	95%
WESTERN FLORIDA								
2009	69	96%	*	100%	100%	94%	97%	95%
2008	108	99%	*	100%	100%	98%	98%	100%
WESTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	203	97%	98%	88%	97%	100%	97%	96%
2008	234	98%	100%	100%	96%	98%	98%	98%
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART								
2009	68	87%	90%	83%	86%	92%	86%	88%
2008	57	97%	*	92%	100%	98%	95%	98%

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey - MHS Wide - Provider Region South

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

, ,	_			Pe	ercent Same I	Day		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark ¹								
2009 Direct Care Civilian Benchmark								
2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark								
MHS Wide								
2009	61568	20%	21%	26%	18%	18%	26%	13%
2008								
Overall - Direct Care								
2009	26810	20%	21%	20%	15%	16%	23%	16%
2008								
Direct Care - CONUS ²								
2009	24069	20%	21%	20%	15%	15%	22%	16%
2008								
Direct Care - OCONUS								
2009	2741	21%	23%	23%	15%	20%	25%	16%
2008								
Overall - Purchased Care								
2009	34758	20%	18%	30%	19%	18%	28%	12%
2008								
Purchased Care - North Region ³								
2009	10410	22%	19%	32%	20%	20%	29%	13%
2008								
Purchased Care - South Region ³	4 400 4	2001	100/	2004	100/	400/	000/	100/
2009	14034	20%	16%	32%	19%	19%	28%	12%
2008								
Purchased Care - West Region ³	0040	400/	400/	070/	4.00/	450/	000/	440/
2009	9916	19%	19%	27%	18%	15%	26%	11%
2008								
Purchased Care - U.S. Family Health Plan	207	200/		270/	200/	420/	270/	C 0/
2009 2008	397	20%		37%	28%	13%	27%	6%
2008								

¹ Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the (as appropriate) 2009 Civilian Purchased Care or Direct Care Benchmark score. ² CONUS includes Alaska and Hawaii.

³ Region based on region where beneficiary received care.

Note. "--" no response for the reporting period

Note. Data are not shown in cases where fewer than 10 valid responses were received nor are statistical tests reported in cases where fewer than 30 valid responses were received.

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				P	ercent Same	Day		
	_		Active	Active Duty Family	Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
1st SPEC OPS MED GRP-HURLBURT								
2009	52	29%	*	39%	30%	*	45%	6%
2008								
2nd MED GRP-BARKSDALE								
2009	165	22%	16%	26%	19%	23%	29%	18%
2008								
6th MED GRP-MACDILL								
2009	746	16%	16%	25%	15%	14%	21%	10%
2008								
7th MED GRP-DYESS								
2009	108	25%	17%	27%	25%	25%	44%	6%
2008								
12th MED GRP-RANDOLPH								
2009	103	15%	*	32%	14%	14%	17%	13%
2008								
14th MED GRP-COLUMBUS								
2009	58	20%	34%	*	16%	14%	30%	9%
2008								
17th MED GRP-GOODFELLOW								
2009	39	9%	22%	*	20%	0%	9%	9%
2008								
19th MEDICAL GROUP-LITTLE ROCK								
2009	234	22%	20%	30%	20%	22%	30%	13%
2008								
20th MED GRP-SHAW								
2009	121	33%	19%	37%	38%	31%	46%	17%
2008								
23rd MED GRP-MOODY								
2009	120	21%	16%	40%	17%	16%	26%	16%
2008								
42ND MEDICAL GROUP-MAXWELL								
2009	167	22%	13%	25%	24%	21%	43%	11%
2008								
45th MED GRP-PATRICK								
2009	273	18%	6%	25%	15%	19%	23%	13%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				P	ercent Same	Day		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
47th MED GRP-LAUGHLIN								
2009	7	*	*	*	*		*	*
2008								
59th MED WING-LACKLAND								
2009	186	16%	*	32%	16%	13%	19%	12%
2008								
71st MED GRP-VANCE								
2009	28	20%	0%	*	*	*	*	15%
2008								
72nd MED GRP-TINKER								
2009	316	19%	14%	32%	13%	19%	26%	13%
2008								
78th MED GRP-ROBINS								
2009	220	23%	14%	20%	21%	28%	37%	12%
2008								
81st MED GRP-KEESLER								
2009	62	13%	*	0%	22%	12%	22%	3%
2008								
82nd MED GRP-SHEPPARD								
2009	91	19%	14%	25%	21%	15%	28%	15%
2008								
96th MED GRP-EGLIN								
2009	213	11%	27%	9%	9%	12%	13%	9%
2008								
97th MED GRP-ALTUS								
2009	19	18%	*	*	*	*	*	13%
2008								
325th MED GRP-TYNDALL								
2009	32	25%	*	*	37%	*	27%	23%
2008								
437th MED GRP-CHARLESTON								
2009	183	22%	17%	28%	21%	21%	35%	13%
2008								
AHC FT. MCPHERSON								
2009	428	20%	13%	32%	17%	21%	27%	13%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				P	ercent Same	Day		
	_		A	Active Duty	•	Retirees and Family	B .1	0
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Family Members	Members Under 65	Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
ALABAMA	<u> </u>							
2009	346	31%	25%	40%	33%	28%	41%	16%
2008								
ARKANSAS								
2009	261	25%	22%	48%	16%	27%	28%	16%
2008								
BAYNE-JONES ACH-FT. POLK								
2009	47	30%	*	35%	30%	26%	47%	7%
2008								
BMC NAVSUPPACT EAST BANK								
2009	30	22%	18%	*	*	*	38%	4%
2008								
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON								
2009	183	9%	*	27%	6%	8%	11%	7%
2008								
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD								
2009	388	22%	0%	28%	20%	21%	30%	10%
2008								
EASTERN FLORIDA								
2009	1043	17%	14%	39%	14%	16%	21%	10%
2008								
EASTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	68	25%	17%	43%	11%	23%	37%	10%
2008								
EASTERN TEXAS								
2009	1052	21%	22%	42%	22%	15%	27%	13%
2008								
EISENHOWER AMC-FT. GORDON								
2009	148	15%	*	35%	5%	17%	26%	10%
2008								
FOX AHC-REDSTONE ARSENAL								
2009	192	28%	14%	39%	20%	35%	39%	13%
2008								
GEORGIA								
2009	134	26%	42%	37%	26%	23%	32%	15%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				P	ercent Same	Day		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
GEORGIA-FORMER NOBLE CATCHMENT								
2009	8	*		*	*	*	*	*
2008								
LYSTER AHC-FT. RUCKER								
2009	183	28%	13%	25%	16%	37%	35%	21%
2008								
MARTIN ACH-FT. BENNING								
2009	216	18%	4%	17%	16%	20%	27%	11%
2008								
MISSISSIPPI								
2009	338	23%	31%	46%	17%	18%	27%	15%
2008								
MONCRIEF ACH-FT. JACKSON								
2009	238	19%	4%	27%	13%	23%	30%	11%
2008								
NACC NEW ORLEANS								
2009	84	19%	15%	23%	19%	18%	25%	14%
2008								
NBHC ALBANY								
2009	60	31%	*	55%	28%	29%	45%	16%
2008								
NBHC ATHENS								
2009	100	15%	15%	22%	17%	12%	23%	7%
2008								
NBHC FORT WORTH								
2009	489	22%	10%	31%	22%	19%	26%	14%
2008								
NBHC GULFPORT								
2009	52	14%	18%	*	21%	12%	11%	18%
2008								
NBHC INGLESIDE								
2009	7	*		*		*	*	*
2008								
NBHC KEY WEST								
2009	22	19%	7%	*	*	*	*	12%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

m days from appets viole	_			P	ercent Same			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC KINGS BAY								
2009	100	29%	4%	43%	24%	30%	40%	11%
2008								
NBHC KINGSVILLE								
2009	6	*		*	*	*	*	*
2008								
NBHC MARIETTA								
2009	280	21%	15%	25%	22%	19%	30%	11%
2008								
NBHC MAYPORT								
2009	98	17%	*	30%	16%	15%	23%	9%
2008								
NBHC MCRD PARRIS ISLAND								
2009	21	32%	*	*	*	*	34%	30%
2008								
NBHC MERIDIAN								
2009	59	24%	*	37%	19%	22%	33%	10%
2008								
NBHC MILTON WHITING FIELD								
2009	39	26%	*	*	20%	29%	30%	7%
2008								
NBHC NAS BELLE CHASE								
2009	73	26%	27%	59%	29%	0%	40%	11%
2008								
NBHC NAS JACKSONVILLE								
2009	239	14%	2%	22%	5%	20%	21%	11%
2008								
NBHC NAS PENSACOLA								
2009	8	*			*	*	*	
2008								
NBHC NATTC PENSACOLA								
2009	97	15%	5%	22%	20%	12%	29%	0%
2008								
NBHC NAVCOASTSYSC PANAMA CITY								
2009	141	20%	4%	34%	18%	19%	35%	12%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				P	ercent Same	Day		
	_			Active Duty	Retirees and Family	Retirees and Family		
	Donnenge	Tatal	Active	Family	Members	Members	Primary	Specialty
NBHC NSA MID-SOUTH	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
2009	218	16%	9%	30%	11%	13%	21%	10%
2009	210 			30%	1170			
NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA								
2009	148	17%	14%	17%	23%	12%	20%	15%
2009	140		1470		23%	1270	20%	13%
NBHC PASCAGOULA								
2009	101	19%	29%	25%	8%	23%	30%	7%
2008			2970	2576		2570		
NBHC WPNSTA CHARLESTON	-							
2009	42	40%	*	*	42%	42%	46%	*
2008								
NH BEAUFORT								
2009	66	14%	15%	25%	8%	9%	24%	2%
2008								
NH JACKSONVILLE								
2009	196	25%	9%	27%	16%	34%	30%	18%
2008								
NH PENSACOLA								
2009	14	17%		*	*	*	19%	*
2008								
NHC CHARLESTON								
2009	153	28%	21%	49%	20%	27%	49%	10%
2008								
NHC CORPUS CHRISTI								
2009	103	20%	4%	22%	15%	23%	25%	16%
2008								
OKLAHOMA								
2009	201	24%	28%	38%	19%	24%	30%	11%
2008								
REYNOLDS ACH-FT. SILL								
2009	123	14%	11%	24%	9%	14%	20%	7%
2008								
SOUTH CAROLINA								
2009	361	22%	16%	55%	20%	20%	29%	13%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				P	ercent Same	Day		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
SOUTHCOM CLINIC	. 10000	Total	<u> </u>		011401 00		Guid	- Cui C
2009	204	17%	7%	29%	18%	13%	22%	11%
2008								
TENNESSEE								
2009	783	23%	20%	34%	23%	20%	31%	11%
2008								
TUTTLE AHC-HUNTER ARMY AIRFLD								
2009	190	21%	3%	35%	15%	21%	37%	9%
2008								
WESTERN FLORIDA								
2009	64	16%	*	*	25%	8%	20%	10%
2008								
WESTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	187	29%	21%	37%	30%	26%	32%	22%
2008								
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART								
2009	58	17%	0%	22%	13%	*	14%	19%
2008								

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey - MHS Wide - Provider Region South

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				P	ercent 1-7 Da			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark ¹								
2009 Direct Care Civilian Benchmark								
2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark								
MHS Wide								
2009	61568	52%	46%	45%	53%	57%	53%	49%
2008								
Overall - Direct Care								
2009	26810	45%	45%	43%	46%	43%	48%	40%
2008								
Direct Care - CONUS ²								
2009	24069	44%	45%	43%	45%	43%	47%	40%
2008								
Direct Care - OCONUS								
2009	2741	47%	48%	42%	51%	49%	50%	43%
2008								
Overall - Purchased Care								
2009	34758	55%	52%	47%	55%	57%	56%	53%
2008								
Purchased Care - North Region ³								
2009	10410	52%	51%	46%	53%	54%	53%	50%
2008								
Purchased Care - South Region ³								
2009	14034	56%	53%	47%	56%	59%	58%	55%
2008								
Purchased Care - West Region ³	2212	===/	- 10/	500 /	= 407	500 /	500/	500 /
2009	9916	55%	51%	50%	54%	59%	58%	53%
2008								
Purchased Care - U.S. Family Health Plan		500 /		100/	500 /	2424	500/	===/
2009	397	58%		42%	56%	61%	59%	55%
2008								

¹ Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the (as appropriate) 2009 Civilian Purchased Care or Direct Care Benchmark score. ² CONUS includes Alaska and Hawaii.

³ Region based on region where beneficiary received care.

Note. "--" no response for the reporting period

Note. Data are not shown in cases where fewer than 10 valid responses were received nor are statistical tests reported in cases where fewer than 30 valid responses were received.

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				F	Percent 1-7 Da	ays		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
1st SPEC OPS MED GRP-HURLBURT								
2009	52	54%	*	54%	58%	*	55%	54%
2008								
2nd MED GRP-BARKSDALE								
2009	165	56%	56%	49%	52%	60%	68%	49%
2008								
6th MED GRP-MACDILL								
2009	746	61%	44%	54%	61%	64%	59%	63%
2008								
7th MED GRP-DYESS								
2009	108	50%	50%	38%	47%	60%	39%	60%
2008								
12th MED GRP-RANDOLPH								
2009	103	65%	*	68%	63%	65%	63%	67%
2008								
14th MED GRP-COLUMBUS								
2009	58	49%	42%	*	62%	48%	47%	51%
2008								
17th MED GRP-GOODFELLOW								
2009	39	60%	36%	*	36%	82%	63%	58%
2008								
19th MEDICAL GROUP-LITTLE ROCK								
2009	234	55%	39%	43%	58%	59%	52%	57%
2008								
20th MED GRP-SHAW								
2009	121	48%	52%	40%	38%	58%	44%	54%
2008								
23rd MED GRP-MOODY								
2009	120	53%	67%	48%	42%	64%	52%	54%
2008								
42ND MEDICAL GROUP-MAXWELL								
2009	167	52%	41%	39%	54%	56%	42%	57%
2008								
45th MED GRP-PATRICK								
2009	273	56%	35%	53%	54%	58%	67%	44%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				F	ercent 1-7 Da	ays		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
47th MED GRP-LAUGHLIN	<u> </u>							
2009	7	*	*	*	*		*	*
2008								
59th MED WING-LACKLAND								
2009	186	53%	*	36%	60%	53%	54%	52%
2008								
71st MED GRP-VANCE								
2009	28	32%	47%	*	*	*	*	35%
2008								
72nd MED GRP-TINKER								
2009	316	56%	49%	44%	58%	63%	58%	55%
2008								
78th MED GRP-ROBINS								
2009	220	52%	38%	54%	51%	54%	55%	49%
2008								
81st MED GRP-KEESLER								
2009	62	59%	*	54%	41%	68%	62%	55%
2008								
82nd MED GRP-SHEPPARD								
2009	91	36%	42%	31%	19%	46%	39%	35%
2008								
96th MED GRP-EGLIN								
2009	213	65%	11%	72%	65%	66%	78%	54%
2008								
97th MED GRP-ALTUS								
2009	19	82%	*	*	*	*	*	87%
2008								
325th MED GRP-TYNDALL					/			
2009	32	43%	*	*	30%	*	38%	49%
2008								
437th MED GRP-CHARLESTON	400	500/	500 /	500/	5 40/	5 40/	100/	
2009	183	52%	50%	52%	54%	51%	48%	55%
2008								
AHC FT. MCPHERSON	400	500/	500 /	100/	2001	0.407	0.107	
2009	428	58%	56%	46%	60%	61%	61%	55%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				F	Percent 1-7 Da	ays		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
ALABAMA	rtooponoco	Total	Duty	Wichibers	Officer GO		- Our C	Guic
2009	346	52%	61%	42%	47%	55%	48%	56%
2008								
ARKANSAS								
2009	261	57%	58%	33%	64%	57%	60%	51%
2008								
BAYNE-JONES ACH-FT. POLK								
2009	47	50%	*	36%	45%	64%	40%	64%
2008								
BMC NAVSUPPACT EAST BANK								
2009	30	60%	47%	*	*	*	50%	73%
2008								
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON								
2009	183	59%	*	63%	55%	60%	56%	62%
2008								
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD								
2009	388	48%	39%	42%	51%	51%	53%	39%
2008								
EASTERN FLORIDA								
2009	1043	62%	66%	48%	61%	63%	62%	61%
2008								
EASTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	68	62%	65%	44%	62%	71%	55%	69%
2008								
EASTERN TEXAS								
2009	1052	61%	61%	46%	54%	67%	60%	61%
2008								
EISENHOWER AMC-FT. GORDON								
2009	148	42%	*	38%	52%	37%	41%	42%
2008								
FOX AHC-REDSTONE ARSENAL		4657			1001	4601		
2009	192	49%	33%	52%	49%	49%	52%	46%
2008								
GEORGIA	404	500 /	500 /	100/	5 40/	5 40/	55 0/	4.461
2009	134	52%	50%	43%	51%	54%	55%	44%
2008								 (continued

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				F	Percent 1-7 Da	ays		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
GEORGIA-FORMER NOBLE CATCHMENT	<u> </u>							
2009	8	*		*	*	*	*	*
2008								
LYSTER AHC-FT. RUCKER								
2009	183	48%	62%	49%	51%	44%	51%	44%
2008								
MARTIN ACH-FT. BENNING								
2009	216	50%	57%	51%	40%	52%	49%	51%
2008								
MISSISSIPPI								
2009	338	54%	57%	35%	63%	54%	56%	51%
2008								
MONCRIEF ACH-FT. JACKSON								
2009	238	58%	71%	59%	59%	57%	57%	60%
2008								
NACC NEW ORLEANS								
2009	84	53%	56%	64%	62%	46%	54%	53%
2008								
NBHC ALBANY								
2009	60	50%	*	38%	55%	51%	39%	63%
2008								
NBHC ATHENS								
2009	100	56%	47%	55%	44%	63%	60%	53%
2008								
NBHC FORT WORTH								
2009	489	57%	58%	49%	62%	57%	61%	52%
2008								
NBHC GULFPORT								
2009	52	57%	26%	*	45%	63%	60%	54%
2008								
NBHC INGLESIDE								
2009	7	*		*		*	*	*
2008								
NBHC KEY WEST								
2009	22	73%	66%	*	*	*	*	74%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				F	Percent 1-7 Da			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC KINGS BAY	Теоропосо	Total	Duty	Wichibers	Officer 05	05+	Ourc	Ouic
2009	100	56%	80%	50%	61%	48%	58%	53%
2008								
NBHC KINGSVILLE								
2009	6	*		*	*	*	*	*
2008								
NBHC MARIETTA								
2009	280	59%	57%	51%	59%	62%	61%	57%
2008								
NBHC MAYPORT								
2009	98	59%	*	47%	63%	60%	66%	49%
2008								
NBHC MCRD PARRIS ISLAND								
2009	21	62%	*	*	*	*	66%	56%
2008								
NBHC MERIDIAN								
2009	59	46%	*	44%	51%	45%	44%	50%
2008								
NBHC MILTON WHITING FIELD								
2009	39	60%	*	*	69%	58%	58%	67%
2008								
NBHC NAS BELLE CHASE	 -	240/	500 /	2007	55 0/	2001	50 0/	2001
2009	73	61%	50%	28%	55%	92%	59%	62%
2008								
NBHC NAS JACKSONVILLE	220	E 40/	F00/	270/	F20/	C20/	F00/	F00/
2009	239	54%	58%	37%	53%	62%	58%	52%
2008 NBHC NAS PENSACOLA								
2009	8	*			*	*	*	
2009	o 							
NBHC NATTC PENSACOLA								
2009	97	51%	66%	22%	54%	52%	47%	56%
2009	91 	31%		22 <i>7</i> 0	54% 	52 % 	47 70	
NBHC NAVCOASTSYSC PANAMA CITY								
2009	141	51%	65%	29%	44%	60%	51%	50%
2009	141	31%		29%	44 70		J176 	30%
2000								/aantinua

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				F	Percent 1-7 Da			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC NSA MID-SOUTH	responses	Total	Duty	Wiellibers	Officer 05	03+	Care	Care
2009	218	66%	51%	52%	70%	71%	61%	72%
2008				JZ 70 				
NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA								
2009	148	57%	75%	31%	47%	68%	66%	52%
2008								
NBHC PASCAGOULA								
2009	101	60%	38%	67%	62%	58%	52%	69%
2008								
NBHC WPNSTA CHARLESTON								
2009	42	53%	*	*	55%	50%	54%	*
2008								
NH BEAUFORT								
2009	66	58%	69%	66%	44%	59%	60%	56%
2008								
NH JACKSONVILLE								
2009	196	56%	47%	51%	61%	52%	60%	50%
2008								
NH PENSACOLA								
2009	14	76%		*	*	*	73%	*
2008								
NHC CHARLESTON	450	500/	470/	2021	5 407	500 /	100/	===/
2009	153	50%	47%	39%	54%	50%	43%	55%
2008								
NHC CORPUS CHRISTI	400	C20/	F00/	F00/	750/	C20/	000/	F00/
2009 2008	103 	62%	58%	50% 	75%	63%	66%	58%
OKLAHOMA								
2009	201	52%	51%	49%	51%	53%	55%	47%
2009	201 	32% 	31%	49%	51%	55% 	55% 	47%
REYNOLDS ACH-FT. SILL								
2009	123	55%	55%	44%	56%	60%	50%	60%
2009	125						J0 /0 	
SOUTH CAROLINA								
2009	361	57%	61%	34%	59%	59%	58%	57%
2008	001	01/0	0170	0-170	0070	0070	0070	01/0

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				F	Percent 1-7 Da	ays		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
SOUTHCOM CLINIC	·							
2009	204	61%	48%	60%	70%	59%	65%	55%
2008								
TENNESSEE								
2009	783	56%	55%	42%	57%	58%	58%	52%
2008								
TUTTLE AHC-HUNTER ARMY AIRFLD								
2009	190	50%	52%	40%	41%	65%	46%	53%
2008								
WESTERN FLORIDA								
2009	64	42%	*	*	39%	40%	40%	45%
2008								
WESTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	187	56%	64%	49%	51%	61%	58%	53%
2008								
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART								
2009	58	56%	31%	49%	59%	*	71%	42%
2008								

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey - MHS Wide - Provider Region South

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

	_			Pe	ercent 8-30 D	ays		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark ¹								
2009 Direct Care Civilian Benchmark								
2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark								
MHS Wide								
2009	61568	24%	30%	25%	24%	20%	18%	32%
2008								
Overall - Direct Care								
2009	26810	32%	30%	33%	36%	38%	27%	39%
2008								
Direct Care - CONUS ²								
2009	24069	33%	31%	33%	36%	39%	28%	39%
2008								
Direct Care - OCONUS								
2009	2741	28%	27%	31%	31%	29%	23%	37%
2008								
Overall - Purchased Care								
2009	34758	20%	27%	19%	21%	19%	13%	29%
2008								
Purchased Care - North Region ³								
2009	10410	21%	26%	18%	21%	20%	15%	29%
2008								
Purchased Care - South Region ³								
2009	14034	19%	27%	18%	21%	17%	12%	27%
2008								
Purchased Care - West Region ³								
2009	9916	21%	27%	20%	22%	21%	13%	30%
2008								
Purchased Care - U.S. Family Health Plan								
2009	397	18%		12%	12%	22%	10%	34%
2008								

¹ Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the (as appropriate) 2009 Civilian Purchased Care or Direct Care Benchmark score. ² CONUS includes Alaska and Hawaii.

³ Region based on region where beneficiary received care.

Note. "--" no response for the reporting period

Note. Data are not shown in cases where fewer than 10 valid responses were received nor are statistical tests reported in cases where fewer than 30 valid responses were received.

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				P	ercent 8-30 D	ays		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
1st SPEC OPS MED GRP-HURLBURT	Responses	TOLAI	Duty	Members	Officer 65	05+	Care	Care
2009	52	16%	*	7%	12%	*	0%	40%
2008								
2nd MED GRP-BARKSDALE								
2009	165	15%	23%	23%	17%	10%	0%	24%
2008								
6th MED GRP-MACDILL								
2009	746	20%	37%	18%	20%	19%	16%	24%
2008								
7th MED GRP-DYESS								
2009	108	19%	29%	21%	19%	15%	13%	25%
2008								
12th MED GRP-RANDOLPH								
2009	103	19%	*	0%	20%	20%	18%	20%
2008								
14th MED GRP-COLUMBUS								
2009	58	22%	23%	*	22%	20%	16%	29%
2008								
17th MED GRP-GOODFELLOW								
2009	39	26%	8%	*	37%	18%	28%	24%
2008								
19th MEDICAL GROUP-LITTLE ROCK								
2009	234	19%	33%	22%	17%	16%	13%	25%
2008								
20th MED GRP-SHAW	404	400/	070/	040/	0.40/	4.40/	400/	070/
2009	121	18%	27%	21%	24%	11%	10%	27%
2008								
23rd MED GRP-MOODY	100	200/	450/	4.00/	200/	470/	470/	220/
2009 2008	120 	20%	15% 	12% 	28%	17% 	17% 	22%
42ND MEDICAL GROUP-MAXWELL								
2009	167	19%	31%	32%	17%	15%	11%	24%
2009	107	19%	31%	32%	17%	15%	11%	24%
45th MED GRP-PATRICK								
2009	273	22%	59%	22%	27%	19%	8%	38%
2009	2/3	ZZ 70 	39% 	ZZ70 	Z1 70 	1970	070	30%
2000								/continuo

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				P	ercent 8-30 D	ays		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
47th MED GRP-LAUGHLIN	<u> </u>							
2009	7	*	*	*	*		*	*
2008								
59th MED WING-LACKLAND								
2009	186	23%	*	26%	21%	24%	20%	26%
2008								
71st MED GRP-VANCE								
2009	28	39%	53%	*	*	*	*	47%
2008								
72nd MED GRP-TINKER								
2009	316	20%	32%	20%	23%	15%	14%	25%
2008								
78th MED GRP-ROBINS								
2009	220	21%	44%	25%	26%	12%	5%	35%
2008								
81st MED GRP-KEESLER								
2009	62	26%	*	44%	37%	16%	16%	37%
2008								
82nd MED GRP-SHEPPARD								
2009	91	37%	33%	35%	47%	34%	30%	40%
2008								
96th MED GRP-EGLIN								
2009	213	19%	31%	20%	18%	19%	9%	28%
2008								
97th MED GRP-ALTUS								
2009	19	0%	*	*	*	*	*	0%
2008								
325th MED GRP-TYNDALL								
2009	32	18%	*	*	12%	*	23%	10%
2008								
437th MED GRP-CHARLESTON								
2009	183	15%	29%	21%	14%	14%	12%	18%
2008								
AHC FT. MCPHERSON								
2009	428	18%	29%	19%	20%	13%	9%	28%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				P	ercent 8-30 D	ays		
	_		Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
ALABAMA								
2009	346	12%	12%	12%	16%	9%	7%	18%
2008								
ARKANSAS								
2009	261	12%	19%	17%	15%	10%	7%	28%
2008								
BAYNE-JONES ACH-FT. POLK								
2009	47	19%	*	29%	21%	9%	13%	25%
2008								
BMC NAVSUPPACT EAST BANK								
2009	30	16%	29%	*	*	*	13%	21%
2008								
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON								
2009	183	27%	*	10%	35%	26%	28%	27%
2008								
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD								
2009	388	23%	60%	22%	23%	21%	14%	37%
2008								
EASTERN FLORIDA								
2009	1043	17%	20%	8%	22%	16%	13%	24%
2008								
EASTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	68	13%	19%	13%	22%	6%	8%	18%
2008								
EASTERN TEXAS								
2009	1052	14%	16%	10%	19%	13%	9%	22%
2008								
EISENHOWER AMC-FT. GORDON								
2009	148	38%	*	27%	40%	38%	29%	42%
2008								
FOX AHC-REDSTONE ARSENAL								
2009	192	19%	48%	9%	27%	10%	9%	31%
2008								
GEORGIA								
2009	134	19%	4%	20%	22%	17%	10%	35%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

· ·				P	ercent 8-30 D	ays		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
GEORGIA-FORMER NOBLE CATCHMENT	тооролооо	Total	Duty	Weinberg	Officer 60		Guic	<u> </u>
2009	8	*		*	*	*	*	*
2008								
LYSTER AHC-FT. RUCKER								
2009	183	18%	20%	20%	27%	13%	8%	29%
2008								
MARTIN ACH-FT. BENNING								
2009	216	25%	25%	27%	31%	23%	18%	31%
2008								
MISSISSIPPI								
2009	338	19%	12%	19%	18%	21%	15%	27%
2008								
MONCRIEF ACH-FT. JACKSON								
2009	238	15%	18%	10%	22%	12%	12%	17%
2008								
NACC NEW ORLEANS								
2009	84	25%	28%	10%	19%	31%	20%	28%
2008								
NBHC ALBANY								
2009	60	17%	*	0%	17%	20%	15%	19%
2008								
NBHC ATHENS								
2009	100	25%	37%	24%	29%	22%	13%	36%
2008								
NBHC FORT WORTH								
2009	489	16%	30%	16%	14%	18%	10%	26%
2008								
NBHC GULFPORT								
2009	52	18%	37%	*	33%	13%	19%	17%
2008								
NBHC INGLESIDE								
2009	7	*		*		*	*	*
2008								
NBHC KEY WEST								
2009	22	8%	27%	*	*	*	*	13%
2008								 (continue

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

" days nom appets viole				Р	ercent 8-30 D			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC KINGS BAY								
2009	100	13%	16%	7%	10%	23%	0%	33%
2008								
NBHC KINGSVILLE								
2009	6	*		*	*	*	*	*
2008								
NBHC MARIETTA								
2009	280	17%	28%	17%	14%	19%	7%	27%
2008								
NBHC MAYPORT								
2009	98	19%	*	23%	15%	21%	6%	40%
2008								
NBHC MCRD PARRIS ISLAND								
2009	21	6%	*	*	*	*	0%	14%
2008								
NBHC MERIDIAN								
2009	59	22%	*	16%	30%	19%	11%	38%
2008								
NBHC MILTON WHITING FIELD								
2009	39	13%	*	*	6%	13%	11%	17%
2008								
NBHC NAS BELLE CHASE								
2009	73	10%	18%	6%	13%	8%	1%	21%
2008								
NBHC NAS JACKSONVILLE								
2009	239	25%	38%	36%	30%	15%	21%	27%
2008								
NBHC NAS PENSACOLA								
2009	8	*			*	*	*	
2008								
NBHC NATTC PENSACOLA								
2009	97	26%	24%	27%	25%	27%	19%	35%
2008								
NBHC NAVCOASTSYSC PANAMA CITY								
2009	141	27%	31%	37%	34%	18%	14%	34%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				P	ercent 8-30 D	ays		
	_		Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
NBHC NSA MID-SOUTH								
2009	218	12%	39%	16%	14%	7%	11%	14%
2008								
NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA								
2009	148	21%	11%	49%	27%	13%	8%	29%
2008								
NBHC PASCAGOULA								
2009	101	16%	33%	8%	28%	8%	13%	19%
2008								
NBHC WPNSTA CHARLESTON								
2009	42	7%	*	*	3%	8%	0%	*
2008								
NH BEAUFORT								
2009	66	26%	16%	10%	41%	32%	15%	38%
2008								
NH JACKSONVILLE								
2009	196	17%	40%	23%	18%	14%	9%	29%
2008								
NH PENSACOLA								
2009	14	0%		*	*	*	0%	*
2008								
NHC CHARLESTON								
2009	153	18%	32%	11%	20%	17%	8%	26%
2008								
NHC CORPUS CHRISTI								
2009	103	15%	31%	28%	5%	11%	6%	24%
2008								
OKLAHOMA								
2009	201	21%	21%	13%	25%	20%	12%	38%
2008								
REYNOLDS ACH-FT. SILL								
2009	123	25%	35%	26%	26%	21%	26%	24%
2008								
SOUTH CAROLINA								
2009	361	17%	15%	12%	18%	17%	12%	25%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

as a many many appearance				P	ercent 8-30 D	ays		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
SOUTHCOM CLINIC					011401 00			
2009 2008	204	19% 	42% 	10%	12%	22%	9%	32%
TENNESSEE								
2009	783	19%	20%	19%	17%	19%	9%	32%
2008								
TUTTLE AHC-HUNTER ARMY AIRFLD								
2009	190	24%	36%	24%	38%	8%	15%	30%
2008								
WESTERN FLORIDA								
2009	64	37%	*	*	33%	45%	36%	37%
2008								
WESTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	187	11%	10%	13%	13%	10%	9%	16%
2008								
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART								
2009	58	26%	52%	26%	28%	*	13%	37%
2008								

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey - MHS Wide - Provider Region South

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

	_			Perce	ent 31 Days o			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark ¹								
2009 Direct Care Civilian Benchmark								
2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark								
MHS Wide								
2009	61568	4%	3%	4%	4%	5%	3%	6%
2008								
Overall - Direct Care								
2009	26810	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	2%	5%
2008								
Direct Care - CONUS ²								
2009	24069	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	2%	5%
2008								
Direct Care - OCONUS								
2009	2741	3%	2%	4%	3%	1%	1%	5%
2008								
Overall - Purchased Care								
2009	34758	5%	4%	3%	5%	5%	3%	7%
2008								
Purchased Care - North Region ³								
2009	10410	5%	4%	4%	5%	6%	3%	8%
2008								
Purchased Care - South Region ³	4.400.4	50 /	407	20/	5 0/	50 /	20/	00/
2009	14034	5%	4%	3%	5%	5%	3%	6%
2008								
Purchased Care - West Region ³ 2009	0016	5%	4%	40/	5%	5%	3%	60/
2009	9916			4%				6%
Purchased Care - U.S. Family Health Plan								
2009	397	4%		9%	4%	3%	3%	5%
2009	39 <i>1</i> 	4% 		9%	4%	3% 	3% 	5%
2000					<u></u>			

¹ Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the (as appropriate) 2009 Civilian Purchased Care or Direct Care Benchmark score. ² CONUS includes Alaska and Hawaii.

³ Region based on region where beneficiary received care.

Note. "--" no response for the reporting period

Note. Data are not shown in cases where fewer than 10 valid responses were received nor are statistical tests reported in cases where fewer than 30 valid responses were received.

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

	_			Perc	ent 31 Days o			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
1st SPEC OPS MED GRP-HURLBURT	Тооролосо	Total	Duty	Members	Officer co	001	Guic	Juic
2009	52	0%	*	0%	0%	*	0%	0%
2008								
2nd MED GRP-BARKSDALE								
2009	165	7%	6%	2%	12%	7%	4%	9%
2008								
6th MED GRP-MACDILL								
2009	746	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%
2008								
7th MED GRP-DYESS								
2009	108	6%	4%	14%	8%	0%	4%	9%
2008								
12th MED GRP-RANDOLPH								
2009	103	1%	*	0%	3%	0%	1%	0%
2008								
14th MED GRP-COLUMBUS								
2009	58	9%	0%	*	0%	18%	7 %	12%
2008								
17th MED GRP-GOODFELLOW								
2009	39	5%	34%	*	7%	0%	0%	9%
2008								
19th MEDICAL GROUP-LITTLE ROCK								
2009	234	4%	8%	5%	6%	3%	4%	4%
2008								
20th MED GRP-SHAW	101	10/	00/	001	221	201	201	40/
2009	121	1%	2%	3%	0%	0%	0%	1%
2008								
23rd MED GRP-MOODY	400	00/	00/	00/	400/	407	5 0/	00/
2009	120	6%	2%	0%	13%	4%	5%	8%
2008								
42ND MEDICAL GROUP-MAXWELL	407	70/	450/	F0/	F 0/	00/	F0/	00/
2009	167	7%	15%	5%	5%	8%	5%	8%
2008								
45th MED GRP-PATRICK	272	20/	00/	00/	40/	40/	20/	E0/
2009	273	3%	0%	0%	4%	4%	2%	5%
2008								/oontinuo

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				Perce	ent 31 Days o	r More		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
47th MED GRP-LAUGHLIN								
2009	7	*	*	*	*		*	*
2008								
59th MED WING-LACKLAND								
2009	186	8%	*	6%	4%	10%	6%	10%
2008								
71st MED GRP-VANCE								
2009	28	10%	0%	*	*	*	*	3%
2008								
72nd MED GRP-TINKER								
2009	316	5%	4%	4%	6%	4%	2%	7%
2008								
78th MED GRP-ROBINS								
2009	220	4%	4%	0%	2%	7%	3%	4%
2008								
81st MED GRP-KEESLER								
2009	62	3%	*	2%	0%	4%	0%	5%
2008								
82nd MED GRP-SHEPPARD								
2009	91	8%	11%	9%	14%	5%	4%	10%
2008								
96th MED GRP-EGLIN								
2009	213	5%	31%	0%	8%	3%	0%	9%
2008								
97th MED GRP-ALTUS								
2009	19	0%	*	*	*	*	*	0%
2008								
325th MED GRP-TYNDALL								
2009	32	14%	*	*	21%	*	12%	17%
2008								
437th MED GRP-CHARLESTON								
2009	183	10%	4%	0%	11%	15%	5%	14%
2008								
AHC FT. MCPHERSON								
2009	428	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%	3%	4%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

a days non approx				Perc	ent 31 Days o	r More		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
ALABAMA								
2009	346	6%	3%	7%	4%	7%	3%	10%
2008								
ARKANSAS								
2009	261	5%	1%	2%	4%	6%	5%	5%
2008								
BAYNE-JONES ACH-FT. POLK								
2009	47	2%	*	0%	4%	0%	0%	3%
2008								
BMC NAVSUPPACT EAST BANK								
2009	30	1%	6%	*	*	*	0%	3%
2008								
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON								
2009	183	5%	*	0%	5%	5%	5%	4%
2008								
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD								
2009	388	7%	2%	7%	6%	7%	2%	13%
2008								
EASTERN FLORIDA								
2009	1043	4%	0%	5%	3%	5%	4%	5%
2008								
EASTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	68	1%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	2%
2008								
EASTERN TEXAS								
2009	1052	4%	1%	1%	5%	5%	4%	4%
2008								
EISENHOWER AMC-FT. GORDON								
2009	148	6%	*	0%	3%	8%	4%	7%
2008								
FOX AHC-REDSTONE ARSENAL								
2009	192	4%	5%	0%	4%	5%	0%	10%
2008								
GEORGIA								
2009	134	4%	5%	0%	2%	5%	2%	6%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				Perc	ent 31 Days o	r More		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
GEORGIA-FORMER NOBLE CATCHMENT	·							
2009	8	*		*	*	*	*	*
2008								
LYSTER AHC-FT. RUCKER								
2009	183	6%	5%	6%	7%	6%	7%	5%
2008								
MARTIN ACH-FT. BENNING								
2009	216	7%	14%	6%	13%	6%	6%	8%
2008								
MISSISSIPPI								
2009	338	4%	0%	0%	2%	7%	3%	7%
2008								
MONCRIEF ACH-FT. JACKSON								
2009	238	7%	6%	5%	6%	9%	1%	13%
2008								
NACC NEW ORLEANS								
2009	84	3%	2%	3%	0%	5%	0%	5%
2008								
NBHC ALBANY								
2009	60	1%	*	7%	0%	0%	1%	2%
2008								
NBHC ATHENS								
2009	100	5%	0%	0%	9%	3%	5%	4%
2008								
NBHC FORT WORTH								
2009	489	5%	2%	4%	2%	7%	3%	8%
2008								
NBHC GULFPORT								
2009	52	10%	19%	*	0%	12%	9%	11%
2008								
NBHC INGLESIDE								
2009	7	*		*		*	*	*
2008								
NBHC KEY WEST								
2009	22	0%	0%	*	*	*	*	0%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

m days from appets viole	_			Perc	ent 31 Days o			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC KINGS BAY								
2009	100	2%	0%	0%	4%	0%	2%	2%
2008								
NBHC KINGSVILLE								
2009	6	*		*	*	*	*	*
2008								
NBHC MARIETTA								
2009	280	3%	0%	7%	5%	0%	2%	4%
2008								
NBHC MAYPORT								
2009	98	4%	*	0%	6%	3%	4%	3%
2008								
NBHC MCRD PARRIS ISLAND								
2009	21	0%	*	*	*	*	0%	0%
2008								
NBHC MERIDIAN								
2009	59	8%	*	4%	0%	14%	13%	2%
2008								
NBHC MILTON WHITING FIELD								
2009	39	2%	*	*	5%	0%	0%	9%
2008								
NBHC NAS BELLE CHASE								
2009	73	3%	5%	6%	3%	0%	0%	6%
2008								
NBHC NAS JACKSONVILLE								
2009	239	7%	2%	5%	12%	3%	0%	10%
2008								
NBHC NAS PENSACOLA								
2009	8	*			*	*	*	
2008								
NBHC NATTC PENSACOLA								
2009	97	7%	5%	28%	2%	9%	5%	9%
2008								
NBHC NAVCOASTSYSC PANAMA CITY								
2009	141	3%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	4%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				Perc	ent 31 Days o	r More		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC NSA MID-SOUTH			2 4.0					
2009	218	6%	0%	2%	4%	9%	7%	4%
2008								
NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA								
2009	148	5%	0%	3%	3%	7%	6%	4%
2008								
NBHC PASCAGOULA								
2009	101	5%	0%	0%	2%	11%	5%	5%
2008								
NBHC WPNSTA CHARLESTON								
2009	42	0%	*	*	0%	0%	0%	*
2008								
NH BEAUFORT								
2009	66	2%	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	3%
2008								
NH JACKSONVILLE								
2009	196	2%	4%	0%	5%	0%	1%	3%
2008								
NH PENSACOLA								
2009	14	8%		*	*	*	9%	*
2008								
NHC CHARLESTON								
2009	153	5%	0%	0%	6%	6%	0%	9%
2008								
NHC CORPUS CHRISTI								
2009	103	3%	7%	0%	5%	3%	4%	2%
2008								
OKLAHOMA								
2009	201	3%	0%	0%	5%	3%	3%	4%
2008								
REYNOLDS ACH-FT. SILL								
2009	123	6%	0%	6%	9%	5%	4%	9%
2008								
SOUTH CAROLINA								
2009	361	3%	8%	0%	2%	4%	2%	5%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3B - # days from appt to visit

				Perc	ent 31 Days o	r More		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
SOUTHCOM CLINIC	·		,					
2009	204	3%	3%	0%	0%	7%	4%	2%
2008								
TENNESSEE								
2009	783	3%	5%	5%	3%	3%	2%	5%
2008								
TUTTLE AHC-HUNTER ARMY AIRFLD								
2009	190	5%	8%	1%	6%	6%	1%	8%
2008								
WESTERN FLORIDA								
2009	64	5%	*	*	4%	8%	4%	8%
2008								
WESTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	187	3%	5%	2%	6%	3%	0%	9%
2008								
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART								
2009	58	2%	17%	2%	0%	*	2%	2%
2008								

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey - MHS Wide - Provider Region South

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites

Composite 2 - Doctors Communicate

·				Pr	oportion Alw			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark ¹		81%						
2009 Direct Care Civilian Benchmark		77%						
2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark		84%						
MHS Wide								
2009	82713.2	84%	78%	80%	86%	89%	84%	85%
2008	110743.8	83%	78%	79%	85%	89%	83%	84%
Overall - Direct Care								
2009	33608.5	79%	78%	77%	83%	89%	77%	82%
2008	35448	78%	77%	76%	82%	89%	75%	82%
Direct Care - CONUS ²								
2009	30105.2	79%	78%	77%	83%	89%	77%	82%
2008	32234.5	78%	77%	75%	82%	88%	75%	82%
Direct Care - OCONUS								
2009	3503.3	79%	78%	80%	83%	87%	77%	84%
2008	3213.5	79%	77%	80%	87%	90%	77%	82%
Overall - Purchased Care								
2009	49104.7	87%	83%	83%	87%	89%	88%	86%
2008	75295.8	87%	81%	82%	86%	89%	87%	85%
Purchased Care - North Region ³								
2009	14844.7	88%	83%	83%	87%	90%	88%	86%
2008	23097.7	87%	82%	83%	87%	89%	88%	85%
Purchased Care - South Region ³								
2009	19682.3	87%	82%	82%	86%	89%	87%	86%
2008	29860.7	87%	80%	82%	86%	89%	87%	86%
Purchased Care - West Region ³								
2009	13986.2	87%	83%	83%	87%	88%	87%	86%
2008	21182.8	86%	81%	82%	85%	88%	87%	84%
Purchased Care - U.S. Family Health Plan								
2009	590.5	88%	*	81%	87%	90%	90%	85%
2008	1152.7	87%		79%	85%	89%	86%	88%

¹ Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the (as appropriate) 2009 Civilian Purchased Care or Direct Care Benchmark score. ² CONUS includes Alaska and Hawaii.

³ Region based on region where beneficiary received care.

Note. "--" no response for the reporting period

Note. Data are not shown in cases where fewer than 10 valid responses were received nor are statistical tests reported in cases where fewer than 30 valid responses were received.

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites Composite 2 - Doctors Communicate

	_			P	roportion Alw			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
1st SPEC OPS MED GRP-HURLBURT								
2009	79.2	80%	92%	79%	85%	76%	84%	75%
2008	97.2	85%	*	85%	95%	73%	84%	90%
2nd MED GRP-BARKSDALE								
2009	226.5	90%	83%	90%	90%	90%	88%	90%
2008	308.3	88%	84%	88%	78%	91%	85%	90%
6th MED GRP-MACDILL								
2009	1096.3	86%	83%	81%	84%	87%	85%	86%
2008	1763.3	84%	77%	77%	81%	88%	87%	81%
7th MED GRP-DYESS								
2009	143.3	84%	87%	74%	91%	87%	85%	84%
2008	177.5	85%	79%	80%	85%	89%	86%	83%
12th MED GRP-RANDOLPH								
2009	142.2	84%	*	82%	82%	85%	85%	81%
2008	238.7	87%	*	86%	84%	89%	87%	88%
14th MED GRP-COLUMBUS								
2009	75.7	91%	82%	*	88%	96%	91%	91%
2008	89.2	87%	*	81%	97%	90%	87%	88%
17th MED GRP-GOODFELLOW								
2009	58.5	89%	80%	*	92%	87%	90%	88%
2008	88.2	82%	84%	88%	74%	83%	82%	82%
19th MEDICAL GROUP-LITTLE ROCK								
2009	316.2	89%	85%	88%	88%	91%	90%	89%
2008	464.2	88%	80%	90%	89%	88%	89%	86%
20th MED GRP-SHAW								
2009	159.5	89%	88%	90%	89%	89%	88%	91%
2008	229.5	86%	93%	76%	86%	91%	79%	92%
23rd MED GRP-MOODY								
2009	171.2	84%	75%	84%	83%	87%	85%	83%
2008	235.5	84%	80%	77%	86%	89%	84%	85%
42ND MEDICAL GROUP-MAXWELL								
2009	220.8	87%	66%	86%	86%	89%	84%	88%
2008	308.5	85%	77%	83%	82%	88%	85%	86%
45th MED GRP-PATRICK								
2009	364.2	88%	78%	78%	86%	90%	87%	89%
2008	718.3	87%	68%	85%	87%	87%	88%	85%

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites Composite 2 - Doctors Communicate

				Pı	oportion Alw	ays		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
47th MED GRP-LAUGHLIN								
2009	11.3	68%	*	*	*	*	*	69%
2008	17.5	78%	*	*	*	*	*	69%
59th MED WING-LACKLAND								
2009	250.7	88%	71%	77%	90%	90%	88%	89%
2008	337	88%	*	75%	90%	89%	90%	86%
71st MED GRP-VANCE								
2009	37.5	87%	83%	81%	*	*	*	83%
2008	32.8	88%	*	*	*	94%	93%	84%
72nd MED GRP-TINKER								
2009	465.5	86%	86%	81%	86%	90%	87%	86%
2008	595.2	82%	82%	77%	87%	83%	82%	83%
78th MED GRP-ROBINS								
2009	322.5	87%	81%	88%	86%	88%	87%	87%
2008	481.5	86%	84%	79%	86%	91%	88%	85%
81st MED GRP-KEESLER								
2009	79.2	85%	81%	74%	73%	93%	81%	90%
2008	110.2	85%	*	*	75%	92%	88%	81%
82nd MED GRP-SHEPPARD								
2009	120.7	83%	61%	80%	83%	90%	78%	86%
2008	168.7	83%	67%	67%	90%	91%	88%	81%
96th MED GRP-EGLIN								
2009	316.2	90%	93%	82%	90%	91%	91%	89%
2008	504.2	89%	88%	88%	88%	90%	89%	89%
97th MED GRP-ALTUS								
2009	26.8	94%	*	*	*	*	*	92%
2008	34	89%	*	*	78%	99%	89%	90%
325th MED GRP-TYNDALL					10,0			
2009	39.5	86%	*	78%	89%	91%	88%	84%
2008	88.7	89%	*	*	69%	97%	94%	78%
437th MED GRP-CHARLESTON	30.1	2370			3370	5. 70	3 170	10,0
2009	243.7	87%	77%	81%	89%	90%	90%	86%
2008	345	87%	87%	82%	85%	91%	88%	86%
AHC FT. MCPHERSON	0-10	01 /0	0170	0270	0070	0170	0070	5570
2009	586	86%	82%	81%	85%	89%	87%	85%
2008	740.3	84%	78%	88%	82%	85%	83%	84%
2000	140.3	U 4 /0	1070	00 /0	UZ /0	00 /0	00 /0	(continue

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites Composite 2 - Doctors Communicate

				P	roportion Alw			
	_				Retirees	Retirees		
				Active Duty	and Family	and Family		
			Active	Family	Members	Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
ALABAMA								
2009	506.5	87%	91%	80%	84%	89%	86%	88%
2008	765.2	87%	90%	83%	85%	88%	87%	86%
ARKANSAS								
2009	367	89%	88%	89%	88%	90%	89%	90%
2008	592.2	88%	82%	79%	88%	90%	90%	85%
BAYNE-JONES ACH-FT. POLK								
2009	61.5	87%	*	67%	91%	94%	88%	86%
2008	93.2	82%	*	81%	87%	92%	95%	70%
BMC NAVSUPPACT EAST BANK								
2009	39.8	71%	79%	59%	*	*	75%	67%
2008	38.3	82%	*	73%	*	*	71%	90%
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON								
2009	226.2	83%	*	75%	84%	84%	86%	80%
2008	410.2	87%	*	84%	88%	88%	90%	84%
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD								
2009	549.7	82%	82%	79%	83%	85%	81%	84%
2008	786.5	81%	73%	80%	80%	87%	82%	80%
EASTERN FLORIDA								
2009	1525.5	86%	86%	82%	81%	88%	87%	86%
2008	2773.5	86%	76%	75%	85%	87%	86%	86%
EASTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	101	87%	84%	76%	97%	88%	88%	86%
2008	186.2	88%	91%	88%	84%	90%	89%	86%
EASTERN TEXAS								
2009	1435	87%	78%	83%	86%	89%	87%	87%
2008	2390.3	87%	81%	82%	85%	91%	88%	87%
EISENHOWER AMC-FT. GORDON								
2009	206.5	86%	73%	88%	84%	86%	88%	84%
2008	290	90%	*	94%	85%	94%	93%	88%
FOX AHC-REDSTONE ARSENAL								
2009	257.3	88%	78%	70%	89%	90%	90%	85%
2008	428.2	90%	90%	80%	89%	93%	90%	90%
GEORGIA								
2009	186.8	91%	72%	86%	95%	91%	91%	92%
2008	308.5	88%	88%	85%	84%	92%	89%	86%

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites Composite 2 - Doctors Communicate

				Pi	roportion Alw	ays		
	_				Retirees	Retirees		
				Active Duty	and Family	and Family		
			Active	Family	Members	Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
GEORGIA-FORMER NOBLE CATCHMENT								
2009	16.5	91%	*	*	*	*	89%	*
2008	23.7	91%		*	*	89%	90%	92%
LYSTER AHC-FT. RUCKER								
2009	261.7	91%	81%	92%	87%	95%	94%	89%
2008	393.8	84%	66%	85%	83%	86%	86%	82%
MARTIN ACH-FT. BENNING								
2009	299.5	88%	85%	80%	79%	94%	90%	87%
2008	426.2	87%	85%	78%	88%	90%	89%	86%
MISSISSIPPI								
2009	474.7	88%	82%	87%	89%	89%	88%	87%
2008	579.3	87%	69%	87%	88%	91%	88%	85%
MONCRIEF ACH-FT. JACKSON								
2009	350.7	86%	83%	90%	79%	90%	85%	87%
2008	566.8	89%	86%	86%	89%	91%	90%	89%
NACC NEW ORLEANS								
2009	120.8	86%	87%	78%	93%	87%	92%	81%
2008	130	92%	84%	95%	91%	95%	96%	88%
NBHC ALBANY								
2009	76.7	93%	*	92%	92%	94%	94%	92%
2008	130.7	84%	*	88%	73%	90%	85%	84%
NBHC ATHENS								
2009	143	88%	85%	70%	86%	91%	88%	89%
2008	207.3	87%	*	70%	88%	90%	83%	91%
NBHC FORT WORTH								
2009	676.5	88%	84%	78%	86%	91%	88%	87%
2008	1111.5	87%	78%	84%	85%	89%	87%	87%
NBHC GULFPORT								
2009	86.8	87%	84%	75%	95%	87%	89%	86%
2008	113	75%	*	67%	88%	75%	74%	76%
NBHC INGLESIDE								
2009	10.5	84%		*	*	*	*	*
2008	13.8	97%	*	*	*	*	*	*
NBHC KEY WEST								
2009	37.3	98%	89%	*	*	*	*	97%
2008	48.3	79%	95%	*	69%	72%	59%	90%

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites Composite 2 - Doctors Communicate

				Pi	roportion Alw	ays		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC KINGS BAY	. 100p0000	Total	Duty		011401 00		Guio	- Cui C
2009	129.5	85%	80%	83%	89%	80%	85%	84%
2008	193	82%	*	80%	79%	86%	82%	82%
NBHC KINGSVILLE								
2009	7.7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	12.5	90%			*	*	*	*
NBHC MARIETTA								
2009	387.3	86%	88%	80%	86%	88%	88%	84%
2008	592.5	89%	82%	89%	90%	88%	89%	89%
NBHC MAYPORT								
2009	133.7	89%	*	93%	87%	92%	91%	87%
2008	280.5	88%	*	88%	84%	92%	86%	91%
NBHC MCRD PARRIS ISLAND								
2009	30.8	85%	*	*	*	*	80%	90%
2008	43.5	88%	*	*	*	83%	84%	92%
NBHC MERIDIAN								
2009	83.3	87%	*	92%	95%	82%	83%	91%
2008	137.3	86%	98%	81%	86%	87%	92%	79%
NBHC MILTON WHITING FIELD								
2009	66.7	91%	*	89%	85%	95%	91%	90%
2008	99.3	88%	*	89%	91%	87%	92%	80%
NBHC NAS BELLE CHASE								
2009	102.2	84%	86%	72%	82%	93%	88%	80%
2008	149	90%	78%	89%	87%	97%	91%	88%
NBHC NAS JACKSONVILLE								
2009	315	86%	81%	82%	89%	86%	90%	84%
2008	502.8	89%	75%	83%	89%	91%	89%	89%
NBHC NAS PENSACOLA								
2009	9.3	*		*	*	*	*	*
2008	20.2	96%		*	*	*	96%	
NBHC NATTC PENSACOLA								
2009	135.5	84%	88%	92%	86%	82%	87%	82%
2008	213.5	91%	*	86%	93%	91%	92%	90%
NBHC NAVCOASTSYSC PANAMA CITY								
2009	214.3	87%	81%	85%	87%	87%	85%	88%
2008	270.3	89%	84%	81%	87%	93%	89%	90%

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites Composite 2 - Doctors Communicate

				Pi	roportion Alw	ays		
	_				Retirees	Retirees		
				Active Duty	and Family	and Family		
			Active	Family	Members	Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
NBHC NSA MID-SOUTH								
2009	291	86%	79%	80%	89%	88%	85%	88%
2008	428.7	85%	85%	82%	82%	90%	84%	88%
NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA								
2009	212.5	90%	83%	88%	90%	91%	94%	88%
2008	361.2	89%	60%	87%	90%	89%	91%	87%
NBHC PASCAGOULA								
2009	139.7	85%	86%	85%	86%	83%	83%	86%
2008	199.2	85%	*	84%	83%	87%	84%	85%
NBHC WPNSTA CHARLESTON								
2009	58.3	93%	*	*	96%	92%	93%	91%
2008	95.2	85%	*	*	86%	88%	85%	83%
NH BEAUFORT								
2009	100.3	85%	84%	85%	85%	85%	88%	83%
2008	112.7	93%	*	91%	95%	93%	93%	92%
NH JACKSONVILLE								
2009	277.8	87%	81%	84%	87%	87%	88%	84%
2008	411.8	82%	*	71%	82%	83%	81%	82%
NH PENSACOLA								
2009	25.5	92%		*	81%	97%	91%	*
2008	31.7	91%	*	*	93%	*	95%	*
NHC CHARLESTON								
2009	189.2	89%	79%	90%	88%	91%	92%	87%
2008	261.7	89%	92%	88%	91%	88%	91%	87%
NHC CORPUS CHRISTI								
2009	147.3	85%	72%	78%	83%	90%	90%	78%
2008	200	86%	*	86%	87%	85%	86%	85%
OKLAHOMA								
2009	277.8	86%	71%	92%	78%	89%	87%	85%
2008	346.5	88%	92%	82%	85%	90%	85%	93%
REYNOLDS ACH-FT. SILL								
2009	177	79%	75%	68%	86%	78%	78%	80%
2008	201.7	84%	80%	88%	90%	79%	80%	88%
SOUTH CAROLINA								
2009	527.3	92%	83%	89%	91%	94%	93%	92%
2008	835.7	88%	86%	76%	87%	90%	88%	88%

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites Composite 2 - Doctors Communicate

·				P	roportion Alw	ays		
	_		Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
SOUTHCOM CLINIC								
2009	279.2	82%	87%	78%	78%	85%	83%	81%
2008	379.7	85%	76%	83%	81%	90%	88%	80%
TENNESSEE								
2009	1101.7	87%	84%	84%	86%	89%	87%	87%
2008	1566.3	88%	87%	86%	87%	89%	88%	88%
TUTTLE AHC-HUNTER ARMY AIRFLD								
2009	261	87%	84%	83%	90%	88%	88%	87%
2008	273.2	88%	91%	83%	88%	92%	91%	86%
WESTERN FLORIDA								
2009	87.2	85%	81%	*	82%	86%	86%	84%
2008	135.7	89%	*	80%	92%	90%	88%	92%
WESTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	243	88%	83%	86%	86%	90%	88%	89%
2008	307	90%	80%	87%	92%	90%	94%	84%
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART								
2009	84.5	81%	81%	73%	79%	89%	80%	83%
2008	67.2	86%	*	67%	90%	96%	92%	80%

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey - MHS Wide - Provider Region South

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q21 - Doctor knew your important medical hx

				Ī	Percent Alway			
	Deenenee	Takal	Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark ¹	Responses	Total 76%	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
2009 Direct Care Civilian Benchmark		76% 70%						
2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark		70% 79%						
		. 0 / 0						
MHS Wide								
2009	85211	77%	65%	68%	79%	86%	76%	77%
2008	113962	75%	64%	67%	78%	85%	74%	76%
Overall - Direct Care								
2009	34645	65%	63%	61%	73%	82%	62%	70%
2008	36430	64%	63%	61%	71%	82%	61%	70%
Direct Care - CONUS ²								
2009	31028	65%	63%	61%	73%	82%	62%	70%
2008	33121	64%	63%	61%	70%	82%	60%	70%
Direct Care - OCONUS								
2009	3617	66%	63%	67%	75%	80%	62%	73%
2008	3309	66%	63%	66%	77%	85%	64%	69%
Overall - Purchased Care								
2009	50566	82%	73%	74%	81%	86%	83%	80%
2008	77532	81%	72%	73%	80%	86%	83%	79%
Purchased Care - North Region ³								
2009	15294	83%	74%	74%	82%	86%	84%	81%
2008	23813	81%	73%	73%	81%	86%	83%	79%
Purchased Care - South Region ³								
2009	20246	82%	72 %	74%	81%	86%	83%	81%
2008	30746	82%	70%	74%	81%	86%	83%	80%
Purchased Care - West Region ³								
2009	14419	81%	72 %	74%	81%	85%	82%	80%
2008	21793	80%	73%	73%	78%	85%	82%	78%
Purchased Care - U.S. Family Health Plan								
2009	606	85%	*	71%	81%	89%	88%	79%
2008	1178	82%		71%	81%	86%	81%	85%

¹ Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the (as appropriate) 2009 Civilian Purchased Care or Direct Care Benchmark score. ² CONUS includes Alaska and Hawaii.

³ Region based on region where beneficiary received care.

Note. "--" no response for the reporting period

Note. Data are not shown in cases where fewer than 10 valid responses were received nor are statistical tests reported in cases where fewer than 30 valid responses were received.

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q21 - Doctor knew your important medical hx

					Percent Alwa	ys		
	_				Retirees	Retirees		
				Active Duty	and Family	and Family		
			Active	Family	Members	Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
1st SPEC OPS MED GRP-HURLBURT								
2009	82	67%	90%	65%	70%	63%	78%	48%
2008	100	80%	*	80%	88%	76%	79%	83%
2nd MED GRP-BARKSDALE								
2009	236	82%	76%	82%	83%	83%	79%	84%
2008	314	81%	64%	76%	76%	87%	79%	84%
6th MED GRP-MACDILL								
2009	1127	81%	71%	77%	79%	84%	80%	82%
2008	1829	78%	63%	68%	73%	84%	82%	74%
7th MED GRP-DYESS								
2009	149	76%	74%	64%	75%	88%	78%	75%
2008	185	78%	70%	65%	82%	87%	73%	82%
12th MED GRP-RANDOLPH								
2009	147	78%	*	64%	78%	79%	80%	74%
2008	247	82%	*	81%	82%	83%	82%	83%
14th MED GRP-COLUMBUS								
2009	78	85%	71%	*	73%	100%	89%	81%
2008	90	78%	30%	72%	82%	85%	76%	79%
17th MED GRP-GOODFELLOW								
2009	61	83%	60%	*	91%	82%	89%	79%
2008	91	79%	78%	80%	65%	84%	75%	80%
19th MEDICAL GROUP-LITTLE ROCK								
2009	326	86%	76%	86%	86%	87%	87%	85%
2008	482	82%	65%	78%	84%	85%	85%	79%
20th MED GRP-SHAW								
2009	163	83%	79%	83%	76%	89%	84%	81%
2008	237	82%	92%	68%	79%	92%	76%	87%
23rd MED GRP-MOODY								
2009	176	77%	74%	71%	71%	87%	83%	72%
2008	242	78%	59%	68%	77%	88%	80%	77%
42ND MEDICAL GROUP-MAXWELL								
2009	229	80%	51%	76%	82%	84%	78%	81%
2008	315	79%	65%	71%	74%	85%	82%	76%
45th MED GRP-PATRICK								
2009	375	84%	69%	58%	82%	88%	85%	83%
2008	736	80%	55%	89%	79%	82%	81%	80% (continue

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q21 - Doctor knew your important medical hx

					Percent Alwa	ercent Always						
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care				
47th MED GRP-LAUGHLIN												
2009	12	51%	*	*	*	*	*	53%				
2008	18	67%	*	*	*	*	*	53%				
59th MED WING-LACKLAND												
2009	258	83%	51%	72%	79%	87%	80%	86%				
2008	349	82%	*	56%	88%	83%	82%	81%				
71st MED GRP-VANCE												
2009	39	84%	75%	73%	*	*	*	81%				
2008	34	80%	*	*	*	94%	91%	71%				
72nd MED GRP-TINKER												
2009	475	82%	75%	71%	79%	90%	82%	82%				
2008	611	77%	67%	71%	82%	79%	76%	78%				
78th MED GRP-ROBINS												
2009	331	81%	74%	83%	75%	86%	83%	80%				
2008	493	81%	70%	70%	81%	89%	85%	78%				
81st MED GRP-KEESLER												
2009	83	78%	76%	77%	66%	83%	75%	81%				
2008	114	85%	*	83%	75%	92%	86%	83%				
82nd MED GRP-SHEPPARD												
2009	125	74%	56%	70%	69%	84%	68%	77%				
2008	173	72%	51%	58%	81%	78%	73%	71%				
96th MED GRP-EGLIN												
2009	327	85%	85%	70%	85%	87%	85%	84%				
2008	521	83%	77%	77%	85%	84%	83%	84%				
97th MED GRP-ALTUS												
2009	28	95%	*	*	*	*	*	93%				
2008	35	78%	*	*	55%	100%	80%	75%				
325th MED GRP-TYNDALL		. 575			3070		3070	. 0,0				
2009	40	82%	*	38%	94%	92%	85%	78%				
2008	91	85%	*	57%	54%	97%	95%	66%				
437th MED GRP-CHARLESTON	<u> </u>	2370		3.70	3 170	3. 70	5576	55,5				
2009	253	85%	68%	76%	86%	90%	89%	82%				
2008	356	83%	77%	74%	82%	89%	85%	81%				
AHC FT. MCPHERSON	330	3370	7770	1 7 / 0	02 /0	0070	3370	0170				
2009	603	80%	71%	74%	79%	84%	82%	77%				
2009	762	79%	76%	79%	80%	80%	79%	80%				
2000	102	1370	1070	13/0	00 /0	00 /0	1370	(continue				

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q21 - Doctor knew your important medical hx

					Percent Alwa			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
ALABAMA	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Officer 05	03+	Care	Care
2009	519	82%	81%	75%	76%	86%	81%	84%
2008	788	83%	90%	79%	80%	84%	84%	81%
ARKANSAS	700	0070	0070	7 0 70	0070	0170	0470	0170
2009	375	87%	79%	85%	82%	89%	87%	86%
2008	611	85%	81%	66%	84%	87%	88%	77%
BAYNE-JONES ACH-FT. POLK	011	0070	0170	3070	0.170	0.70	3070	1170
2009	64	82%	*	57%	91%	88%	81%	83%
2008	97	80%	*	72%	85%	88%	94%	67%
BMC NAVSUPPACT EAST BANK								
2009	41	60%	62%	39%	*	*	71%	49%
2008	40	77%	*	64%	*	*	65%	85%
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON								
2009	234	79%	*	67%	78%	81%	83%	76%
2008	423	80%	*	69%	81%	82%	84%	76%
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD								
2009	567	75%	71%	71%	76%	78%	73%	77%
2008	808	74%	69%	70%	74%	82%	76%	71%
EASTERN FLORIDA								
2009	1568	82%	76%	76%	77%	85%	83%	81%
2008	2865	83%	75%	65%	81%	85%	83%	82%
EASTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	103	79%	76%	67%	87%	81%	80%	78%
2008	191	82%	67%	84%	77%	86%	85%	77%
EASTERN TEXAS								
2009	1473	83%	67%	74%	82%	87%	84%	82%
2008	2449	82%	71%	74%	77%	88%	83%	82%
EISENHOWER AMC-FT. GORDON								
2009	215	79%	70%	77%	82%	77%	80%	78%
2008	297	86%	*	85%	83%	91%	88%	85%
FOX AHC-REDSTONE ARSENAL								
2009	264	84%	75%	78%	80%	88%	87%	80%
2008	438	84%	84%	75%	81%	88%	85%	83%
GEORGIA								
2009	191	87%	56%	80%	94%	86%	87%	87%
2008	314	83%	94%	73%	77%	88%	85%	80%

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q21 - Doctor knew your important medical hx

					Percent Alwa	ys		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
GEORGIA-FORMER NOBLE CATCHMENT								
2009	17	96%	*	*	*	*	95%	*
2008	24	92%		*	*	90%	91%	93%
LYSTER AHC-FT. RUCKER								
2009	269	87%	72%	83%	82%	93%	93%	81%
2008	405	78%	54%	72%	83%	80%	81%	76%
MARTIN ACH-FT. BENNING								
2009	307	83%	78%	69%	80%	88%	85%	81%
2008	438	83%	68%	74%	81%	88%	87%	80%
MISSISSIPPI								
2009	486	83%	71%	80%	82%	85%	85%	79%
2008	593	85%	56%	84%	86%	90%	87%	80%
MONCRIEF ACH-FT. JACKSON								
2009	360	83%	77%	86%	74%	87%	83%	82%
2008	588	86%	84%	81%	88%	87%	89%	85%
NACC NEW ORLEANS								
2009	125	82%	67%	66%	94%	87%	88%	76%
2008	136	88%	86%	92%	79%	95%	91%	84%
NBHC ALBANY								
2009	78	90%	*	83%	88%	92%	91%	88%
2008	133	81%	*	68%	65%	93%	83%	79%
NBHC ATHENS								
2009	146	84%	81%	63%	83%	86%	87%	81%
2008	212	78%	*	50%	85%	80%	73%	83%
NBHC FORT WORTH								
2009	700	82%	73%	70%	81%	87%	84%	80%
2008	1147	83%	68%	72%	83%	87%	83%	83%
NBHC GULFPORT								
2009	88	87%	77%	64%	100%	86%	95%	79%
2008	117	71%	*	56%	88%	70%	71%	71%
NBHC INGLESIDE								
2009	11	79%		*	*	*	*	*
2008	14	96%	*	*	*	*	94%	*
NBHC KEY WEST								
2009	39	98%	85%	*	*	*	*	96%

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q21 - Doctor knew your important medical hx

					Percent Alwa	ys		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC KINGS BAY								
2009	131	80%	52%	73%	84%	81%	83%	75%
2008	197	79%	*	74%	72%	89%	83%	72%
NBHC KINGSVILLE								
2009	8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	13	90%			*	*	*	*
NBHC MARIETTA								
2009	396	79%	77%	68%	81%	81%	83%	74%
2008	613	83%	72%	82%	86%	82%	82%	83%
NBHC MAYPORT								
2009	138	84%	*	86%	80%	87%	89%	76%
2008	288	84%	*	84%	82%	86%	83%	85%
NBHC MCRD PARRIS ISLAND								
2009	32	73%	*	*	*	*	61%	87%
2008	45	78%	*	*	*	65%	80%	75%
NBHC MERIDIAN								
2009	85	83%	*	93%	88%	80%	76%	93%
2008	141	83%	100%	75%	87%	81%	88%	77%
NBHC MILTON WHITING FIELD								
2009	69	87%	*	85%	83%	91%	90%	78%
2008	101	85%	*	77%	84%	87%	87%	78%
NBHC NAS BELLE CHASE								
2009	103	80%	72%	65%	79%	94%	85%	76%
2008	153	83%	58%	90%	76%	97%	86%	81%
NBHC NAS JACKSONVILLE								
2009	327	82%	73%	72%	86%	84%	85%	80%
2008	516	85%	65%	77%	85%	87%	85%	84%
NBHC NAS PENSACOLA								
2009	10	70%		*	*	*	*	*
2008	21	98%		*	*	95%	98%	
NBHC NATTC PENSACOLA								
2009	139	82%	79%	81%	85%	80%	86%	78%
2008	221	86%	*	83%	89%	85%	88%	84%
NBHC NAVCOASTSYSC PANAMA CITY								
2009	222	80%	66%	76%	79%	83%	83%	78%
2008	278	83%	81%	68%	81%	89%	83%	83%

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q21 - Doctor knew your important medical hx

					Percent Alway	ys		
	_				Retirees	Retirees		
				Active Duty	and Family	and Family		
			Active	Family	Members	Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
NBHC NSA MID-SOUTH								
2009	301	82%	77%	68%	83%	88%	81%	84%
2008	441	79%	73%	72%	76%	85%	75%	84%
NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA								
2009	220	88%	86%	81%	87%	91%	93%	86%
2008	370	85%	66%	80%	86%	87%	85%	85%
NBHC PASCAGOULA								
2009	142	75%	77%	68%	82%	73%	76%	75%
2008	205	78%	*	73%	76%	81%	76%	80%
NBHC WPNSTA CHARLESTON								
2009	59	86%	*	*	88%	87%	89%	72%
2008	98	84%	*	*	83%	83%	85%	76%
NH BEAUFORT								
2009	103	78%	60%	75%	80%	83%	80%	77%
2008	114	89%	*	82%	90%	93%	91%	85%
NH JACKSONVILLE								
2009	287	80%	74%	73%	78%	83%	82%	76%
2008	423	77%	*	62%	76%	81%	78%	77%
NH PENSACOLA								
2009	26	86%		*	63%	97%	84%	*
2008	32	88%	*	*	91%	*	94%	*
NHC CHARLESTON								
2009	194	85%	78%	90%	81%	86%	89%	81%
2008	269	85%	87%	82%	84%	87%	86%	84%
NHC CORPUS CHRISTI								
2009	149	81%	69%	70%	71%	90%	89%	72%
2008	206	81%	*	76%	80%	83%	82%	78%
OKLAHOMA								
2009	283	83%	61%	89%	74%	86%	84%	81%
2008	360	85%	81%	68%	83%	90%	83%	89%
REYNOLDS ACH-FT. SILL								
2009	183	74%	66%	54%	78%	81%	76%	70%
2008	209	80%	62%	77%	85%	81%	78%	83%
SOUTH CAROLINA								
2009	540	90%	75%	85%	86%	93%	90%	90%
2008	859	85%	82%	67%	83%	89%	85%	85%

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q21 - Doctor knew your important medical hx

					Percent Alwa	ys		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
SOUTHCOM CLINIC	·							
2009 2008	287 394	75% 77%	77% 54%	69% 75%	69% 73%	81% 88%	78% 83%	70% 70%
TENNESSEE								
2009	1137	84%	74%	78%	84%	85%	84%	83%
2008	1616	84%	80%	82%	84%	86%	85%	84%
TUTTLE AHC-HUNTER ARMY AIRFLD								
2009	269	83%	77%	76%	89%	84%	86%	81%
2008	279	85%	83%	80%	86%	89%	85%	85%
WESTERN FLORIDA								
2009	90	77%	68%	78%	78%	77%	79%	74%
2008	138	84%	*	75%	83%	86%	81%	90%
WESTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	250	86%	67%	79%	80%	93%	86%	87%
2008	318	86%	66%	78%	85%	90%	92%	78%
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART								
2009	86	68%	75%	60%	64%	76%	68%	68%
2008	69	80%	*	55%	85%	96%	89%	72%

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey - MHS Wide - Provider Region South

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q27 - Overall rating of provider

	_			Pe	ercent Top 3 I	Вох		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark ¹		83%						
2009 Direct Care Civilian Benchmark		77%						
2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark		87%						
MHS Wide								
2009	85883	86%	77%	79%	87%	93%	85%	86%
2008	115233	84%	76%	77%	86%	92%	83%	85%
Overall - Direct Care								
2009	34978	78%	76%	76%	84%	92%	76%	82%
2008	36880	77%	75%	73%	83%	91%	74%	81%
Direct Care - CONUS ²								
2009	31324	78%	76%	76%	84%	93%	76%	82%
2008	33539	77%	75%	73%	82%	91%	74%	81%
Direct Care - OCONUS								
2009	3654	77%	75%	77%	83%	88%	74%	82%
2008	3341	78%	76%	79%	89%	88%	77%	80%
Overall - Purchased Care								
2009	50905	89%	82%	82%	88%	93%	90%	89%
2008	78353	88%	80%	81%	87%	92%	89%	87%
Purchased Care - North Region ³								
2009	15391	89%	83%	82%	88%	93%	90%	88%
2008	24085	88%	80%	81%	88%	93%	89%	87%
Purchased Care - South Region ³								
2009	20386	89%	82%	81%	87%	93%	90%	89%
2008	31030	88%	80%	80%	87%	92%	89%	88%
Purchased Care - West Region ³								
2009	14517	89%	83%	83%	89%	92%	90%	88%
2008	22046	88%	80%	81%	86%	92%	89%	86%
Purchased Care - U.S. Family Health Plan								
2009	610	92%	*	85%	91%	94%	93%	90%
2008	1190	91%		85%	88%	94%	91%	91%

¹ Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the (as appropriate) 2009 Civilian Purchased Care or Direct Care Benchmark score. ² CONUS includes Alaska and Hawaii.

³ Region based on region where beneficiary received care.

Note. "--" no response for the reporting period

Note. Data are not shown in cases where fewer than 10 valid responses were received nor are statistical tests reported in cases where fewer than 30 valid responses were received.

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q27 - Overall rating of provider

	Percent Top 3 Box									
	_				Retirees	Retirees				
				Active Duty	and Family	and Family				
			Active	Family	Members	Members	Primary	Specialty		
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care		
1st SPEC OPS MED GRP-HURLBURT										
2009	82	84%	100%	74%	83%	94%	86%	81%		
2008	100	88%	*	84%	100%	76%	86%	97%		
2nd MED GRP-BARKSDALE										
2009	235	90%	83%	90%	88%	92%	92%	88%		
2008	317	93%	88%	92%	80%	98%	93%	93%		
6th MED GRP-MACDILL										
2009	1144	88%	82%	82%	86%	92%	87%	89%		
2008	1854	86%	77%	75%	82%	92%	89%	84%		
7th MED GRP-DYESS										
2009	149	84%	87%	64%	96%	90%	83%	86%		
2008	187	86%	80%	73%	86%	97%	90%	82%		
12th MED GRP-RANDOLPH										
2009	148	88%	*	77%	87%	89%	89%	85%		
2008	247	88%	*	83%	82%	91%	86%	91%		
14th MED GRP-COLUMBUS										
2009	79	92%	84%	81%	84%	100%	86%	97%		
2008	93	91%	72%	76%	100%	94%	94%	89%		
17th MED GRP-GOODFELLOW										
2009	61	92%	68%	*	86%	100%	100%	87%		
2008	92	87%	84%	90%	89%	86%	89%	86%		
19th MEDICAL GROUP-LITTLE ROCK										
2009	330	92%	90%	88%	90%	95%	92%	93%		
2008	484	90%	83%	90%	90%	92%	93%	87%		
20th MED GRP-SHAW										
2009	166	93%	85%	89%	90%	98%	95%	90%		
2008	236	87%	80%	80%	88%	92%	81%	92%		
23rd MED GRP-MOODY										
2009	178	85%	70%	82%	81%	92%	85%	84%		
2008	243	88%	83%	75%	88%	96%	90%	86%		
42ND MEDICAL GROUP-MAXWELL										
2009	228	88%	64%	83%	87%	92%	90%	87%		
2008	320	86%	86%	86%	78%	92%	85%	87%		
45th MED GRP-PATRICK										
2009	379	90%	79%	72%	86%	94%	89%	92%		
2008	737	87%	64%	88%	88%	88%	88%	86%		

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q27 - Overall rating of provider

				P	ercent Top 3			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
47th MED GRP-LAUGHLIN								
2009	12	79%	*	*	*	*	*	78%
2008	19	68%	*	*	*	*	*	54%
59th MED WING-LACKLAND								
2009	258	89%	59%	76%	93%	89%	86%	91%
2008	351	89%	*	74%	96%	90%	90%	89%
71st MED GRP-VANCE								
2009	39	77%	71%	84%	*	*	*	78%
2008	33	79%	*	*	*	100%	93%	67%
72nd MED GRP-TINKER								
2009	480	89%	85%	80%	86%	95%	88%	89%
2008	619	84%	74%	74%	87%	89%	83%	85%
78th MED GRP-ROBINS								
2009	335	92%	84%	82%	91%	97%	92%	92%
2008	498	89%	75%	85%	90%	94%	91%	87%
81st MED GRP-KEESLER								
2009	83	85%	73%	64%	86%	89%	81%	88%
2008	113	86%	*	83%	71%	97%	85%	86%
82nd MED GRP-SHEPPARD								
2009	128	82%	59%	76%	86%	89%	82%	82%
2008	176	83%	68%	66%	89%	91%	87%	81%
96th MED GRP-EGLIN								
2009	330	95%	100%	86%	95%	96%	95%	94%
2008	527	91%	84%	87%	88%	94%	90%	91%
97th MED GRP-ALTUS								21,72
2009	28	95%	*	*	*	*	*	92%
2008	36	83%	*	*	85%	100%	93%	68%
325th MED GRP-TYNDALL		0070			3070	10070	0070	0070
2009	41	83%	*	49%	94%	92%	84%	81%
2008	91	92%	*	55%	79%	100%	94%	87%
437th MED GRP-CHARLESTON	<u> </u>	3270		3070	. 370	. 5576	3170	3.70
2009	253	91%	88%	74%	93%	97%	92%	90%
2008	359	90%	80%	87%	88%	94%	91%	88%
AHC FT. MCPHERSON	000	3070	0070	0170	3070	0-170	0170	0070
2009	603	90%	79%	78%	91%	95%	90%	90%
2009	767	86%	81%	86%	85%	89%	86%	87%
2000	101	00 /0	0170	00 /0	00/0	0370	00 /0	(continue

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q27 - Overall rating of provider

AL ADAMA	Responses			Active Duty	Retirees	Retirees		
		Total	Active Duty	Family Members	and Family Members Under 65	and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
ALABAMA								
2009	524	90%	89%	76%	87%	94%	90%	90%
2008	797	88%	88%	81%	85%	92%	89%	87%
ARKANSAS								
2009	378	92%	91%	86%	89%	94%	91%	93%
2008	614	91%	82%	84%	89%	93%	91%	91%
BAYNE-JONES ACH-FT. POLK								
2009	64	86%	*	68%	80%	100%	91%	81%
2008	96	86%	*	73%	90%	94%	91%	81%
BMC NAVSUPPACT EAST BANK								
2009	41	83%	85%	74%	*	*	88%	78%
2008	40	80%	*	75%	*	*	73%	85%
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON								
2009	236	88%	*	73%	87%	91%	92%	85%
2008	426	89%	*	80%	87%	92%	94%	84%
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD								
2009	571	85%	81%	79%	85%	92%	84%	87%
2008	817	81%	71%	77%	80%	91%	82%	80%
EASTERN FLORIDA								
2009	1578	90%	85%	80%	85%	93%	91%	89%
2008	2897	89%	68%	78%	86%	91%	89%	88%
EASTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	105	87%	63%	72%	100%	93%	90%	85%
2008	191	91%	100%	87%	87%	94%	89%	94%
EASTERN TEXAS								
2009	1479	89%	79%	82%	86%	93%	89%	89%
2008	2479	88%	80%	77%	87%	93%	88%	89%
EISENHOWER AMC-FT. GORDON								
2009	216	89%	75%	87%	86%	91%	87%	90%
2008	301	92%	*	95%	86%	97%	96%	90%
FOX AHC-REDSTONE ARSENAL								
2009	267	90%	76%	59%	92%	93%	93%	86%
2008	444	90%	86%	76%	86%	96%	91%	90%
GEORGIA			22.3		22,2		2.72	2270
2009	190	95%	81%	93%	97%	96%	96%	95%
2008	319	89%	83%	88%	88%	89%	89%	87%
2000	313	0570	0070	0070	0070	0070	00 /0	(conti

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q27 - Overall rating of provider

				P	ercent Top 3	Box		
	_				Retirees	Retirees		
				Active Duty	and Family	and Family		
			Active	Family	Members	Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
GEORGIA-FORMER NOBLE CATCHMENT								
2009	16	96%	*	*	*	*	95%	*
2008	25	94%		*	*	93%	91%	96%
LYSTER AHC-FT. RUCKER								
2009	271	91%	90%	91%	83%	95%	93%	89%
2008	408	86%	74%	88%	86%	87%	89%	84%
MARTIN ACH-FT. BENNING								
2009	309	90%	88%	82%	78%	95%	92%	87%
2008	448	89%	89%	75%	89%	93%	91%	88%
MISSISSIPPI								
2009	489	91%	82%	85%	91%	94%	93%	87%
2008	607	91%	82%	84%	91%	95%	91%	92%
MONCRIEF ACH-FT. JACKSON								
2009	363	90%	93%	93%	82%	93%	88%	91%
2008	589	91%	92%	85%	89%	93%	93%	89%
NACC NEW ORLEANS								
2009	127	87%	79%	78%	82%	94%	91%	84%
2008	136	94%	89%	96%	91%	96%	95%	92%
NBHC ALBANY								
2009	82	93%	*	88%	90%	94%	93%	93%
2008	136	88%	*	90%	78%	95%	90%	87%
NBHC ATHENS								
2009	149	91%	93%	53%	91%	95%	87%	95%
2008	213	88%	*	70%	91%	92%	84%	93%
NBHC FORT WORTH								
2009	703	89%	81%	75%	88%	95%	89%	90%
2008	1153	87%	79%	79%	83%	92%	87%	87%
NBHC GULFPORT								
2009	90	84%	84%	77%	87%	84%	83%	85%
2008	120	82%	*	81%	87%	84%	81%	83%
NBHC INGLESIDE								
2009	11	91%		*	*	*	*	*
2008	14	96%	*	*	*	*	94%	*
NBHC KEY WEST								
2009	40	100%	100%	*	*	*	*	100%
2008	50	80%	96%	*	75%	91%	67%	86%

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q27 - Overall rating of provider

				P	ercent Top 3			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC KINGS BAY								
2009	133	83%	76%	79%	88%	77%	82%	84%
2008	198	80%	*	74%	76%	89%	81%	80%
NBHC KINGSVILLE								
2009	8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	13	90%			*	*	*	*
NBHC MARIETTA								
2009	398	88%	90%	82%	86%	91%	89%	87%
2008	616	94%	83%	88%	94%	96%	93%	94%
NBHC MAYPORT								
2009	139	93%	*	88%	91%	96%	95%	89%
2008	289	89%	*	86%	90%	88%	87%	92%
NBHC MCRD PARRIS ISLAND								
2009	30	89%	*	*	*	*	81%	97%
2008	46	93%	*	*	*	94%	90%	96%
NBHC MERIDIAN								
2009	87	88%	*	98%	95%	82%	85%	91%
2008	143	81%	88%	73%	76%	88%	84%	78%
NBHC MILTON WHITING FIELD								
2009	68	89%	*	85%	82%	95%	89%	87%
2008	101	92%	*	76%	96%	93%	96%	84%
NBHC NAS BELLE CHASE								
2009	104	87%	86%	68%	88%	100%	96%	79%
2008	153	91%	78%	90%	91%	97%	92%	90%
NBHC NAS JACKSONVILLE								
2009	330	89%	76%	82%	90%	92%	90%	88%
2008	526	91%	69%	86%	89%	95%	92%	90%
NBHC NAS PENSACOLA								
2009	10	100%		*	*	*	*	*
2008	21	100%		*	*	100%	100%	
NBHC NATTC PENSACOLA								
2009	141	88%	79%	77%	87%	90%	88%	89%
2008	223	95%	*	83%	93%	96%	91%	98%
NBHC NAVCOASTSYSC PANAMA CITY								
2009	226	91%	84%	81%	95%	92%	89%	92%
2008	283	91%	88%	72%	92%	95%	94%	89%
				* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				(continue

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q27 - Overall rating of provider

NBHC NSA MID-SOUTH 2009 2008 NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA 2009	Responses 300 441	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
2009 2008 NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA					JJ. 00	65+	Care	Care
2008 NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA								
NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA	441		85%	80%	87%	93%	83%	95%
		87%	77%	74%	85%	95%	83%	91%
2009								
_000	222	91%	82%	90%	90%	92%	93%	90%
2008	376	89%	58%	84%	90%	92%	93%	87%
NBHC PASCAGOULA								
2009	142	88%	73%	85%	87%	91%	90%	85%
2008	205	88%	*	82%	83%	94%	87%	91%
NBHC WPNSTA CHARLESTON								
2009	60	92%	*	*	92%	94%	91%	99%
2008	97	89%	*	*	86%	97%	89%	85%
NH BEAUFORT								
2009	104	85%	79%	82%	89%	87%	90%	81%
2008	116	90%	*	89%	85%	93%	92%	88%
NH JACKSONVILLE								
2009	286	90%	82%	86%	88%	93%	92%	87%
2008	424	84%	*	70%	82%	88%	82%	87%
NH PENSACOLA								
2009	26	96%		*	88%	100%	96%	*
2008	32	87%	*	*	90%	*	93%	*
NHC CHARLESTON								
2009	197	91%	76%	89%	87%	95%	99%	85%
2008	271	90%	81%	90%	93%	89%	91%	90%
NHC CORPUS CHRISTI								
2009	150	88%	74%	79%	87%	93%	89%	86%
2008	207	89%	*	86%	91%	89%	88%	89%
OKLAHOMA								
2009	288	89%	73%	87%	79%	94%	91%	86%
2008	362	88%	94%	89%	82%	91%	85%	94%
REYNOLDS ACH-FT. SILL								
2009	185	74%	78%	70%	80%	70%	72%	77%
2008	210	88%	84%	88%	90%	88%	87%	90%
SOUTH CAROLINA								
2009	543	93%	82%	93%	90%	95%	95%	91%
2008	867	91%	90%	77%	88%	94%	90%	93%

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q27 - Overall rating of provider

				P	ercent Top 3	Box		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
SOUTHCOM CLINIC	·							
2009 2008	289 399	83% 84%	85% 83%	76% 76%	80% 79%	88% 91%	83% 86%	83% 81%
TENNESSEE								
2009	1142	90%	85%	85%	85%	94%	90%	90%
2008	1622	90%	86%	78%	89%	94%	90%	91%
TUTTLE AHC-HUNTER ARMY AIRFLD								
2009	266	87%	80%	79%	88%	92%	89%	85%
2008	284	87%	100%	81%	87%	92%	91%	85%
WESTERN FLORIDA								
2009	90	94%	88%	93%	91%	95%	95%	92%
2008	140	90%	*	71%	96%	91%	87%	97%
WESTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	250	89%	86%	84%	84%	93%	90%	87%
2008	319	91%	92%	86%	90%	92%	92%	88%
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART								
2009	87	86%	67%	73%	84%	100%	75%	96%
2008	69	83%	*	63%	84%	98%	89%	77%

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey - MHS Wide - Provider Region South

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q24 - Talk about prescriptions

				ı	Percent Alway			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark ¹		62%						
2009 Direct Care Civilian Benchmark		61%						
2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark		63%						
MHS Wide								
2009	75156	66%	63%	66%	68%	66%	70%	60%
2008								
Overall - Direct Care								
2009	30369	64%	62%	64%	67%	69%	66%	60%
2008								
Direct Care - CONUS ²								
2009	27231	64%	62%	64%	67%	69%	66%	60%
2008								
Direct Care - OCONUS								
2009	3138	65%	63%	68%	65%	73%	66%	63%
2008								
Overall - Purchased Care								
2009	44787	67%	67%	68%	69%	66%	72%	60%
2008								
Purchased Care - North Region ³								
2009	13526	67%	68%	68%	69%	67%	72%	60%
2008								
Purchased Care - South Region ³					/			
2009	18185	67%	65%	68%	69%	66%	71%	61%
2008								
Purchased Care - West Region ³	40500	200/			2001			===/
2009	12530	66%	67%	67%	68%	65%	72%	59%
2008								
Purchased Care - U.S. Family Health Plan	- 40		_	2004	= 407		=00/	=/
2009	546	70%	*	66%	71%	69%	78%	52%
2008								

¹ Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the (as appropriate) 2009 Civilian Purchased Care or Direct Care Benchmark score. ² CONUS includes Alaska and Hawaii.

³ Region based on region where beneficiary received care.

Note. "--" no response for the reporting period

Note. Data are not shown in cases where fewer than 10 valid responses were received nor are statistical tests reported in cases where fewer than 30 valid responses were received.

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q24 - Talk about prescriptions

					Percent Alwa	ıys		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
1st SPEC OPS MED GRP-HURLBURT								
2009	68	61%	*	65%	61%	56%	72%	41%
2008								
2nd MED GRP-BARKSDALE								
2009	209	66%	57%	78%	74%	60%	76%	58%
2008								
6th MED GRP-MACDILL								
2009	996	65%	69%	66%	66%	64%	66%	64%
2008								
7th MED GRP-DYESS								
2009	130	67%	71%	59%	75%	65%	76%	58%
2008								
12th MED GRP-RANDOLPH								
2009	133	61%	*	83%	67%	57%	69%	48%
2008								
14th MED GRP-COLUMBUS								
2009	71	67%	53%	*	57%	81%	67%	67%
2008								
17th MED GRP-GOODFELLOW								
2009	52	54%	53%	*	75%	46%	53%	54%
2008								
19th MEDICAL GROUP-LITTLE ROCK								
2009	288	68%	65%	71%	77%	63%	68%	68%
2008								
20th MED GRP-SHAW								
2009	148	72%	72%	69%	76%	70%	76%	66%
2008								
23rd MED GRP-MOODY								
2009	162	65%	65%	60%	69%	64%	61%	70%
2008								
42ND MEDICAL GROUP-MAXWELL								
2009	202	65%	57%	75%	54%	72%	69%	64%
2008								
45th MED GRP-PATRICK								
2009	326	60%	68%	56%	51%	65%	65%	56%
2008								

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q24 - Talk about prescriptions

					Percent Alwa	ys		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
47th MED GRP-LAUGHLIN								
2009	11	49%	*	*	*	*	*	46%
2008								
59th MED WING-LACKLAND								
2009	222	62%	75%	58%	61%	64%	67%	57%
2008								
71st MED GRP-VANCE								
2009	30	56%	*	70%	*	*	*	56%
2008								
72nd MED GRP-TINKER								
2009	429	67%	70%	70%	70%	62%	72%	61%
2008								
78th MED GRP-ROBINS								
2009	298	67%	58%	65%	63%	72%	77%	58%
2008								
81st MED GRP-KEESLER								
2009	70	62%	73%	71%	58%	61%	70%	51%
2008								
82nd MED GRP-SHEPPARD								
2009	111	60%	50%	45%	70%	64%	67%	57%
2008								
96th MED GRP-EGLIN								
2009	290	73%	65%	68%	74%	73%	79%	66%
2008								
97th MED GRP-ALTUS								
2009	25	67%	*	*	*	*	*	65%
2008								
325th MED GRP-TYNDALL								
2009	36	79%	*	*	87%	91%	84%	72%
2008								
437th MED GRP-CHARLESTON								
2009	216	67%	75%	57%	67%	71%	76%	60%
2008								
AHC FT. MCPHERSON								
2009	539	67%	62%	67%	69%	66%	72%	62%
2008								

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q24 - Talk about prescriptions

					Percent Alwa			
	_		Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
ALABAMA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
2009	476	65%	76%	69%	65%	63%	69%	58%
2008								
ARKANSAS								
2009	351	67%	71%	79%	77%	61%	68%	66%
2008								
BAYNE-JONES ACH-FT. POLK								
2009	61	72%	*	52%	78%	77%	73%	72%
2008								
BMC NAVSUPPACT EAST BANK								
2009	35	67%	70%	35%	*	*	77%	55%
2008								
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON								
2009	200	60%	*	54%	62%	61%	69%	52%
2008								
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD								
2009	516	66%	54%	68%	73%	55%	68%	63%
2008								
EASTERN FLORIDA								
2009	1434	66%	71%	73%	66%	65%	71%	58%
2008								
EASTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	91	61%	56%	49%	69%	64%	76%	41%
2008								
EASTERN TEXAS								
2009	1341	68%	64%	68%	69%	68%	72%	63%
2008								
EISENHOWER AMC-FT. GORDON								
2009	181	63%	60%	57%	66%	62%	72%	56%
2008								
FOX AHC-REDSTONE ARSENAL								
2009	246	69%	64%	62%	74%	64%	72%	63%
2008								
GEORGIA								
2009	177	77%	45%	67%	88%	74%	80%	70%
2008								

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q24 - Talk about prescriptions

					Percent Alwa	ys		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
GEORGIA-FORMER NOBLE CATCHMENT								
2009	15	63%	*		*	*	63%	*
2008								
LYSTER AHC-FT. RUCKER								
2009	241	71%	61%	81%	66%	72%	75%	68%
2008								
MARTIN ACH-FT. BENNING								
2009	270	75%	67%	77%	65%	79%	77%	73%
2008								
MISSISSIPPI								
2009	442	72%	71%	75%	69%	74%	76%	65%
2008								
MONCRIEF ACH-FT. JACKSON								
2009	325	67%	64%	73%	62%	68%	66%	67%
2008								
NACC NEW ORLEANS								
2009	110	71%	69%	63%	73%	75%	80%	62%
2008								
NBHC ALBANY								
2009	71	77%	*	66%	82%	77%	80%	74%
2008								
NBHC ATHENS								
2009	136	66%	62%	45%	69%	66%	76%	55%
2008								
NBHC FORT WORTH								
2009	639	64%	70%	63%	67%	62%	72%	53%
2008								
NBHC GULFPORT								
2009	82	70%	73%	66%	84%	64%	68%	73%
2008								
NBHC INGLESIDE								
2009	11	75%		*	*	*	*	*
2008								
NBHC KEY WEST								
2009	33	74%	65%	*	*	*	*	65%
2008								

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q24 - Talk about prescriptions

					Percent Alwa	ys		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC KINGS BAY	·							
2009	123	71%	53%	59%	78%	67%	73%	67%
2008								
NBHC KINGSVILLE								
2009	8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008								
NBHC MARIETTA								
2009	359	64%	67%	61%	72%	58%	67%	61%
2008								
NBHC MAYPORT								
2009	121	71%	*	82%	66%	74%	80%	57%
2008								
NBHC MCRD PARRIS ISLAND								
2009	28	68%	*	*	*	*	67%	69%
2008								
NBHC MERIDIAN								
2009	82	63%	*	81%	77%	51%	57%	70%
2008								
NBHC MILTON WHITING FIELD								
2009	62	69%	*	73%	70%	67%	75%	49%
2008								
NBHC NAS BELLE CHASE								
2009	93	73%	73%	72%	69%	77%	81%	64%
2008								
NBHC NAS JACKSONVILLE								
2009	287	71%	77%	72%	67%	74%	74%	69%
2008								
NBHC NAS PENSACOLA								
2009	9	*			*	*	*	
2008								
NBHC NATTC PENSACOLA								
2009	124	66%	61%	*	73%	63%	74%	58%
2008								
NBHC NAVCOASTSYSC PANAMA CITY								
2009	202	64%	53%	75%	66%	59%	68%	61%
2008								(continued

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q24 - Talk about prescriptions

					Percent Alwa	ys		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC NSA MID-SOUTH								
2009	262	63%	61%	71%	63%	60%	65%	60%
2008								
NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA								
2009	198	63%	65%	72%	68%	59%	73%	57%
2008								
NBHC PASCAGOULA								
2009	129	62%	69%	65%	73%	52%	66%	58%
2008								
NBHC WPNSTA CHARLESTON								
2009	54	75%	*	*	73%	80%	78%	59%
2008								
NH BEAUFORT								
2009	95	66%	81%	78%	39%	63%	67%	65%
2008								
NH JACKSONVILLE								
2009	263	68%	80%	72%	68%	67%	73%	60%
2008								
NH PENSACOLA								
2009	26	72%		*	69%	71%	68%	*
2008								
NHC CHARLESTON								
2009	181	64%	71%	73%	64%	61%	75%	55%
2008								
NHC CORPUS CHRISTI								
2009	126	65%	57%	58%	65%	68%	71%	57%
2008								
OKLAHOMA								
2009	257	66%	60%	73%	60%	67%	68%	59%
2008								
REYNOLDS ACH-FT. SILL								
2009	169	57%	58%	42%	73%	50%	61%	52%
2008								
SOUTH CAROLINA								
2009	491	72%	65%	83%	75%	70%	80%	61%
2008								(continue

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q24 - Talk about prescriptions

					Percent Alwa	ys		
	_		Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
SOUTHCOM CLINIC								
2009	231	60%	62%	64%	69%	53%	64%	53%
2008								
TENNESSEE								
2009	1026	69%	70%	77%	69%	66%	72%	63%
2008								
TUTTLE AHC-HUNTER ARMY AIRFLD								
2009	233	70%	59%	65%	71%	78%	78%	64%
2008								
WESTERN FLORIDA								
2009	81	75%	49%	73%	71%	78%	76%	73%
2008								
WESTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	230	71%	69%	81%	71%	68%	75%	62%
2008								
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART								
2009	73	55%	70%	54%	80%	37%	71%	41%
2008								

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey - MHS Wide - Provider Region South

Domain: Access to Care

Q3C - Overall satisfaction of care

	_			P	ercent Satisf	ied		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark ¹								
2009 Direct Care Civilian Benchmark								
2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark								
MHS Wide								
2009	62536	86%	80%	83%	87%	90%	86%	86%
2008								
Overall - Direct Care								
2009	27035	80%	79%	80%	84%	88%	79%	82%
2008								
Direct Care - CONUS ²								
2009	24271	80%	79%	80%	83%	88%	79%	82%
2008								
Direct Care - OCONUS								
2009	2764	83%	82%	81%	85%	89%	82%	84%
2008								
Overall - Purchased Care								
2009	35501	88%	84%	85%	87%	90%	89%	87%
2008								
Purchased Care - North Region ³								
2009	10650	88%	85%	86%	87%	90%	89%	86%
2008								
Purchased Care - South Region ³								
2009	14327	88%	83%	84%	87%	90%	88%	88%
2008								
Purchased Care - West Region ³								
2009	10113	88%	84%	85%	88%	90%	89%	87%
2008								
Purchased Care - U.S. Family Health Plan								
2009	410	92%		85%	91%	94%	92%	92%
2008								

¹ Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the (as appropriate) 2009 Civilian Purchased Care or Direct Care Benchmark score. ² CONUS includes Alaska and Hawaii.

³ Region based on region where beneficiary received care.

Note. "--" no response for the reporting period

Note. Data are not shown in cases where fewer than 10 valid responses were received nor are statistical tests reported in cases where fewer than 30 valid responses were received.

Domain: Access to Care

Q3C - Overall satisfaction of care

				F	ercent Satisf	ied		
		_,,	Active	Active Duty Family	Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
4 4 ODEO ODO MED ODD HIJDI BUDT	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
1st SPEC OPS MED GRP-HURLBURT	50	200/	.	000/	050/	*	0.40/	750/
2009	52	86%	•	86%	95%		94%	75%
2008								
2nd MED GRP-BARKSDALE	400	000/	070/	050/	0.40/	000/	070/	040/
2009 2008	168	89%	87%	95%	84%	90%	87%	91%
6th MED GRP-MACDILL	704	000/	040/	000/	000/	000/	000/	0.00/
2009 2008	761 	86%	81%	80%	82%	90%	86%	86%
7th MED GRP-DYESS								
2009	110	88%	85%	81%	85%	95%	86%	900/
2009		00%	00%	0170				89%
12th MED GRP-RANDOLPH								
2009	107	83%	*	77%	82%	84%	88%	74%
2009						04 /6 		7470
14th MED GRP-COLUMBUS								
2009	59	86%	81%	*	79%	100%	81%	92%
2008								JZ /0
17th MED GRP-GOODFELLOW								
2009	39	86%	89%	*	90%	82%	87%	85%
2008								
19th MEDICAL GROUP-LITTLE ROCK								
2009	235	88%	85%	85%	86%	91%	85%	92%
2008								
20th MED GRP-SHAW								
2009	122	91%	75%	90%	84%	97%	93%	88%
2008								
23rd MED GRP-MOODY								
2009	124	84%	77%	89%	75%	92%	91%	78%
2008								
42ND MEDICAL GROUP-MAXWELL								
2009	170	91%	77%	88%	95%	92%	92%	91%
2008								
45th MED GRP-PATRICK								
2009	281	88%	96%	87%	85%	89%	84%	93%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3C - Overall satisfaction of care

				F	Percent Satisf	ied		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
47th MED GRP-LAUGHLIN								
2009	7	*	*	*	*		*	*
2008								
59th MED WING-LACKLAND								
2009	193	88%	*	81%	90%	89%	88%	88%
2008								
71st MED GRP-VANCE								
2009	28	91%	89%	*	*	*	*	91%
2008								
72nd MED GRP-TINKER								
2009	323	89%	91%	88%	91%	87%	90%	87%
2008								
78th MED GRP-ROBINS								
2009	225	91%	83%	93%	92%	91%	92%	90%
2008								
81st MED GRP-KEESLER								
2009	63	84%	*	79%	84%	85%	86%	81%
2008								
82nd MED GRP-SHEPPARD								
2009	93	80%	66%	70%	90%	85%	84%	79%
2008								
96th MED GRP-EGLIN								
2009	217	92%	86%	89%	95%	91%	92%	92%
2008								
97th MED GRP-ALTUS								
2009	19	100%	*	*	*	*	*	100%
2008								
325th MED GRP-TYNDALL								
2009	35	74%	*	64%	60%	*	63%	87%
2008								
437th MED GRP-CHARLESTON								
2009	186	88%	82%	96%	87%	88%	91%	86%
2008								
AHC FT. MCPHERSON								
2009	435	87%	83%	78%	93%	85%	86%	89%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3C - Overall satisfaction of care

				F	ercent Satisf	ied		
	_		Anthur	Active Duty	•	Retirees and Family	Deimon	0
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Family Members	Members Under 65	Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
ALABAMA	<u> </u>							
2009	352	89%	83%	83%	92%	88%	91%	86%
2008								
ARKANSAS								
2009	265	90%	83%	88%	90%	91%	89%	94%
2008								
BAYNE-JONES ACH-FT. POLK								
2009	47	87%	*	77%	79%	100%	97%	74%
2008								
BMC NAVSUPPACT EAST BANK								
2009	30	74%	68%	*	*	*	70%	78%
2008								
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON								
2009	184	84%	*	77%	82%	87%	86%	83%
2008								
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD								
2009	394	84%	92%	81%	85%	87%	83%	86%
2008								
EASTERN FLORIDA								
2009	1075	89%	84%	86%	87%	89%	90%	87%
2008								
EASTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	68	89%	69%	85%	79%	100%	86%	92%
2008								
EASTERN TEXAS								
2009	1069	90%	83%	85%	87%	93%	88%	92%
2008								
EISENHOWER AMC-FT. GORDON								
2009	153	86%	*	95%	73%	92%	85%	87%
2008								
FOX AHC-REDSTONE ARSENAL								
2009	194	85%	80%	77%	82%	90%	86%	84%
2008								
GEORGIA								
2009	137	92%	95%	91%	94%	91%	95%	86%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3C - Overall satisfaction of care

				F	Percent Satisf	ied		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
GEORGIA-FORMER NOBLE CATCHMENT								
2009	8	*		*	*	*	*	*
2008								
LYSTER AHC-FT. RUCKER								
2009	186	89%	80%	91%	78%	94%	93%	85%
2008								
MARTIN ACH-FT. BENNING								
2009	222	87%	88%	85%	81%	89%	88%	86%
2008								
MISSISSIPPI								
2009	344	89%	91%	88%	88%	90%	87%	93%
2008								
MONCRIEF ACH-FT. JACKSON								
2009	246	91%	82%	91%	89%	93%	93%	90%
2008								
NACC NEW ORLEANS								
2009	86	91%	86%	82%	92%	96%	97%	87%
2008								
NBHC ALBANY								
2009	61	95%	*	100%	80%	100%	96%	93%
2008								
NBHC ATHENS								
2009	105	90%	93%	100%	81%	93%	87%	92%
2008								
NBHC FORT WORTH								
2009	497	88%	77%	87%	83%	93%	88%	89%
2008								
NBHC GULFPORT								
2009	53	79%	72%	*	84%	81%	81%	77%
2008								
NBHC INGLESIDE								
2009	7	*		*		*	*	*
2008								
NBHC KEY WEST								
2009	22	97%	85%	*	*	*	*	96%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3C - Overall satisfaction of care

				F	Percent Satisf	ied		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC KINGS BAY								
2009	102	89%	81%	91%	87%	93%	92%	83%
2008								
NBHC KINGSVILLE								
2009	6	*		*	*	*	*	*
2008								
NBHC MARIETTA								
2009	286	83%	87%	76%	84%	85%	84%	82%
2008								
NBHC MAYPORT								
2009	100	91%	*	96%	84%	97%	91%	91%
2008								
NBHC MCRD PARRIS ISLAND			*	*	*	*		
2009	22	90%					85%	96%
2008								
NBHC MERIDIAN	50	000/	*	4000/	000/	4000/	4000/	0.40/
2009 2008	59	98%		100%	93%	100%	100%	94%
NBHC MILTON WHITING FIELD								
2009	41	83%	*	*	94%	77%	82%	90%
2009	41	03%			94%		0276	90%
NBHC NAS BELLE CHASE								
2009	72	85%	83%	75%	83%	92%	88%	81%
2008	, <u>-</u>							
NBHC NAS JACKSONVILLE								
2009	241	87%	81%	84%	88%	88%	80%	91%
2008								
NBHC NAS PENSACOLA								
2009	9	*			*	*	*	
2008								
NBHC NATTC PENSACOLA								
2009	101	95%	96%	87%	90%	100%	94%	96%
2008								
NBHC NAVCOASTSYSC PANAMA CITY								
2009	144	90%	93%	90%	92%	87%	93%	88%
2008								 (a a páigu a d)

Domain: Access to Care

Q3C - Overall satisfaction of care

				F	Percent Satisf	ied		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC NSA MID-SOUTH	Тооронооо	Total	Duty	Members	Officer 60		- Our C	- Cui C
2009	221	82%	82%	79%	86%	80%	85%	79%
2008								
NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA								
2009	151	90%	60%	80%	95%	90%	89%	91%
2008								
NBHC PASCAGOULA								
2009	102	88%	77%	79%	94%	88%	83%	93%
2008								
NBHC WPNSTA CHARLESTON								
2009	42	87%	*	*	91%	83%	89%	*
2008								
NH BEAUFORT								
2009	67	91%	87%	82%	100%	93%	96%	85%
2008								
NH JACKSONVILLE								
2009	200	86%	75%	93%	86%	86%	84%	90%
2008								
NH PENSACOLA								
2009	14	100%		*	*	*	100%	*
2008								
NHC CHARLESTON								
2009	158	82%	80%	86%	89%	78%	83%	82%
2008								
NHC CORPUS CHRISTI								
2009	106	89%	85%	85%	89%	92%	91%	88%
2008								
OKLAHOMA								
2009	202	83%	88%	90%	78%	84%	81%	87%
2008								
REYNOLDS ACH-FT. SILL								
2009	129	83%	70%	72%	89%	86%	80%	86%
2008								
SOUTH CAROLINA								
2009	371	94%	84%	98%	94%	93%	94%	93%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3C - Overall satisfaction of care

				F	Percent Satisf	ied		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
SOUTHCOM CLINIC	7.00(70.000				011401 00			
2009	208	86%	86%	82%	86%	87%	88%	83%
2008								
TENNESSEE								
2009	806	88%	90%	82%	89%	88%	89%	86%
2008								
TUTTLE AHC-HUNTER ARMY AIRFLD								
2009	194	90%	76%	82%	94%	95%	94%	87%
2008								
WESTERN FLORIDA								
2009	64	88%	*	*	82%	93%	98%	75%
2008								
WESTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	190	87%	59%	79%	86%	93%	87%	86%
2008								
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART								
2009	61	90%	78%	92%	70%	100%	87%	93%
2008								

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey - MHS Wide - Provider Region South

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites

Composite 3 - Office Staff

	_			Pı	oportion Alw	ays		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark ¹		76%						
2009 Direct Care Civilian Benchmark		70%						
2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark		80%						
MHS Wide								
2009	85723	83%	72%	74%	84%	92%	81%	84%
2008	114886	81%	72%	71%	83%	91%	79%	83%
Overall - Direct Care								
2009	34917.5	72%	70%	66%	80%	89%	69%	75%
2008	36793	71%	70%	65%	78%	88%	67%	76%
Direct Care - CONUS ²								
2009	31264.5	72%	70%	66%	80%	89%	69%	75%
2008	33453.5	70%	70%	64%	77%	88%	67%	76%
Direct Care - OCONUS								
2009	3653	72%	70%	68%	78%	88%	69%	77%
2008	3339.5	74%	73%	70%	82%	88%	71%	78%
Overall - Purchased Care								
2009	50805.5	88%	84%	80%	86%	92%	87%	88%
2008	78093	87%	83%	78%	85%	91%	87%	87%
Purchased Care - North Region ³								
2009	15362	88%	84%	80%	85%	92%	88%	88%
2008	24007.5	87%	83%	79%	86%	92%	87%	86%
Purchased Care - South Region ³								
2009	20359	88%	84%	79%	85%	92%	87%	88%
2008	30936	87%	83%	78%	85%	91%	86%	88%
Purchased Care - West Region ³								
2009	14473	88%	84%	81%	86%	91%	87%	88%
2008	21958.5	86%	83%	78%	85%	91%	87%	86%
Purchased Care - U.S. Family Health Plan								
2009	610.5	89%	*	72%	87%	94%	89%	89%
2008	1189	88%		74%	83%	93%	85%	91%

¹ Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the (as appropriate) 2009 Civilian Purchased Care or Direct Care Benchmark score. ² CONUS includes Alaska and Hawaii.

³ Region based on region where beneficiary received care.

Note. "--" no response for the reporting period

Note. Data are not shown in cases where fewer than 10 valid responses were received nor are statistical tests reported in cases where fewer than 30 valid responses were received.

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites

Composite 3 - Office Staff

				Pi	roportion Alw	ays		
	_				Retirees	Retirees		
				Active Duty	and Family	and Family		
	D	T.4.1	Active	Family	Members	Members	Primary	Specialty
A COREO ODO MED ODD HUDI DUDT	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
1st SPEC OPS MED GRP-HURLBURT	0.4	070/	4000/	040/	000/	000/	000/	000/
2009	81	87%	100%	81%	92%	86%	90%	80%
2008	99	88%		83%	92%	90%	88%	90%
2nd MED GRP-BARKSDALE	000.5	000/	040/	000/	000/	000/	000/	000/
2009	233.5	88%	81%	82%	86%	92%	92%	86%
2008	319.5	88%	81%	87%	81%	92%	85%	93%
6th MED GRP-MACDILL	4405.5	200/	050/	700/	0.40/	000/	050/	000/
2009	1135.5	86%	85%	79%	84%	89%	85%	86%
2008	1849.5	85%	81%	74%	82%	90%	87%	83%
7th MED GRP-DYESS	4.40	200/	000/	700/	000/	000/	070/	000/
2009	148	89%	96%	73%	93%	96%	87%	90%
2008	186	85%	86%	71%	85%	95%	86%	85%
12th MED GRP-RANDOLPH	4.40.5	070/	*	700/	0.40/	000/	000/	200/
2009	146.5	87%		79%	84%	89%	86%	89%
2008	246.5	88%		85%	80%	91%	87%	90%
14th MED GRP-COLUMBUS	70	000/	070/	000/	7.40/	000/	000/	070/
2009	79	86%	87%	66%	74%	98%	86%	87%
2008	92.5	96%	80%	94%	97%	98%	98%	94%
17th MED GRP-GOODFELLOW	00.5	000/	000/	*	000/	070/	000/	000/
2009	60.5	96%	90%		98%	97%	92%	98%
2008	93	94%	95%	79%	95%	98%	96%	94%
19th MEDICAL GROUP-LITTLE ROCK	204.5	000/	070/	000/	050/	000/	000/	000/
2009	331.5	88%	87%	88%	85%	89%	88%	88%
2008	481.5	88%	86%	89%	80%	93%	87%	90%
20th MED GRP-SHAW	405.5	040/	0.40/	000/	000/	4000/	000/	0.40/
2009	165.5	91%	94%	82%	83%	100%	88%	94%
2008	236	85%	98%	73%	81%	93%	79%	90%
23rd MED GRP-MOODY	470	070/	000/	0.40/	000/	000/	000/	000/
2009	178	87%	86%	84%	83%	93%	88%	86%
2008	244.5	87%	96%	78%	86%	91%	83%	91%
42ND MEDICAL GROUP-MAXWELL	000.5	200/	700/	770/	000/	070/	000/	070/
2009	229.5	89%	72%	77%	86%	97%	93%	87%
2008	319	86%	93%	80%	83%	89%	85%	87%
45th MED GRP-PATRICK	070.5	200/	0.40/	700/	200/	000/	070/	2001
2009	379.5	90%	84%	72%	86%	93%	87%	92%
2008	741	88%	89%	72%	87%	89%	87%	89% (continued

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites

Composite 3 - Office Staff

				Pi	roportion Alw			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
47th MED GRP-LAUGHLIN								
2009	12	81%	*	*	*	*	*	85%
2008	18	73%	*	*	*	*	*	68%
59th MED WING-LACKLAND								
2009	256.5	87%	73%	67%	82%	93%	85%	89%
2008	349	89%	*	57%	89%	93%	87%	90%
71st MED GRP-VANCE								
2009	39	89%	82%	84%	*	*	*	89%
2008	34	87%	*	*	*	97%	98%	77%
72nd MED GRP-TINKER								
2009	481.5	88%	87%	84%	89%	90%	88%	89%
2008	614.5	84%	88%	78%	86%	86%	83%	85%
78th MED GRP-ROBINS								
2009	333	89%	86%	84%	89%	91%	89%	89%
2008	499	87%	83%	78%	88%	93%	88%	87%
81st MED GRP-KEESLER								
2009	83	95%	87%	96%	98%	95%	94%	97%
2008	114	87%	*	83%	77%	95%	87%	88%
82nd MED GRP-SHEPPARD								
2009	127.5	88%	73%	86%	87%	94%	80%	92%
2008	176	83%	78%	73%	82%	90%	84%	83%
96th MED GRP-EGLIN								
2009	330	90%	82%	74%	89%	94%	90%	91%
2008	527.5	91%	93%	84%	90%	93%	89%	93%
97th MED GRP-ALTUS								
2009	28	95%	*	*	*	*	*	93%
2008	37	94%	*	*	100%	97%	100%	86%
325th MED GRP-TYNDALL								
2009	41	90%	*	82%	89%	96%	88%	92%
2008	90.5	92%	*	*	87%	99%	93%	90%
437th MED GRP-CHARLESTON								
2009	251.5	90%	74%	77%	91%	95%	92%	88%
2008	356.5	87%	78%	76%	86%	93%	89%	85%
AHC FT. MCPHERSON								11,7
2009	606	87%	83%	81%	84%	92%	86%	88%
2008	772	85%	70%	86%	84%	90%	85%	86%
								(continue

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites

Composite 3 - Office Staff

	_			Pı	roportion Alw			
					Retirees	Retirees		
				Active Duty	and Family	and Family		
			Active	Family	Members	Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
ALABAMA								
2009	524	87%	87%	72%	85%	92%	86%	89%
2008	796	87%	88%	77%	82%	92%	86%	87%
ARKANSAS								
2009	379	89%	82%	86%	87%	91%	89%	88%
2008	609.5	90%	79%	86%	85%	92%	89%	90%
BAYNE-JONES ACH-FT. POLK								
2009	65	83%	*	58%	79%	97%	83%	84%
2008	95	84%	*	72%	86%	83%	86%	81%
BMC NAVSUPPACT EAST BANK								
2009	41	81%	73%	74%	*	*	84%	79%
2008	40	81%	*	70%	*	*	72%	87%
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON								
2009	235	89%	*	80%	86%	92%	88%	90%
2008	424.5	89%	*	81%	87%	91%	91%	87%
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD								
2009	572.5	79%	80%	70%	80%	89%	74%	85%
2008	810.5	79%	80%	75%	75%	91%	76%	83%
EASTERN FLORIDA								
2009	1575.5	87%	84%	78%	82%	90%	87%	87%
2008	2889	87%	81%	72%	84%	89%	86%	88%
EASTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	104.5	88%	87%	75%	98%	90%	85%	92%
2008	191	88%	82%	80%	87%	91%	89%	86%
EASTERN TEXAS								
2009	1476	87%	82%	77%	82%	92%	86%	88%
2008	2461	87%	79%	77%	83%	92%	87%	88%
EISENHOWER AMC-FT. GORDON								
2009	214.5	92%	79%	90%	88%	94%	91%	92%
2008	302.5	87%	*	87%	82%	91%	93%	82%
FOX AHC-REDSTONE ARSENAL								
2009	264.5	88%	78%	74%	89%	90%	92%	84%
2008	440	90%	93%	80%	88%	94%	91%	90%
GEORGIA								
2009	191.5	90%	91%	86%	93%	89%	91%	87%
2008	316.5	89%	89%	74%	84%	96%	89%	89%

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites

Composite 3 - Office Staff

				Pi	roportion Alw			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
GEORGIA-FORMER NOBLE CATCHMENT								
2009	17	92%	*	*	*	*	89%	*
2008	25	95%		*	*	95%	94%	96%
LYSTER AHC-FT. RUCKER								
2009	266.5	91%	82%	85%	90%	94%	92%	89%
2008	408	87%	60%	86%	88%	90%	86%	88%
MARTIN ACH-FT. BENNING								
2009	310	89%	90%	76%	86%	93%	89%	89%
2008	443.5	87%	92%	82%	84%	89%	86%	87%
MISSISSIPPI								
2009	491.5	89%	82%	83%	89%	92%	90%	87%
2008	603	87%	84%	76%	83%	94%	87%	87%
MONCRIEF ACH-FT. JACKSON								
2009	366	90%	88%	83%	82%	95%	87%	92%
2008	587.5	90%	94%	83%	89%	92%	88%	91%
NACC NEW ORLEANS								
2009	127	82%	86%	61%	87%	88%	85%	80%
2008	136	81%	90%	70%	76%	89%	83%	79%
NBHC ALBANY								
2009	81.5	90%	*	88%	95%	88%	90%	89%
2008	133.5	83%	*	84%	59%	96%	83%	83%
NBHC ATHENS								
2009	147	94%	84%	66%	91%	98%	93%	94%
2008	212	89%	*	77%	87%	92%	85%	93%
NBHC FORT WORTH								
2009	699.5	89%	79%	82%	86%	93%	88%	89%
2008	1152.5	86%	80%	78%	83%	91%	84%	90%
NBHC GULFPORT								
2009	89.5	87%	86%	71%	93%	89%	89%	86%
2008	119	77%	*	57%	73%	81%	66%	85%
NBHC INGLESIDE								
2009	10.5	72%		*	*	*	*	*
2008	14	91%	*	*	*	*	94%	*
NBHC KEY WEST								
2009	40	99%	91%	*	*	*	*	98%
2008	49	85%	96%	*	75%	93%	72%	92%

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites

Composite 3 - Office Staff

				Pı	oportion Alw	ays		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	and Family and Family Members Members		Specialty Care
NBHC KINGS BAY								
2009	133.5	89%	62%	88%	86%	98%	86%	92%
2008	197	82%	*	80%	82%	83%	78%	88%
NBHC KINGSVILLE								
2009	8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	13	84%			*	*	*	*
NBHC MARIETTA								
2009	400.5	87%	91%	81%	85%	90%	86%	88%
2008	614	88%	84%	82%	86%	92%	86%	90%
NBHC MAYPORT								
2009	139	89%	*	84%	82%	96%	89%	90%
2008	288	85%	*	78%	83%	91%	83%	91%
NBHC MCRD PARRIS ISLAND								
2009	30.5	92%	*	*	*	*	89%	95%
2008	45	85%	*	*	*	88%	76%	97%
NBHC MERIDIAN								
2009	87.5	81%	*	81%	79%	82%	73%	91%
2008	142	80%	89%	66%	75%	90%	83%	78%
NBHC MILTON WHITING FIELD								
2009	69	91%	*	82%	89%	95%	90%	96%
2008	101	91%	*	80%	91%	94%	95%	82%
NBHC NAS BELLE CHASE								
2009	104.5	88%	97%	74%	84%	100%	90%	86%
2008	152.5	88%	91%	72%	88%	98%	81%	95%
NBHC NAS JACKSONVILLE								
2009	326.5	90%	85%	83%	89%	95%	90%	90%
2008	525	85%	66%	78%	85%	87%	84%	85%
NBHC NAS PENSACOLA								
2009	10	100%		*	*	*	*	*
2008	21	81%		*	*	69%	81%	
NBHC NATTC PENSACOLA								
2009	139.5	87%	92%	69%	88%	87%	82%	92%
2008	220.5	90%	*	58%	90%	94%	90%	90%
NBHC NAVCOASTSYSC PANAMA CITY								
	226	89%	94%	75%	92%	91%	89%	89%
2009	220	03/0		10/0			03/0	

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites

Composite 3 - Office Staff

				Pi	roportion Alw	ays		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC NSA MID-SOUTH	11000011000	Total	Duty	Members	Officer GO		Guic	- Cui C
2009	301.5	84%	74%	73%	79%	92%	81%	87%
2008	442.5	84%	89%	67%	84%	90%	80%	89%
NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA								
2009	218.5	93%	89%	88%	92%	94%	95%	91%
2008	377	89%	80%	83%	87%	92%	88%	89%
NBHC PASCAGOULA	-							
2009	143.5	84%	86%	81%	85%	85%	84%	85%
2008	207.5	81%	*	71%	78%	87%	82%	79%
NBHC WPNSTA CHARLESTON								
2009	59	85%	*	*	84%	94%	84%	90%
2008	99	86%	*	*	80%	95%	86%	82%
NH BEAUFORT								
2009	104	87%	94%	88%	91%	85%	96%	79%
2008	115.5	91%	*	91%	98%	88%	88%	93%
NH JACKSONVILLE								
2009	288	89%	79%	83%	89%	91%	90%	88%
2008	424	85%	*	80%	83%	88%	83%	88%
NH PENSACOLA								
2009	26	97%		*	92%	100%	97%	*
2008	32	92%	*	*	94%	*	96%	*
NHC CHARLESTON								
2009	196.5	86%	78%	83%	84%	90%	87%	86%
2008	272.5	89%	98%	81%	88%	90%	92%	85%
NHC CORPUS CHRISTI								
2009	150	87%	70%	76%	84%	94%	92%	81%
2008	207.5	88%	*	85%	84%	91%	88%	87%
OKLAHOMA								
2009	288	87%	76%	77%	78%	93%	89%	85%
2008	359	88%	97%	68%	86%	93%	86%	93%
REYNOLDS ACH-FT. SILL								
2009	183	81%	70%	72%	86%	83%	79%	85%
2008	210.5	90%	83%	82%	90%	94%	92%	88%
SOUTH CAROLINA								
2009	542.5	88%	82%	85%	85%	90%	87%	89%
2008	864	88%	88%	71%	86%	92%	87%	89% (continued

Domain: Clinician & Group CAHPS Composites

Composite 3 - Office Staff

				Pi	oportion Alw	ays		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
SOUTHCOM CLINIC	·							
2009 2008	289.5 391.5	84% 81%	85% 90%	75% 67%	80% 76%	90% 90%	87% 82%	80% 81%
TENNESSEE								
2009	1138	89%	88%	80%	87%	93%	88%	91%
2008	1614	90%	80%	84%	89%	92%	89%	91%
TUTTLE AHC-HUNTER ARMY AIRFLD								
2009	267	86%	83%	85%	87%	87%	87%	86%
2008	279.5	83%	85%	79%	86%	84%	83%	83%
WESTERN FLORIDA								
2009	90	88%	79%	81%	84%	91%	90%	84%
2008	140	86%	*	53%	93%	91%	83%	92%
WESTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	250	90%	77%	84%	87%	95%	91%	88%
2008	317.5	91%	66%	83%	92%	95%	92%	90%
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART								
2009	87	85%	70%	80%	88%	88%	81%	88%
2008	68.5	85%	*	67%	86%	96%	92%	79%

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey - MHS Wide - Provider Region South

Domain: Wait Time and Communication

Q26 - Get results on test or X-ray

	_			Ī	Percent Alway			
	Decreases	Total	Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark ¹	Responses	Total 75%	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
2009 Direct Care Civilian Benchmark		75% 69%						
2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark		78%						
		. 0 / 0						
MHS Wide								
2009	53035	76%	63%	66%	77%	85%	76%	77%
2008	72081	74%	61%	64%	76%	84%	74%	75%
Overall - Direct Care								
2009	20255	60%	60%	55%	62%	71%	57%	65%
2008	21425	59%	59%	54%	63%	72%	55%	65%
Direct Care - CONUS ²								
2009	18241	60%	60%	55%	62%	71%	57%	65%
2008	19592	59%	59%	54%	62%	72%	56%	65%
Direct Care - OCONUS								
2009	2014	60%	60%	54%	64%	69%	57%	66%
2008	1833	60%	58%	57%	69%	72%	55%	66%
Overall - Purchased Care								
2009	32780	83%	77%	74%	81%	86%	83%	81%
2008	50656	81%	75%	73%	80%	85%	82%	80%
Purchased Care - North Region ³								
2009	9781	82%	78%	75%	81%	86%	83%	81%
2008	15247	81%	73%	74%	80%	84%	81%	80%
Purchased Care - South Region ³								
2009	13630	83%	77%	72 %	82%	86%	84%	83%
2008	20566	82%	77%	73%	80%	86%	83%	81%
Purchased Care - West Region ³								
2009	8939	82%	76%	76%	80%	84%	83%	79%
2008	13972	81%	77%	73%	80%	84%	82%	79%
Purchased Care - U.S. Family Health Plan								
2009	430	86%	*	83%	81%	89%	87%	82%
2008	870	82%		77%	78%	86%	83%	80%

¹ Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the (as appropriate) 2009 Civilian Purchased Care or Direct Care Benchmark score. ² CONUS includes Alaska and Hawaii.

³ Region based on region where beneficiary received care.

Note. "--" no response for the reporting period

Note. Data are not shown in cases where fewer than 10 valid responses were received nor are statistical tests reported in cases where fewer than 30 valid responses were received.

Domain: Wait Time and Communication

Q26 - Get results on test or X-ray

					Percent Alwa			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
1st SPEC OPS MED GRP-HURLBURT								
2009	35	75%	*	50%	79%	*	80%	*
2008	52	84%		68%	98%	80%	83%	*
2nd MED GRP-BARKSDALE								
2009	159	79%	74%	76%	81%	79%	84%	74%
2008	197	85%	95%	91%	85%	82%	80%	92%
6th MED GRP-MACDILL								
2009	751	83%	75%	75%	81%	86%	83%	83%
2008	1187	80%	69%	62%	78%	85%	84%	75%
7th MED GRP-DYESS								
2009	88	80%	88%	58%	96%	82%	79%	82%
2008	119	88%	70%	94%	92%	89%	87%	90%
12th MED GRP-RANDOLPH								
2009	91	84%	*	*	85%	84%	81%	91%
2008	145	80%	*	*	75%	83%	79%	83%
14th MED GRP-COLUMBUS								
2009	49	84%	72%	*	73%	100%	85%	82%
2008	52	79%	*	*	91%	89%	91%	62%
17th MED GRP-GOODFELLOW								
2009	33	89%	65%	*	*	90%	*	91%
2008	51	85%	*	*	*	87%	83%	87%
19th MEDICAL GROUP-LITTLE ROCK								
2009	226	85%	75%	63%	87%	90%	87%	83%
2008	328	84%	74%	84%	91%	81%	81%	88%
20th MED GRP-SHAW								
2009	108	82%	92%	76%	75%	87%	75%	95%
2008	160	82%	100%	81%	74%	85%	72%	95%
23rd MED GRP-MOODY								
2009	130	79%	71%	89%	75%	79%	84%	73%
2008	163	77%	84%	56%	89%	78%	78%	76%
42ND MEDICAL GROUP-MAXWELL								
2009	143	83%	61%	77%	82%	87%	84%	82%
2008	194	83%	*	71%	90%	85%	83%	84%
45th MED GRP-PATRICK								
2009	248	84%	81%	81%	70%	89%	84%	83%
2008	513	80%	91%	72%	79%	81%	81%	79%
								(continue

Domain: Wait Time and Communication

Q26 - Get results on test or X-ray

					Percent Alwa	ys		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
47th MED GRP-LAUGHLIN								
2009	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	13	60%	*	*	*	*	*	*
59th MED WING-LACKLAND								
2009	185	81%	*	79%	69%	86%	77%	86%
2008	215	81%	*	50%	77%	85%	88%	69%
71st MED GRP-VANCE								
2009	21	80%	*	*	*	*	*	73%
2008	23	78%	*	*	*	97%	97%	60%
72nd MED GRP-TINKER								
2009	300	82%	81%	74%	79%	89%	83%	81%
2008	368	81%	89%	73%	74%	87%	83%	78%
78th MED GRP-ROBINS								
2009	227	81%	85%	69%	78%	85%	82%	80%
2008	332	82%	75%	73%	87%	84%	84%	80%
81st MED GRP-KEESLER								
2009	55	83%	*	*	67%	93%	82%	86%
2008	76	75%	*	*	58%	89%	84%	64%
82nd MED GRP-SHEPPARD								
2009	66	78%	57%	69%	92%	81%	75%	81%
2008	103	82%	75%	56%	94%	87%	76%	84%
96th MED GRP-EGLIN								
2009	217	86%	58%	76%	87%	87%	89%	81%
2008	331	83%	*	80%	78%	87%	83%	83%
97th MED GRP-ALTUS								
2009	16	74%	*	*	*	*	*	43%
2008	25	92%	*	*	88%	*	93%	*
325th MED GRP-TYNDALL								
2009	33	74%	*	*	81%	77%	74%	74%
2008	70	94%	*	*	86%	98%	95%	86%
437th MED GRP-CHARLESTON		2						22,0
2009	164	82%	63%	82%	81%	85%	83%	82%
2008	241	83%	60%	78%	83%	87%	81%	85%
AHC FT. MCPHERSON	-11	0070	0070	1070	3373	0. 70	0.70	3370
2009	404	81%	78%	73%	80%	85%	83%	79%
2008	516	80%	85%	81%	77%	82%	80%	79%
2000	310	0070	00 /0	0170	1170	02 /U	0070	(continued

Domain: Wait Time and Communication

Q26 - Get results on test or X-ray

					Percent Alwa			
	_		Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
ALABAMA	222	2001	2001	2001	700/	0- 0/	700/	2001
2009	366	83%	93%	62%	79%	87%	78%	90%
2008	559	80%	75%	79%	79%	81%	80%	79%
ARKANSAS	004	000/	0.40/	040/	000/	000/	000/	040/
2009	284	88%	84%	81%	86%	89%	89%	81%
2008	443	85%	88%	87%	84%	85%	84%	86%
BAYNE-JONES ACH-FT. POLK		2001	*	740/	750/	200/	222/	700/
2009	38	82%		71%	75%	90%	83%	79%
2008	67	65%	*	58%	64%	77%	83%	37%
BMC NAVSUPPACT EAST BANK								
2009	24	77%	92%	*	*	*	78%	74%
2008	28	76%	*	53%	*	*	59%	88%
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON								
2009	147	81%	*	62%	82%	82%	80%	83%
2008	263	80%	*	80%	74%	82%	83%	74%
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD								
2009	369	77%	64%	69%	81%	81%	75%	81%
2008	504	73%	72%	69%	70%	84%	74%	71%
EASTERN FLORIDA								
2009	1122	84%	80%	74%	82%	86%	84%	84%
2008	2019	83%	72%	66%	79%	86%	83%	84%
EASTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	61	87%	75%	61%	100%	91%	88%	84%
2008	123	85%	80%	84%	78%	89%	91%	71%
EASTERN TEXAS								
2009	1037	84%	71%	69%	83%	88%	83%	85%
2008	1666	83%	79%	67%	81%	88%	84%	81%
EISENHOWER AMC-FT. GORDON								
2009	120	81%	*	73%	76%	84%	84%	78%
2008	188	85%	*	94%	77%	91%	89%	79%
FOX AHC-REDSTONE ARSENAL								
2009	189	83%	53%	65%	85%	84%	85%	81%
2008	296	87%	100%	91%	83%	89%	86%	88%
GEORGIA								
2009	146	87%	79%	77%	94%	86%	86%	90%
2008	219	86%	*	58%	80%	94%	87%	82%

Domain: Wait Time and Communication

Q26 - Get results on test or X-ray

					Percent Alwa			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
GEORGIA-FORMER NOBLE CATCHMENT								
2009	12	79%	*		*	*	*	*
2008	15	88%			*	89%	*	*
LYSTER AHC-FT. RUCKER								
2009	168	89%	77%	84%	82%	95%	92%	84%
2008	272	85%	59%	59%	86%	91%	92%	76%
MARTIN ACH-FT. BENNING								
2009	211	85%	87%	75%	81%	89%	80%	91%
2008	288	84%	64%	69%	86%	87%	87%	80%
MISSISSIPPI								
2009	330	87%	87%	79%	86%	89%	89%	81%
2008	395	84%	80%	79%	77%	91%	86%	81%
MONCRIEF ACH-FT. JACKSON								
2009	242	87%	82%	96%	81%	89%	88%	86%
2008	363	85%	79%	83%	80%	90%	86%	84%
NACC NEW ORLEANS								
2009	69	84%	79%	73%	77%	88%	88%	80%
2008	95	77%	88%	79%	73%	76%	82%	70%
NBHC ALBANY								
2009	62	92%	*	*	95%	91%	94%	90%
2008	82	85%	*	*	76%	87%	78%	95%
NBHC ATHENS								
2009	105	89%	85%	71%	90%	91%	86%	94%
2008	154	81%	*	69%	79%	86%	78%	87%
NBHC FORT WORTH								
2009	480	83%	81%	72%	83%	86%	85%	81%
2008	753	82%	60%	73%	77%	88%	83%	81%
NBHC GULFPORT								
2009	55	87%	72%	*	88%	86%	83%	91%
2008	78	72%	*	*	69%	72%	66%	78%
NBHC INGLESIDE								
2009	11	75%		*	*	*	*	*
2008	12	96%	*	*	*	*	94%	*
NBHC KEY WEST								
2009	24	91%	96%	*	*	*	*	99%
2008	35	68%	86%	*	65%	*	53%	77%

Domain: Wait Time and Communication

Q26 - Get results on test or X-ray

					Percent Alway			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC KINGS BAY								
2009	78	83%	73%	93%	79%	85%	84%	80%
2008	122	74%	*	79%	72%	77%	76%	72%
NBHC KINGSVILLE								
2009	4	*		*	*	*	*	*
2008	11	88%			*	*	*	*
NBHC MARIETTA								
2009	256	84%	79%	78%	84%	87%	88%	80%
2008	383	84%	81%	74%	81%	91%	85%	84%
NBHC MAYPORT								
2009	103	85%	*	89%	83%	86%	85%	86%
2008	213	78%	*	86%	78%	76%	77%	81%
NBHC MCRD PARRIS ISLAND								
2009	18	72%	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	26	86%	*	*	*	84%	87%	85%
NBHC MERIDIAN								
2009	66	84%	*	79%	90%	82%	86%	82%
2008	99	80%	*	58%	81%	89%	86%	73%
NBHC MILTON WHITING FIELD								
2009	51	94%	*	*	86%	100%	94%	95%
2008	80	80%	*	*	81%	81%	84%	70%
NBHC NAS BELLE CHASE								
2009	63	78%	87%	68%	75%	83%	85%	67%
2008	100	90%	65%	94%	90%	92%	89%	90%
NBHC NAS JACKSONVILLE								
2009	227	84%	71%	70%	84%	88%	85%	82%
2008	343	79%	*	77%	75%	83%	82%	77%
NBHC NAS PENSACOLA								
2009	8	*			*	*	*	
2008	15	83%			*	*	83%	
NBHC NATTC PENSACOLA								
2009	99	79%	90%	*	80%	78%	87%	68%
2008	145	87%	*	74%	90%	87%	87%	87%
NBHC NAVCOASTSYSC PANAMA CITY								
2009	151	84%	86%	71%	90%	83%	82%	86%
2008	156	86%		80%	82%	90%	81%	91%

Domain: Wait Time and Communication

Q26 - Get results on test or X-ray

					Percent Alwa			
	_		Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
NBHC NSA MID-SOUTH								
2009	194	79%	85%	71%	75%	83%	71%	89%
2008	314	85%	82%	82%	79%	90%	83%	87%
NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA								
2009	137	81%	71%	77%	91%	78%	85%	78%
2008	238	81%	*	72%	73%	89%	81%	80%
NBHC PASCAGOULA								
2009	97	74%	56%	76%	75%	73%	69%	80%
2008	139	76%	*	71%	74%	78%	74%	78%
NBHC WPNSTA CHARLESTON								
2009	46	95%	*	*	93%	100%	97%	*
2008	76	87%	*	*	92%	90%	86%	*
NH BEAUFORT								
2009	48	73%	*	64%	*	74%	76%	67%
2008	60	88%	*	77%	91%	93%	93%	81%
NH JACKSONVILLE								
2009	194	74%	57%	60%	71%	81%	74%	74%
2008	283	67%	*	44%	69%	67%	66%	69%
NH PENSACOLA								
2009	19	94%		*	*	100%	96%	*
2008	25	93%		*	89%	*	93%	
NHC CHARLESTON								
2009	120	86%	68%	75%	83%	92%	90%	82%
2008	194	82%	*	88%	82%	80%	82%	83%
NHC CORPUS CHRISTI								
2009	99	82%	37%	58%	84%	93%	86%	77%
2008	149	74%	*	66%	75%	74%	75%	73%
OKLAHOMA								
2009	203	82%	65%	86%	81%	83%	85%	76%
2008	247	81%	91%	78%	77%	83%	82%	80%
REYNOLDS ACH-FT. SILL								
2009	113	70%	74%	58%	81%	62%	68%	74%
2008	126	76%	91%	71%	80%	73%	65%	88%
SOUTH CAROLINA								
2009	387	89%	83%	82%	87%	90%	90%	86%
2008	577	85%	97%	72%	77%	90%	82%	91%

Domain: Wait Time and Communication

Q26 - Get results on test or X-ray

					Percent Alwa	ys		
	_		Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
SOUTHCOM CLINIC								
2009	166	78%	84%	62%	76%	86%	82%	72%
2008	255	76%	66%	66%	69%	89%	76%	77%
TENNESSEE								
2009	794	86%	81%	78%	87%	87%	86%	85%
2008	1146	87%	75%	80%	88%	88%	87%	87%
TUTTLE AHC-HUNTER ARMY AIRFLD								
2009	166	80%	83%	60%	91%	80%	86%	74%
2008	184	87%	76%	78%	95%	90%	92%	83%
WESTERN FLORIDA								
2009	61	82%	*	*	87%	82%	81%	84%
2008	100	87%	*	41%	91%	92%	86%	91%
WESTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	175	85%	77%	77%	82%	89%	84%	88%
2008	219	86%	*	80%	88%	88%	86%	84%
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART								
2009	48	78%	67%	58%	100%	80%	81%	73%
2008	38	91%	*	*	100%	89%	94%	*

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey - MHS Wide - Provider Region South

Domain: DoD Composites

Composite 1 - Feelings Toward MHS

	_			Р	roportion Agr			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark ¹								
2009 Direct Care Civilian Benchmark								
2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark								
MHS Wide								
2009	78866.6	57%	37%	43%	55%	75%	58%	56%
2008	99134.8	53%	34%	39%	52%	73%	54%	51%
Overall - Direct Care								
2009	34263.8	43%	36%	39%	53%	74%	44%	43%
2008	32669.8	41%	33%	37%	52%	73%	41%	41%
Direct Care - CONUS ²								
2009	30839.6	44%	36%	39%	54%	75%	44%	43%
2008	29746.2	41%	33%	36%	52%	74%	41%	41%
Direct Care - OCONUS								
2009	3424.2	41%	38%	39%	47%	66%	40%	43%
2008	2923.6	40%	36%	38%	48%	65%	40%	40%
Overall - Purchased Care								
2009	44602.8	63%	40%	46%	55%	75%	65%	61%
2008	66465	59%	36%	42%	51%	73%	62%	56%
Purchased Care - North Region ³								
2009	13369.6	61%	37%	43%	53%	75%	63%	59%
2008	20207.2	57%	36%	40%	49%	72%	60%	53%
Purchased Care - South Region ³								
2009	18201	64%	41%	48%	57%	75%	66%	62%
2008	26672.2	60%	37%	43%	53%	73%	63%	58%
Purchased Care - West Region ³	10510.0	2004	1101	400/	5 40/	 /	0.107	0.107
2009	12546.6	63%	41%	48%	54%	75%	64%	61%
2008	18681.8	60%	35%	42%	52%	73%	62%	57%
Purchased Care - U.S. Family Health Plan	10.1.0	7.407	*	4407	222/	2001	700/	700/
2009	484.8	74%		41%	69%	83%	73%	76%
2008	902.4	71%		45%	62%	81%	70%	73%

¹ Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the (as appropriate) 2009 Civilian Purchased Care or Direct Care Benchmark score. ² CONUS includes Alaska and Hawaii.

³ Region based on region where beneficiary received care.

Note. "--" no response for the reporting period

Note. Data are not shown in cases where fewer than 10 valid responses were received nor are statistical tests reported in cases where fewer than 30 valid responses were received.

Domain: DoD Composites

Composite 1 - Feelings Toward MHS

	_		Proportion Agree							
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care		
1st SPEC OPS MED GRP-HURLBURT										
2009	68	56%	*	51%	48%	79%	55%	56%		
2008	83	49%	*	45%	47%	65%	51%	46%		
2nd MED GRP-BARKSDALE										
2009	213.6	60%	34%	45%	55%	73%	64%	59%		
2008	289.4	58%	27%	42%	48%	74%	65%	53%		
6th MED GRP-MACDILL										
2009	1010.8	64%	37%	54%	55%	74%	65%	64%		
2008	1591.4	63%	31%	45%	56%	75%	67%	59%		
7th MED GRP-DYESS										
2009	156.2	56%	48%	38%	60%	70%	59%	53%		
2008	173.2	54%	29%	38%	50%	73%	61%	48%		
12th MED GRP-RANDOLPH										
2009	146.4	63%	*	63%	57%	67%	67%	59%		
2008	217.4	61%	*	29%	44%	71%	63%	58%		
14th MED GRP-COLUMBUS										
2009	69.2	67%	47%	42%	58%	84%	62%	72%		
2008	80.6	62%	*	41%	60%	75%	70%	56%		
17th MED GRP-GOODFELLOW										
2009	63.6	50%	38%	*	40%	54%	46%	55%		
2008	92.2	49%	33%	27%	44%	60%	47%	51%		
19th MEDICAL GROUP-LITTLE ROCK										
2009	320.6	66%	40%	56%	65%	74%	66%	65%		
2008	428	62%	40%	37%	57%	76%	65%	59%		
20th MED GRP-SHAW										
2009	143.2	61%	41%	39%	60%	70%	59%	63%		
2008	205	49%	23%	30%	48%	65%	54%	45%		
23rd MED GRP-MOODY										
2009	165.2	58%	33%	42%	56%	72%	64%	52%		
2008	209.8	57%	18%	42%	55%	73%	63%	51%		
42ND MEDICAL GROUP-MAXWELL										
2009	200.8	56%	32%	40%	55%	69%	50%	60%		
2008	273	58%	28%	36%	51%	70%	61%	54%		
45th MED GRP-PATRICK										
2009	332.4	69%	50%	44%	56%	79%	71%	67%		
2008	614.4	67%	29%	45%	60%	75%	72%	63%		

Domain: DoD Composites

Composite 1 - Feelings Toward MHS

				P	roportion Ag	ree		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
47th MED GRP-LAUGHLIN								
2009	12.6	52%	*	*	*	*	*	53%
2008	19.6	46%	*	*	*	*	*	27%
59th MED WING-LACKLAND								
2009	246.2	57%	54%	36%	49%	65%	62%	54%
2008	313.6	56%	*	33%	47%	64%	58%	53%
71st MED GRP-VANCE								
2009	36	57%	28%	*	*	*	*	59%
2008	30	56%	*	*	*	73%	61%	54%
72nd MED GRP-TINKER								
2009	461.8	57%	40%	43%	50%	72%	59%	56%
2008	557.6	51%	25%	34%	48%	68%	57%	44%
78th MED GRP-ROBINS								
2009	299.6	59%	30%	46%	57%	68%	62%	57%
2008	410.8	49%	35%	36%	45%	60%	53%	46%
81st MED GRP-KEESLER								
2009	69.2	58%	33%	45%	56%	64%	54%	64%
2008	100.2	54%	*	*	35%	69%	52%	57%
82nd MED GRP-SHEPPARD								
2009	118.8	48%	30%	33%	48%	56%	44%	49%
2008	156.2	48%	22%	32%	38%	66%	48%	47%
96th MED GRP-EGLIN								
2009	288.2	65%	37%	42%	53%	77%	67%	62%
2008	438.4	55%	36%	36%	45%	71%	56%	54%
97th MED GRP-ALTUS								
2009	30.4	69%	40%	*	*	*	*	64%
2008	35.6	66%	*	*	68%	73%	68%	63%
325th MED GRP-TYNDALL								
2009	35.8	69%	*	*	76%	*	69%	68%
2008	76.8	62%	*	38%	58%	76%	72%	47%
437th MED GRP-CHARLESTON		32,0		33,0	3373		. = / 0	70
2009	222.8	59%	34%	42%	54%	76%	62%	58%
2008	310.4	56%	40%	40%	53%	65%	61%	51%
AHC FT. MCPHERSON	010	0070	4070	1070	3070	00 /0	0170	0170
2009	545.4	65%	48%	52%	57%	78%	66%	64%
2008	671	59%	37%	52%	56%	70 <i>%</i> 71%	61%	58%
2000	0/1	J3 /0	31 /0	JZ /0	JU /0	1 1 /0	01/0	(continue

Domain: DoD Composites

Composite 1 - Feelings Toward MHS

				F	Proportion Ag			
			Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
ALABAMA								
2009	460.6	69%	48%	51%	58%	79%	69%	68%
2008	680.6	65%	48%	54%	56%	74%	66%	63%
ARKANSAS								
2009	339.4	70%	48%	58%	61%	78%	72%	67%
2008	528.2	62%	37%	46%	54%	69%	62%	62%
BAYNE-JONES ACH-FT. POLK								
2009	56.4	61%	*	22%	46%	95%	62%	60%
2008	82.8	49%	*	27%	48%	65%	63%	37%
BMC NAVSUPPACT EAST BANK								
2009	36	57%	24%	42%	*	*	64%	49%
2008	34.2	58%	*	34%	*	*	46%	63%
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON								
2009	234.2	59%	*	42%	50%	67%	61%	58%
2008	387.2	59%	*	41%	51%	65%	64%	55%
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD								
2009	535.4	56%	37%	42%	54%	73%	56%	55%
2008	731.2	53%	40%	41%	50%	71%	55%	50%
EASTERN FLORIDA								
2009	1343.2	71%	51%	49%	60%	78%	71%	70%
2008	2418.6	66%	35%	45%	53%	75%	67%	64%
EASTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	94.2	64%	29%	45%	64%	79%	62%	66%
2008	160.2	66%	58%	62%	49%	77%	66%	65%
EASTERN TEXAS								
2009	1346.6	66%	44%	50%	58%	76%	67%	65%
2008	2167.6	63%	44%	44%	51%	75%	64%	60%
EISENHOWER AMC-FT. GORDON								
2009	191.4	65%	*	44%	60%	73%	70%	63%
2008	259.4	64%	*	51%	57%	74%	69%	61%
FOX AHC-REDSTONE ARSENAL								
2009	229.6	60%	33%	45%	50%	74%	63%	56%
2008	365.8	55%	30%	43%	43%	70%	56%	53%
GEORGIA	555.5	23,0	30,0			. 3,0	2370	33,3
2009	160.6	66%	29%	39%	61%	77%	66%	66%
2008	262.8	61%	32%	42%	55%	72%	67%	50%
	202.0	0170	02,0	12.70	0070	12/0	01 /0	(continu

Domain: DoD Composites

Composite 1 - Feelings Toward MHS

mpoone i roomigo roware				F	Proportion Ag			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
GEORGIA-FORMER NOBLE CATCHMENT								
2009	14.4	84%	*	*	*	*	80%	*
2008	20.6	82%		*	*	82%	*	87%
LYSTER AHC-FT. RUCKER								
2009	228.6	59%	43%	40%	54%	71%	63%	56%
2008	346	58%	19%	48%	47%	70%	60%	57%
MARTIN ACH-FT. BENNING								
2009	273.2	65%	43%	44%	60%	76%	63%	66%
2008	372.6	58%	28%	34%	52%	71%	63%	54%
MISSISSIPPI								
2009	421.8	69%	50%	56%	61%	78%	69%	68%
2008	513	67%	42%	54%	62%	77%	67%	66%
MONCRIEF ACH-FT. JACKSON								
2009	327.2	66%	44%	48%	53%	80%	63%	69%
2008	499.4	60%	33%	40%	56%	75%	62%	59%
NACC NEW ORLEANS								
2009	110	72%	41%	62%	72%	83%	78%	66%
2008	112.8	58%	34%	45%	53%	77%	62%	52%
NBHC ALBANY								
2009	73	75%	*	72%	63%	81%	77%	71%
2008	111.6	68%	*	65%	62%	75%	69%	67%
NBHC ATHENS								
2009	125.4	67%	47%	51%	50%	78%	75%	59%
2008	183.8	65%	*	40%	60%	74%	65%	66%
NBHC FORT WORTH								
2009	644.2	62%	34%	49%	51%	74%	64%	58%
2008	1010.6	60%	29%	39%	48%	73%	60%	59%
NBHC GULFPORT								
2009	78.6	60%	20%	62%	51%	64%	58%	60%
2008	97.4	58%	*	34%	53%	68%	62%	56%
NBHC INGLESIDE								
2009	9.6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	11	65%	*	*	*	*	*	*
NBHC KEY WEST								
2009	35.6	55%	32%	*	*	*	*	49%
2008	40.2	52%	59%	*	47%	*	53%	51%

Domain: DoD Composites

Composite 1 - Feelings Toward MHS

				F	Proportion Ag			
	D	T	Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
NDHO KINOO DAY	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
NBHC KINGS BAY	400.0	500/	400/	450/	500/	770/	F40/	040/
2009	120.6	56%	19%	45%	52%	77%	51%	61%
2008	174.8	50%	•	38%	48%	59%	53%	46%
NBHC KINGSVILLE	44.4	000/	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	11.4	62%			*	*	*	*
2008	10	63%						
NBHC MARIETTA	227.4	C40/	250/	F00/	FC0/	770/	C20/	CE0/
2009	337.4	64%	35%	59%	56%	77%	63%	65%
2008	527.2	61%	47%	51%	57%	70%	61%	62%
NBHC MAYPORT	407.4	63%	*	4.40/	55%	740/	C00/	56%
2009 2008	127.4		*	44%		74%	68%	
	247.4	59%		45%	50%	76%	58%	61%
NBHC MCRD PARRIS ISLAND	20.4	E 40/	400/	*	*	*	F20/	E 40/
2009 2008	28.4 36.4	54%	12%	*	*	67%	53%	54%
NBHC MERIDIAN	30.4	59%				67%	60%	59%
2009	72.2	62%	*	58%	55%	66%	58%	67%
2008 NBHC MILTON WHITING FIELD	114	69%	74%	49%	63%	81%	72%	65%
2009	58.8	65%	*	48%	55%	76%	71%	48%
2009	81.4	62%	*	46% 26%	55% 58%	76% 72%	68%	46% 48%
NBHC NAS BELLE CHASE	01.4	02%		20%	30%	1270	00%	40%
2009	97.2	66%	49%	54%	64%	78%	73%	60%
2009	129.4	61%	49% 35%	54% 45%	58%			58%
NBHC NAS JACKSONVILLE	129.4	0176	35%	45%	30%	85%	65%	36%
2009	312.8	66%	41%	55%	61%	79%	68%	65%
2009	466	62%	40%	42%	56%	74%	66%	60%
NBHC NAS PENSACOLA	400	02%	40%	42%	30%	7470	00%	60%
2009	9	*		*	*	*	*	*
2008	16	45%		*	*	52%	47%	*
NBHC NATTC PENSACOLA	10	45 /6				J2 /0	47 /0	
2009	133.2	61%	44%	50%	56%	71%	65%	58%
2009	210.6	66%	'1'1 /0 *	39%	50% 57%	71% 74%	67%	66%
NBHC NAVCOASTSYSC PANAMA CITY	210.0	00 /6		39/0	31 /0	14/0	07 /0	0070
2009	195.6	56%	41%	45%	46%	71%	63%	51%
2009	243.4	62%	34%	41%	57%	71%	69%	57%
2000	240.4	UZ /U	J -1 /0	71/0	31 /0	11/0	03/0	(continued

Domain: DoD Composites

Composite 1 - Feelings Toward MHS

				F	Proportion Ag			
	_		Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
NBHC NSA MID-SOUTH								
2009	268.8	58%	38%	49%	52%	65%	58%	57%
2008	370.2	58%	29%	41%	50%	74%	56%	59%
NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA								
2009	194.4	64%	32%	44%	56%	76%	71%	61%
2008	316.8	60%	38%	31%	55%	75%	66%	56%
NBHC PASCAGOULA								
2009	128.4	61%	36%	56%	56%	69%	67%	54%
2008	180.2	62%	*	46%	59%	73%	60%	64%
NBHC WPNSTA CHARLESTON								
2009	50.4	55%	*	*	57%	60%	60%	40%
2008	78.8	55%	*	*	46%	69%	56%	52%
NH BEAUFORT								
2009	90.2	54%	32%	44%	46%	71%	60%	49%
2008	98.4	55%	*	40%	60%	64%	67%	43%
NH JACKSONVILLE								
2009	247.2	66%	39%	52%	59%	75%	67%	65%
2008	355.4	60%	*	46%	50%	72%	59%	61%
NH PENSACOLA								
2009	25.2	62%		*	50%	69%	63%	*
2008	27.6	53%	*	*	48%	*	54%	*
NHC CHARLESTON								
2009	173.4	62%	42%	42%	59%	72%	68%	59%
2008	229.2	59%	32%	45%	52%	70%	64%	54%
NHC CORPUS CHRISTI								
2009	135.4	61%	47%	52%	69%	61%	65%	56%
2008	193	61%	43%	53%	68%	64%	63%	59%
OKLAHOMA								
2009	261	67%	38%	44%	53%	77%	69%	64%
2008	326.2	60%	55%	45%	42%	75%	61%	57%
REYNOLDS ACH-FT. SILL								
2009	171.4	51%	42%	40%	50%	54%	47%	56%
2008	191.6	62%	40%	41%	55%	78%	64%	59%
SOUTH CAROLINA								
2009	448.4	72%	58%	62%	60%	80%	72%	73%
2008	731.2	66%	57%	44%	58%	74%	67%	65%

Domain: DoD Composites

Composite 1 - Feelings Toward MHS

·				F	roportion Ag	ree		
	_		Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
SOUTHCOM CLINIC	·							
2009	255.6	64%	47%	54%	51%	77%	68%	58%
2008	339.8	62%	38%	49%	51%	79%	66%	58%
TENNESSEE								
2009	987.8	68%	47%	50%	61%	78%	68%	68%
2008	1375.6	61%	44%	43%	53%	73%	64%	58%
TUTTLE AHC-HUNTER ARMY AIRFLD								
2009	244	59%	39%	44%	56%	78%	67%	54%
2008	238.4	53%	49%	39%	50%	73%	56%	52%
WESTERN FLORIDA								
2009	78.6	72%	*	*	59%	78%	74%	70%
2008	114.8	62%	*	44%	63%	67%	64%	59%
WESTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	218.2	65%	48%	48%	51%	79%	67%	61%
2008	281.6	66%	58%	50%	62%	74%	65%	67%
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART								
2009	78.2	51%	24%	28%	59%	68%	45%	56%
2008	59.2	43%	*	33%	40%	53%	52%	38%

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey - MHS Wide - Provider Region South

Domain: Feelings About MHS and TRICARE

Q32 - Overall rating of health care

				Pe	ercent Top 2 E			
	_		Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark ¹		53%						
2009 Direct Care Civilian Benchmark		44%						
2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark		58%						
MHS Wide								
2009	97372	50%	27%	38%	51%	72%	52%	48%
2008	120459	46%	24%	34%	47%	70%	48%	43%
Overall - Direct Care								
2009	42539	33%	26%	31%	47%	69%	33%	32%
2008	40303	30%	24%	28%	44%	68%	30%	31%
Direct Care - CONUS ²								
2009	38156	33%	26%	31%	47%	70%	34%	32%
2008	36572	30%	23%	28%	44%	68%	30%	31%
Direct Care - OCONUS								
2009	4383	31%	27%	30 %	42%	63%	31%	32%
2008	3731	30%	26%	30%	45%	61%	30%	30%
Overall - Purchased Care								
2009	54833	60%	32%	45%	53%	72%	63%	57%
2008	80156	56%	27%	39%	48%	70%	60%	51%
Purchased Care - North Region ³								
2009	16443	59%	31%	43%	52 %	72%	63%	55%
2008	24438	54%	28%	38%	46%	70%	58%	48%
Purchased Care - South Region ³								
2009	22226	61%	32%	45%	53%	72%	64%	58%
2008	31934	57%	27%	40%	49%	70%	61%	52%
Purchased Care - West Region ³								
2009	15494	59%	33%	46%	52%	72%	62%	56%
2008	22534	56%	27%	40%	48%	70%	61%	51%
Purchased Care - U.S. Family Health Plan			_	4=0/	 /	240/		
2009	669	72%	*	47%	65%	81%	73%	70%
2008	1249	69%		44%	61%	79%	69%	70%

¹ Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the (as appropriate) 2009 Civilian Purchased Care or Direct Care Benchmark score. ² CONUS includes Alaska and Hawaii.

³ Region based on region where beneficiary received care.

Note. "--" no response for the reporting period

Note. Data are not shown in cases where fewer than 10 valid responses were received nor are statistical tests reported in cases where fewer than 30 valid responses were received.

Domain: Feelings About MHS and TRICARE Q32 - Overall rating of health care

				P	ercent Top 2	Box		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
1st SPEC OPS MED GRP-HURLBURT								
2009	82	50%	17%	36%	39%	77%	52%	47%
2008	102	45%	*	36%	45%	66%	48%	38%
2nd MED GRP-BARKSDALE								
2009	263	57%	23%	43%	54%	70%	63%	53%
2008	351	54%	9%	43%	55%	67%	60%	49%
6th MED GRP-MACDILL								
2009	1235	60%	27%	52%	50%	71%	64%	57%
2008	1908	60%	19%	40%	52%	74%	65%	54%
7th MED GRP-DYESS								
2009	190	48%	26%	33%	60%	58%	49%	47%
2008	211	48%	28%	39%	46%	64%	55%	42%
12th MED GRP-RANDOLPH								
2009	175	60%	*	60%	48%	65%	65%	55%
2008	253	56%	*	17%	39%	68%	58%	54%
14th MED GRP-COLUMBUS								
2009	86	59%	32%	30%	56%	74%	61%	56%
2008	98	53%	10%	15%	56%	69%	66%	45%
17th MED GRP-GOODFELLOW								
2009	77	52%	23%	*	40%	67%	54%	50%
2008	111	40%	20%	26%	22%	59%	35%	42%
19th MEDICAL GROUP-LITTLE ROCK								
2009	397	62%	30%	50%	59%	73%	66%	59%
2008	511	57%	25%	42%	50%	71%	61%	52%
20th MED GRP-SHAW								
2009	176	61%	28%	43%	61%	75%	64%	58%
2008	250	41%	11%	31%	43%	57%	48%	35%
23rd MED GRP-MOODY								
2009	198	50%	23%	43%	48%	63%	56%	46%
2008	250	49%	13%	29%	56%	67%	60%	40%
42ND MEDICAL GROUP-MAXWELL								
2009	246	53%	19%	32%	49%	67%	53%	53%
2008	321	56%	24%	32%	42%	73%	59%	53%
45th MED GRP-PATRICK								
2009	398	68%	42%	28%	51%	81%	69%	68%
2008	720	65%	22%	40%	56%	74%	72%	58%

Domain: Feelings About MHS and TRICARE Q32 - Overall rating of health care

				P	ercent Top 2			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
47th MED GRP-LAUGHLIN								
2009	16	35%	*	*	*	*	*	37%
2008	23	31%	*	*	*	*	*	11%
59th MED WING-LACKLAND								
2009	294	51%	39%	29%	50%	55%	58%	45%
2008	366	51%	*	28%	37%	60%	57%	45%
71st MED GRP-VANCE								
2009	44	48%	21%	70%	*	*	*	47%
2008	36	63%	*	*	33%	80%	74%	54%
72nd MED GRP-TINKER								
2009	566	52%	28%	40%	47%	67%	56%	49%
2008	674	47%	14%	31%	47%	64%	55%	38%
78th MED GRP-ROBINS								
2009	365	54%	15%	43%	53%	65%	61%	48%
2008	487	44%	25%	32%	41%	60%	53%	38%
81st MED GRP-KEESLER								
2009	83	59%	18%	30%	63%	66%	59%	60%
2008	118	49%	*	42%	41%	56%	51%	47%
82nd MED GRP-SHEPPARD								
2009	143	43%	22%	37%	36%	56%	37%	45%
2008	183	42%	14%	18%	34%	76%	48%	40%
96th MED GRP-EGLIN								
2009	349	65%	19%	39%	60%	74%	74%	57%
2008	528	52%	17%	29%	45%	66%	57%	46%
97th MED GRP-ALTUS								
2009	37	62%	19%	37%	64%	*	*	50%
2008	42	52%	*	41%	68%	55%	61%	33%
325th MED GRP-TYNDALL								
2009	44	65%	*	6%	74%	90%	63%	67%
2008	89	62%	*	20%	43%	81%	78%	34%
437th MED GRP-CHARLESTON								
2009	272	56%	27%	38%	47%	78%	60%	53%
2008	372	52%	28%	37%	53%	61%	59%	46%
AHC FT. MCPHERSON								
2009	676	60%	39%	51%	53%	76%	63%	58%
		55%		52%	52%			54%

Domain: Feelings About MHS and TRICARE Q32 - Overall rating of health care

				P	ercent Top 2			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
ALABAMA								
2009	566	65%	48%	54%	54%	75%	69%	61%
2008	813	61%	40%	53%	51%	73%	63%	59%
ARKANSAS								
2009	418	66%	38%	50%	60%	73%	67%	62%
2008	633	60%	27%	48%	50%	68%	61%	57%
BAYNE-JONES ACH-FT. POLK								
2009	70	53%	10%	11%	27%	95%	56%	49%
2008	96	41%	*	14%	45%	63%	71%	17%
BMC NAVSUPPACT EAST BANK								
2009	45	57%	14%	56%	*	*	58%	56%
2008	42	54%	*	47%	*	*	44%	61%
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON								
2009	279	55%	*	41%	37%	64%	56%	53%
2008	451	57%	*	40%	47%	65%	64%	50%
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD								
2009	647	52%	23%	39%	54%	69%	51%	52%
2008	881	46%	34%	38%	44%	66%	51%	41%
EASTERN FLORIDA								
2009	1614	66%	48%	46%	55%	73%	68%	64%
2008	2885	62%	25%	43%	47%	72%	64%	60%
EASTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	116	60%	31%	43%	57%	73%	61%	60%
2008	194	61%	60%	69%	43%	69%	60%	63%
EASTERN TEXAS								
2009	1659	63%	37%	50%	55%	73%	63%	62%
2008	2596	59%	36%	45%	49%	71%	61%	56%
EISENHOWER AMC-FT. GORDON								
2009	234	62%	10%	51%	54%	71%	65%	61%
2008	303	60%	*	42%	51%	73%	71%	53%
FOX AHC-REDSTONE ARSENAL								
2009	283	56%	24%	30%	50%	69%	59%	53%
2008	434	51%	19%	30%	40%	68%	58%	44%
GEORGIA								
2009	195	67%	32%	38%	67%	76%	67%	68%
2008	316	59%	24%	46%	54%	67%	67%	46%

Domain: Feelings About MHS and TRICARE Q32 - Overall rating of health care

				P	ercent Top 2			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
GEORGIA-FORMER NOBLE CATCHMENT								
2009	17	86%	*	*	*	*	82%	*
2008	25	84%		*	*	89%	80%	86%
LYSTER AHC-FT. RUCKER								
2009	282	57%	36%	38%	48%	72%	62%	53%
2008	418	54%	13%	35%	52%	65%	57%	52%
MARTIN ACH-FT. BENNING								
2009	340	63%	32%	38%	53%	77%	64%	62%
2008	438	54%	17%	26%	48%	71%	63%	49%
MISSISSIPPI								
2009	522	66%	48%	60%	57%	76%	65%	66%
2008	619	61%	33%	52%	55%	74%	61%	60%
MONCRIEF ACH-FT. JACKSON								
2009	396	68%	37%	55%	57%	79 %	70%	66%
2008	602	58%	20%	34%	53%	75%	63%	55%
NACC NEW ORLEANS								
2009	138	70%	30%	49%	66%	88%	72%	68%
2008	135	52%	22%	53%	49%	63%	56%	47%
NBHC ALBANY								
2009	86	70%	*	73%	56%	77%	77%	62%
2008	135	64%	*	64%	56%	72%	64%	64%
NBHC ATHENS								
2009	156	69%	36%	53%	48%	84%	79%	60%
2008	217	60%	*	36%	49%	73%	60%	59%
NBHC FORT WORTH								
2009	789	60%	23%	43%	51%	74%	62%	57%
2008	1221	56%	23%	39%	45%	70%	57%	54%
NBHC GULFPORT								
2009	96	50%	14%	64%	38%	55%	45%	54%
2008	117	52%	*	14%	46%	66%	63%	45%
NBHC INGLESIDE								
2009	11	68%	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	14	45%	*	*	*	*	33%	*
NBHC KEY WEST								
2009	42	50%	24%	*	*	*	*	37%
2008	49	38%	45%	18%	33%	59%	28%	42%

Domain: Feelings About MHS and TRICARE Q32 - Overall rating of health care

				P	ercent Top 2			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC KINGS BAY								
2009	146	50%	17%	41%	49%	68%	44%	57%
2008	207	48%	*	34%	52%	60%	55%	40%
NBHC KINGSVILLE								
2009	14	50%	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	11	65%			*	*	*	*
NBHC MARIETTA								
2009	422	63%	29%	55%	53%	78%	67%	59%
2008	633	57%	34%	53%	52%	66%	57%	57%
NBHC MAYPORT								
2009	154	60%	*	50%	54%	71%	68%	51%
2008	297	59%	*	48%	50%	76%	62%	55%
NBHC MCRD PARRIS ISLAND								
2009	35	46%	11%	*	*	*	52%	39%
2008	43	58%	*	*	*	63%	53%	65%
NBHC MERIDIAN								
2009	87	55%	43%	44%	53%	59%	52%	58%
2008	139	66%	72%	53%	59%	78%	69%	63%
NBHC MILTON WHITING FIELD								
2009	70	65%	*	32%	57%	81%	74%	42%
2008	98	63%	*	33%	57%	75%	70%	49%
NBHC NAS BELLE CHASE								
2009	120	69%	34%	63%	66%	82%	80%	58%
2008	157	59%	38%	45%	54%	87%	67%	51%
NBHC NAS JACKSONVILLE								
2009	379	64%	36%	47%	56%	81%	66%	63%
2008	557	57%	40%	37%	47%	75%	68%	52%
NBHC NAS PENSACOLA								
2009	9	*			*	*	*	
2008	21	45%		*	*	43%	48%	*
NBHC NATTC PENSACOLA								
2009	162	59%	32%	34%	56%	65%	65%	52%
2008	254	68%	*	36%	60%	76%	64%	72%
NBHC NAVCOASTSYSC PANAMA CITY								
2009	238	49%	21%	42%	37%	64%	60%	42%
2008	293	58%	34%	29%	52%	74%	61%	56%

Domain: Feelings About MHS and TRICARE Q32 - Overall rating of health care

				P	ercent Top 2	Вох		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC NSA MID-SOUTH								
2009	329	56%	25%	49%	53%	65%	57%	54%
2008	456	53%	18%	42%	46%	69%	53%	53%
NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA								
2009	236	63%	26%	42%	56%	75%	70%	59%
2008	381	55%	18%	22%	56%	67%	64%	50%
NBHC PASCAGOULA								
2009	156	53%	31%	58%	56%	52%	64%	42%
2008	210	61%	*	49%	62%	71%	64%	58%
NBHC WPNSTA CHARLESTON								
2009	58	52%	*	16%	51%	65%	61%	16%
2008	93	57%	*	*	48%	67%	59%	42%
NH BEAUFORT								
2009	110	53%	32%	56%	38%	62%	69%	40%
2008	115	53%	*	51%	47%	59%	75%	31%
NH JACKSONVILLE								
2009	299	62%	31%	39%	57%	74%	65%	58%
2008	417	57%	24%	34%	52%	67%	55%	58%
NH PENSACOLA								
2009	31	51%		*	38%	58%	53%	*
2008	32	62%	*	*	57%	*	63%	*
NHC CHARLESTON								
2009	210	60%	31%	44%	55%	72%	70%	53%
2008	274	56%	10%	41%	47%	71%	64%	49%
NHC CORPUS CHRISTI								
2009	164	59%	36%	50%	67%	61%	62%	56%
2008	233	58%	34%	41%	67%	63%	63%	52%
OKLAHOMA								
2009	312	63%	27%	49%	54%	71%	66%	59%
2008	392	57%	49%	40%	36%	75%	59%	53%
REYNOLDS ACH-FT. SILL								
2009	205	43%	29%	45%	45%	42%	44%	42%
2008	228	57%	41%	32%	50%	80%	63%	53%
SOUTH CAROLINA								
2009	550	69%	55%	64%	59%	75%	67%	71%
2008	876	64%	46%	49%	57%	71%	66%	61%

Domain: Feelings About MHS and TRICARE Q32 - Overall rating of health care

				P	ercent Top 2	Box		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
SOUTHCOM CLINIC								
2009 2008	320 415	57% 58%	36% 24%	53% 50%	47% 47%	69% 81%	62% 67%	51% 49%
TENNESSEE								
2009	1216	66%	41%	51%	59%	76%	66%	66%
2008	1676	60%	40%	44%	50%	71%	63%	55%
TUTTLE AHC-HUNTER ARMY AIRFLD								
2009	305	51%	19%	38%	54%	70%	63%	44%
2008	286	49%	18%	34%	50%	71%	55%	46%
WESTERN FLORIDA								
2009	95	68%	53%	80%	55%	73%	67%	69%
2008	139	60%	*	48%	51%	66%	67%	44%
WESTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	266	63%	36%	51%	50%	75%	65%	60%
2008	332	62%	67%	43%	57%	70%	59%	65%
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART								
2009	97	39%	26%	25%	44%	48%	44%	35%
2008	70	33%	*	16%	30%	63%	46%	24%

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey - MHS Wide - Provider Region South

Domain: Feelings About MHS and TRICARE

Q32 - Overall rating of health care

				Pe	ercent Top 3 I			
	D	-	Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
0000 MHO W/ L. O'. 'I' D L	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark 2009 Direct Care Civilian Benchmark		75% 67%						
2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark		79%						
2009 Furchased Care Civilian Benchmark		1970						
MHS Wide								
2009	97372	70%	48%	60%	74%	88%	72%	68%
2008	120459	66%	46%	55%	70%	87%	68%	64%
Overall - Direct Care								
2009	42539	54%	48%	53%	70%	87%	55%	54%
2008	40303	52%	45%	50%	67%	85%	52%	52%
Direct Care - CONUS ²								
2009	38156	55%	48%	53%	70%	87%	55%	54%
2008	36572	52%	45%	49%	67%	85%	52%	52%
Direct Care - OCONUS								
2009	4383	53%	48%	53%	65%	81%	54%	53%
2008	3731	53%	49%	54%	67%	82%	54%	52%
Overall - Purchased Care								
2009	54833	79%	54%	66%	75%	89%	82%	76%
2008	80156	75%	48%	61%	71%	87%	79%	71%
Purchased Care - North Region ³								
2009	16443	79%	55%	65%	74%	88%	81%	75%
2008	24438	74%	50%	60%	69%	87%	78%	69%
Purchased Care - South Region ³								
2009	22226	80%	53%	66%	75%	88%	82%	77%
2008	31934	76%	48%	61%	71%	87%	79%	72%
Purchased Care - West Region ³								
2009	15494	79%	55%	67%	74%	89%	82%	76%
2008	22534	76%	48%	61%	71%	87%	79%	72%
Purchased Care - U.S. Family Health Plan								
2009	669	87%	*	69%	87%	91%	88%	86%
2008	1249	84%		66%	79%	91%	84%	84%

¹ Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the (as appropriate) 2009 Civilian Purchased Care or Direct Care Benchmark score. ² CONUS includes Alaska and Hawaii.

³ Region based on region where beneficiary received care.

Note. "--" no response for the reporting period

Note. Data are not shown in cases where fewer than 10 valid responses were received nor are statistical tests reported in cases where fewer than 30 valid responses were received.

Domain: Feelings About MHS and TRICARE

Q32 - Overall rating of health care

	_			P	ercent Top 3			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
1st SPEC OPS MED GRP-HURLBURT								
2009	82	77%	63%	59%	78%	94%	82%	69%
2008	102	71%	*	60%	78%	82%	77%	58%
2nd MED GRP-BARKSDALE								
2009	263	72%	44%	58%	74%	81%	78%	68%
2008	351	73%	31%	57%	71%	88%	80%	67%
6th MED GRP-MACDILL								
2009	1235	79%	55%	72%	72 %	86%	82%	75%
2008	1908	78%	50%	66%	71%	89%	83%	73%
7th MED GRP-DYESS								
2009	190	72%	43%	54%	84%	87%	72%	71%
2008	211	67%	38%	59%	67%	84%	73%	62%
12th MED GRP-RANDOLPH								
2009	175	80%	*	78%	76%	82%	86%	74%
2008	253	75%	*	49%	64%	84%	74%	77%
14th MED GRP-COLUMBUS								
2009	86	79%	58%	65%	73%	91%	78%	80%
2008	98	70%	40%	27%	71%	87%	79%	64%
17th MED GRP-GOODFELLOW								
2009	77	75%	51%	*	59%	96%	81%	71%
2008	111	61%	38%	39%	57%	74%	70%	57%
19th MEDICAL GROUP-LITTLE ROCK								
2009	397	79%	55%	65%	76%	88%	81%	77%
2008	511	78%	40%	62%	78%	91%	85%	70%
20th MED GRP-SHAW								
2009	176	80%	53%	72%	73%	94%	80%	80%
2008	250	61%	27%	50%	66%	76%	67%	56%
23rd MED GRP-MOODY								
2009	198	75%	52%	55%	80%	86%	77%	73%
2008	250	71%	36%	53%	71%	89%	76%	65%
42ND MEDICAL GROUP-MAXWELL								
2009	246	73%	47%	53%	70%	86%	74%	73%
2008	321	73%	33%	47%	73%	84%	76%	70%
45th MED GRP-PATRICK								
2009	398	84%	57%	62%	72%	92%	87%	81%
2008	720	82%	44%	59%	75%	89%	86%	77%

Domain: Feelings About MHS and TRICARE

Q32 - Overall rating of health care

				P	ercent Top 3	Box		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
47th MED GRP-LAUGHLIN								
2009	16	64%	*	*	*	*	*	66%
2008	23	64%	*	*	*	*	*	56%
59th MED WING-LACKLAND								
2009	294	72%	63%	61%	71%	75%	78%	67%
2008	366	72%	*	48%	58%	82%	77%	67%
71st MED GRP-VANCE								
2009	44	61%	33%	94%	*	*	*	59%
2008	36	80%	*	*	57%	96%	87%	76%
72nd MED GRP-TINKER								
2009	566	75%	50%	61%	71%	90%	80%	70%
2008	674	67%	40%	50%	67%	85%	77%	56%
78th MED GRP-ROBINS								
2009	365	77%	37%	60%	76%	89%	83%	72%
2008	487	66%	46%	48%	61%	87%	74%	60%
81st MED GRP-KEESLER								
2009	83	77%	34%	55%	75%	85%	78%	75%
2008	118	73%	*	55%	54%	90%	75%	72%
82nd MED GRP-SHEPPARD								
2009	143	58%	31%	51%	64%	68%	50%	62%
2008	183	58%	24%	42%	50%	88%	61%	56%
96th MED GRP-EGLIN								
2009	349	85%	54%	59%	81%	92%	87%	83%
2008	528	73%	41%	53%	69%	83%	74%	71%
97th MED GRP-ALTUS								
2009	37	73%	56%	45%	70%	*	*	69%
2008	42	85%	*	90%	88%	86%	83%	89%
325th MED GRP-TYNDALL								
2009	44	71%	*	6%	79%	100%	67%	76%
2008	89	71%	*	30%	69%	85%	86%	45%
437th MED GRP-CHARLESTON								- 7 -
2009	272	76%	44%	63%	75%	87%	86%	69%
2008	372	72%	37%	64%	75%	78%	77%	68%
AHC FT. MCPHERSON			2					22,0
2009	676	81%	62%	68%	81%	89%	78%	83%
2008	803	76%	46%	71%	77%	84%	77%	75%
		. 370	1070	. 170	, , ,	U 170	,0	(continue

Domain: Feelings About MHS and TRICARE

Q32 - Overall rating of health care

				P	ercent Top 3			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
ALABAMA								
2009	566	84%	69%	71%	77%	91%	86%	81%
2008	813	81%	69%	74%	77%	86%	80%	82%
ARKANSAS								
2009	418	82%	64%	69%	74%	88%	83%	77%
2008	633	78%	52%	65%	68%	86%	78%	80%
BAYNE-JONES ACH-FT. POLK								
2009	70	76%	66%	38%	76%	95%	79%	72%
2008	96	57%	*	46%	62%	72%	81%	38%
BMC NAVSUPPACT EAST BANK								
2009	45	65%	27%	71%	*	*	68%	61%
2008	42	61%	*	61%	*	*	62%	61%
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON								
2009	279	75%	*	67%	58%	83%	78%	71%
2008	451	72%	*	54%	70%	77%	75%	70%
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD								
2009	647	73%	45%	64%	74%	90%	75%	71%
2008	881	68%	40%	60%	66%	87%	71%	63%
EASTERN FLORIDA								
2009	1614	86%	65%	66%	80%	91%	88%	85%
2008	2885	81%	48%	70%	71%	87%	82%	79%
EASTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	116	81%	57%	71%	90%	85%	80%	81%
2008	194	79%	80%	84%	64%	85%	76%	82%
EASTERN TEXAS								
2009	1659	82%	59%	69%	79%	89%	83%	81%
2008	2596	79%	58%	65%	72%	89%	81%	76%
EISENHOWER AMC-FT. GORDON								
2009	234	78%	28%	67%	73%	86%	79%	78%
2008	303	73%	*	59%	68%	83%	83%	66%
FOX AHC-REDSTONE ARSENAL								
2009	283	76%	45%	51%	70%	89%	80%	72%
2008	434	70%	28%	45%	59%	88%	76%	63%
GEORGIA								
2009	195	87%	40%	60%	87%	94%	88%	83%
2008	316	76%	42%	61%	73%	83%	82%	66%
		. 0,0	,,	0.70	. 0,0	30,0	0= / 0	(continue

Domain: Feelings About MHS and TRICARE

Q32 - Overall rating of health care

				P	ercent Top 3	Box		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
GEORGIA-FORMER NOBLE CATCHMENT								
2009	17	87%	*	*	*	*	82%	*
2008	25	94%		*	*	89%	94%	93%
LYSTER AHC-FT. RUCKER								
2009	282	77%	52%	65%	71%	87%	81%	73%
2008	418	73%	26%	58%	65%	87%	79%	68%
MARTIN ACH-FT. BENNING								
2009	340	78%	40%	57%	74%	90%	82%	76%
2008	438	69%	51%	39%	67%	82%	75%	66%
MISSISSIPPI								
2009	522	84%	69%	83%	81%	89%	85%	84%
2008	619	79%	57%	70%	78%	87%	78%	81%
MONCRIEF ACH-FT. JACKSON								
2009	396	80%	53%	65%	76%	88%	82%	79%
2008	602	73%	36%	47%	72%	88%	79%	69%
NACC NEW ORLEANS								
2009	138	80%	42%	61%	79%	97%	85%	76%
2008	135	78%	29%	79%	72%	99%	86%	69%
NBHC ALBANY								
2009	86	89%	*	89%	73%	97%	96%	80%
2008	135	81%	*	64%	78%	88%	81%	81%
NBHC ATHENS								
2009	156	86%	69%	76%	75%	94%	90%	81%
2008	217	81%	*	64%	81%	86%	76%	86%
NBHC FORT WORTH								
2009	789	77%	36%	66%	68%	89%	79%	75%
2008	1221	76%	39%	65%	67%	87%	77%	74%
NBHC GULFPORT								
2009	96	68%	34%	78%	60%	73%	67%	69%
2008	117	72%	*	41%	69%	78%	83%	65%
NBHC INGLESIDE								
2009	11	85%	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	14	74%	*	*	*	*	59%	*
NBHC KEY WEST								
2009	42	67%	47%	*	*	*	*	59%
2008	49	70%	64%	42%	87%	83%	84%	64%

Domain: Feelings About MHS and TRICARE

Q32 - Overall rating of health care

				P	ercent Top 3			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC KINGS BAY								
2009	146	71%	26%	72%	66%	91%	70%	73%
2008	207	62%	*	45%	61%	75%	67%	55%
NBHC KINGSVILLE								
2009	14	74%	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	11	78%			*	*	*	*
NBHC MARIETTA								
2009	422	80%	45%	73%	75%	91%	83%	77%
2008	633	82%	54%	82%	80%	87%	82%	81%
NBHC MAYPORT								
2009	154	75%	*	55%	74%	83%	82%	67%
2008	297	78%	*	64%	72%	90%	80%	74%
NBHC MCRD PARRIS ISLAND								
2009	35	75%	11%	*	*	*	72%	77%
2008	43	82%	*	*	*	91%	74%	93%
NBHC MERIDIAN								
2009	87	82%	61%	78%	89%	81%	82%	83%
2008	139	78%	72%	67%	79%	85%	79%	77%
NBHC MILTON WHITING FIELD								
2009	70	84%	*	72%	82%	91%	87%	78%
2008	98	79%	*	55%	78%	85%	84%	67%
NBHC NAS BELLE CHASE								
2009	120	84%	75%	79%	78%	95%	86%	82%
2008	157	75%	67%	76%	68%	91%	81%	70%
NBHC NAS JACKSONVILLE								
2009	379	81%	53%	65%	79%	93%	86%	78%
2008	557	77%	53%	51%	76%	89%	82%	75%
NBHC NAS PENSACOLA								
2009	9	*			*	*	*	
2008	21	86%		*	*	81%	93%	*
NBHC NATTC PENSACOLA								
2009	162	79%	57%	74%	78%	81%	84%	74%
2008	254	85%	*	55%	83%	90%	81%	89%
NBHC NAVCOASTSYSC PANAMA CITY								
2009	238	70%	38%	61%	63%	81%	75%	66%
2008	293	78%	44%	51%	73%	94%	83%	75%

Domain: Feelings About MHS and TRICARE

Q32 - Overall rating of health care

				P	ercent Top 3	Box		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC NSA MID-SOUTH								
2009	329	76%	38%	68%	79%	80%	78%	73%
2008	456	74%	42%	58%	70%	88%	75%	73%
NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA								
2009	236	79%	48%	59%	76%	87%	80%	78%
2008	381	75%	69%	50%	73%	84%	79%	72%
NBHC PASCAGOULA								
2009	156	80%	31%	69%	84%	88%	83%	77%
2008	210	79%	*	69%	75%	91%	74%	83%
NBHC WPNSTA CHARLESTON								
2009	58	83%	*	60%	88%	82%	91%	52%
2008	93	74%	*	*	68%	80%	79%	42%
NH BEAUFORT								
2009	110	75%	52%	81%	70%	76%	81%	71%
2008	115	65%	*	51%	57%	82%	80%	49%
NH JACKSONVILLE								
2009	299	82%	41%	66%	80%	89%	83%	80%
2008	417	76%	33%	71%	69%	85%	75%	77%
NH PENSACOLA								
2009	31	81%		*	62%	91%	78%	*
2008	32	81%	*	*	71%	*	77%	*
NHC CHARLESTON								
2009	210	78%	38%	68%	78%	85%	88%	70%
2008	274	77%	61%	61%	71%	86%	84%	71%
NHC CORPUS CHRISTI								
2009	164	73%	40%	60%	83%	76%	78%	67%
2008	233	78%	41%	71%	85%	81%	81%	75%
OKLAHOMA								
2009	312	82%	51%	72%	70%	90%	85%	78%
2008	392	76%	79%	65%	60%	88%	78%	71%
REYNOLDS ACH-FT. SILL								
2009	205	61%	44%	59%	65%	61%	57%	65%
2008	228	75%	57%	48%	78%	89%	79%	72%
SOUTH CAROLINA								
2009	550	86%	81%	77%	78%	91%	86%	86%
2008	876	85%	73%	68%	76%	93%	83%	87%
								(continue

Domain: Feelings About MHS and TRICARE

Q32 - Overall rating of health care

				P	ercent Top 3	Box		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
SOUTHCOM CLINIC								
2009 2008	320 415	79% 76%	53% 45%	73% 68%	77% 73%	88% 92%	87% 83%	69% 69%
TENNESSEE								
2009	1216	82%	66%	69%	77%	90%	83%	82%
2008	1676	78%	59%	68%	71%	87%	81%	75%
TUTTLE AHC-HUNTER ARMY AIRFLD								
2009	305	73%	42%	65%	74%	88%	83%	67%
2008	286	67%	59%	58%	64%	81%	69%	66%
WESTERN FLORIDA								
2009	95	81%	62%	85%	80%	82%	81%	80%
2008	139	79%	*	63%	79%	82%	77%	84%
WESTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	266	80%	64%	77%	67%	89%	81%	79%
2008	332	77%	77%	64%	74%	83%	77%	77%
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART								
2009	97	66%	30%	59%	71%	72%	64%	68%
2008	70	54%	*	40%	48%	79%	71%	41%

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey - MHS Wide - Provider Region South

Domain: Access to Care

Q3A - Saw my provider when needed

	_				Percent Agre	е		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark ¹								
2009 Direct Care Civilian Benchmark								
2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark								
MHS Wide								
2009	62382	80%	66%	71%	81%	90%	80%	79%
2008								
Overall - Direct Care								
2009	26953	65%	65%	60%	69%	80%	64%	67%
2008								
Direct Care - CONUS ²								
2009	24197	65%	64%	60%	69%	80%	63%	67%
2008								
Direct Care - OCONUS								
2009	2756	69%	69%	66%	72%	77%	67%	71%
2008								
Overall - Purchased Care								
2009	35429	86%	75%	80%	83%	91%	88%	84%
2008								
Purchased Care - North Region ³								
2009	10638	86%	75%	81%	84%	91%	88%	84%
2008								
Purchased Care - South Region ³								
2009	14300	87%	74%	81%	83%	92%	89%	85%
2008								
Purchased Care - West Region ³	40070	0.50/	750/	700/	2004	222/	070/	2001
2009	10078	85%	75%	79%	82%	90%	87%	83%
2008								
Purchased Care - U.S. Family Health Plan	440	040/		000/	040/	000/	040/	000/
2009	412	91%		80%	91%	92%	91%	90%
2008								

¹ Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the (as appropriate) 2009 Civilian Purchased Care or Direct Care Benchmark score. ² CONUS includes Alaska and Hawaii.

³ Region based on region where beneficiary received care.

Note. "--" no response for the reporting period

Note. Data are not shown in cases where fewer than 10 valid responses were received nor are statistical tests reported in cases where fewer than 30 valid responses were received.

Domain: Access to Care

Q3A - Saw my provider when needed

					Percent Agre	e		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
1st SPEC OPS MED GRP-HURLBURT	<u> </u>							
2009	52	88%	*	97%	90%	*	95%	78%
2008								
2nd MED GRP-BARKSDALE								
2009	168	88%	66%	79%	83%	96%	93%	84%
2008								
6th MED GRP-MACDILL								
2009	757	86%	67%	81%	82%	91%	88%	84%
2008								
7th MED GRP-DYESS								
2009	110	86%	68%	68%	91%	100%	90%	82%
2008								
12th MED GRP-RANDOLPH								
2009	105	81%	*	79%	84%	81%	85%	76%
2008								
14th MED GRP-COLUMBUS								
2009	59	86%	72%	*	80%	100%	77%	96%
2008								
17th MED GRP-GOODFELLOW								
2009	39	74%	88%	*	62%	83%	64%	80%
2008								
19th MEDICAL GROUP-LITTLE ROCK								
2009	236	86%	74%	87%	80%	91%	85%	86%
2008								
20th MED GRP-SHAW								
2009	121	87%	72%	88%	70%	100%	90%	83%
2008								
23rd MED GRP-MOODY								
2009	125	80%	58%	78%	77%	89%	88%	73%
2008								
42ND MEDICAL GROUP-MAXWELL								
2009	170	88%	66%	85%	90%	90%	88%	88%
2008								
45th MED GRP-PATRICK								
2009	282	88%	87%	73%	81%	92%	88%	89%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3A - Saw my provider when needed

					Percent Agre	e		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
47th MED GRP-LAUGHLIN	·							
2009	7	*	*	*	*		*	*
2008								
59th MED WING-LACKLAND								
2009	191	82%	*	72%	79%	85%	82%	82%
2008								
71st MED GRP-VANCE								
2009	28	87%	89%	*	*	*	*	91%
2008								
72nd MED GRP-TINKER								
2009	320	89%	82%	81%	89%	94%	93%	85%
2008								
78th MED GRP-ROBINS								
2009	226	92%	86%	87%	92%	95%	94%	91%
2008								
81st MED GRP-KEESLER								
2009	64	86%	*	74%	87%	88%	91%	79%
2008								
82nd MED GRP-SHEPPARD								
2009	93	79%	74%	68%	72%	90%	72%	82%
2008								
96th MED GRP-EGLIN								
2009	217	90%	79%	72%	85%	97%	90%	91%
2008								
97th MED GRP-ALTUS								
2009	19	94%	*	*	*	*	*	91%
2008								
325th MED GRP-TYNDALL								
2009	35	72%	*	40%	65%	*	62%	83%
2008								
437th MED GRP-CHARLESTON								
2009	185	78%	61%	86%	66%	90%	80%	76%
2008								
AHC FT. MCPHERSON								
2009	434	82%	67%	78%	82%	85%	81%	83%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3A - Saw my provider when needed

					Percent Agre	e		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
ALABAMA	Тоороносо	Total	Duty	Wichibers	Onder do	001	Guic	- Jui C
2009	354	91%	81%	86%	87%	94%	90%	92%
2008								
ARKANSAS								
2009	265	90%	74%	91%	88%	93%	91%	90%
2008								
BAYNE-JONES ACH-FT. POLK								
2009	47	81%	*	60%	82%	90%	74%	89%
2008								
BMC NAVSUPPACT EAST BANK								
2009	30	74%	54%	*	*	*	75%	72%
2008								
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON								
2009	184	82%	*	74%	73%	87%	82%	82%
2008								
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD								
2009	392	78%	67%	73%	76%	88%	80%	74%
2008								
EASTERN FLORIDA								
2009	1075	90%	72%	82%	87%	92%	91%	87%
2008								
EASTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	68	84%	81%	88%	88%	81%	93%	74%
2008								
EASTERN TEXAS	1071	200/	2001	0.407	2001	222/	2221	200/
2009	1071	88%	82%	84%	82%	93%	90%	86%
2008								
EISENHOWER AMC-FT. GORDON	450	070/	*	0.407	700/	040/	000/	050/
2009	152	87%		94%	78%	91%	90%	85%
2008								
FOX AHC-REDSTONE ARSENAL	400	050/	900/	040/	700/	020/	900/	000/
2009	192	85%	86%	91%	78%	93%	89%	80%
2008								
GEORGIA	122	020/	010/	070/	060/	000/	059/	070/
2009 2008	132 	93%	91% 	87%	86%	98%	95%	87%
2000								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3A - Saw my provider when needed

er caw my provider when h	_				Percent Agre			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
GEORGIA-FORMER NOBLE CATCHMENT								
2009	8	*		*	*	*	*	*
2008								
LYSTER AHC-FT. RUCKER								
2009	186	89%	69%	87%	79%	97%	93%	86%
2008								
MARTIN ACH-FT. BENNING								
2009	221	86%	83%	78%	86%	88%	87%	84%
2008								
MISSISSIPPI								
2009	345	91%	84%	86%	94%	93%	90%	93%
2008								
MONCRIEF ACH-FT. JACKSON								
2009	245	81%	67%	74%	75%	88%	85%	79%
2008								
NACC NEW ORLEANS								
2009	86	89%	71%	89%	100%	91%	100%	82%
2008								
NBHC ALBANY								
2009	60	92%	*	88%	78%	100%	97%	86%
2008								
NBHC ATHENS								
2009	103	88%	78%	70%	78%	95%	85%	91%
2008								
NBHC FORT WORTH								
2009	498	91%	76%	85%	89%	94%	92%	89%
2008								
NBHC GULFPORT								
2009	53	85%	69%	*	86%	88%	85%	86%
2008								
NBHC INGLESIDE				*		*	*	*
2009	6	*						
2008								
NBHC KEY WEST		2.10/	 00/		_	_		7001
2009	22	64%	73%	*	*	*	*	76%
2008								 (continue

Domain: Access to Care

Q3A - Saw my provider when needed

mt Caw my provider when i	_				Percent Agre			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC KINGS BAY								
2009	101	82%	66%	88%	81%	79%	82%	80%
2008								
NBHC KINGSVILLE								
2009	6	*		*	*	*	*	*
2008								
NBHC MARIETTA								
2009	284	88%	71%	83%	86%	93%	90%	85%
2008								
NBHC MAYPORT								
2009	100	88%	*	100%	85%	87%	87%	89%
2008								
NBHC MCRD PARRIS ISLAND								
2009	22	83%	*	*	*	*	70%	98%
2008								
NBHC MERIDIAN								
2009	59	96%	*	94%	95%	96%	95%	96%
2008								
NBHC MILTON WHITING FIELD								
2009	41	87%	*	*	85%	88%	86%	90%
2008								
NBHC NAS BELLE CHASE								
2009	75	84%	72%	80%	82%	92%	85%	83%
2008								
NBHC NAS JACKSONVILLE								
2009	241	81%	72%	85%	69%	90%	85%	79%
2008								
NBHC NAS PENSACOLA								
2009	9	*			*	*	*	
2008								
NBHC NATTC PENSACOLA								
2009	102	83%	75%	44%	80%	91%	85%	82%
2008								
NBHC NAVCOASTSYSC PANAMA CITY								
2009	142	89%	82%	76%	92%	92%	95%	86%
2008								

Domain: Access to Care

Q3A - Saw my provider when needed

	_				Percent Agre			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC NSA MID-SOUTH								
2009	221	84%	68%	79%	78%	92%	83%	85%
2008								
NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA								
2009	151	84%	65%	73%	87%	85%	89%	81%
2008								
NBHC PASCAGOULA								
2009	101	87%	81%	72%	83%	97%	87%	87%
2008								
NBHC WPNSTA CHARLESTON								
2009	42	84%	*	*	91%	83%	89%	*
2008								
NH BEAUFORT								
2009	68	84%	56%	88%	76%	88%	95%	72%
2008								
NH JACKSONVILLE								
2009	199	84%	53%	86%	81%	88%	82%	86%
2008								
NH PENSACOLA								
2009	14	98%		*	*	*	100%	*
2008								
NHC CHARLESTON								
2009	158	87%	69%	78%	87%	91%	85%	88%
2008								
NHC CORPUS CHRISTI	400	2001	070/	700/	2001	070/	050/	2001
2009	106	89%	67%	72%	89%	97%	95%	82%
2008								
OKLAHOMA	000	000/	000/	050/	000/	000/	000/	000/
2009	203	89%	88%	85%	82%	92%	89%	89%
2008								
REYNOLDS ACH-FT. SILL	400	750/	050/	7.40/	000/	000/	700/	7401
2009	128	75%	65%	74%	83%	68%	79%	71%
2008								
SOUTH CAROLINA	074	0.40/	000/	000/	000/	000/	000/	0001
2009	371	94%	80%	90%	93%	96%	96%	92%
2008								(continue

Domain: Access to Care

Q3A - Saw my provider when needed

					Percent Agre	e		
	_		Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
SOUTHCOM CLINIC								
2009	207	87%	79%	82%	83%	94%	89%	85%
2008								
TENNESSEE								
2009	807	88%	87%	79%	89%	90%	90%	86%
2008								
TUTTLE AHC-HUNTER ARMY AIRFLD								
2009	194	83%	66%	74%	78%	97%	96%	74%
2008								
WESTERN FLORIDA								
2009	63	90%	*	*	89%	89%	95%	83%
2008								
WESTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	186	89%	69%	83%	84%	96%	90%	88%
2008								
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART								
2009	60	92%	62%	88%	93%	100%	94%	91%
2008								

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey - MHS Wide - Provider Region South

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q24a - Take prescription medicine

	_				Percent Yes	3		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark ¹								
2009 Direct Care Civilian Benchmark								
2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark								
MHS Wide								
2009	58049	86%	79%	80%	91%	91%	90%	82%
2008								
Overall - Direct Care								
2009	24014	82%	78%	79%	93%	93%	84%	79%
2008								
Direct Care - CONUS ²								
2009	21558	82%	79%	79%	93%	93%	84%	79%
2008								
Direct Care - OCONUS								
2009	2456	80%	78%	76%	91%	92%	83%	76%
2008								
Overall - Purchased Care								
2009	34035	88%	83%	81%	90%	90%	93%	83%
2008								
Purchased Care - North Region ³								
2009	10195	88%	83%	81%	89%	91%	92%	83%
2008								
Purchased Care - South Region ³								
2009	13730	90%	84%	84%	91%	91%	94%	85%
2008								
Purchased Care - West Region ³		2001	0.107	770/	2001	200/	2001	000/
2009	9705	86%	81%	77%	88%	90%	92%	80%
2008								
Purchased Care - U.S. Family Health Plan	40.4	2007		700/	2004	050/	000/	0.407
2009	404	92%		78%	90%	95%	96%	84%
2008								

¹ Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the (as appropriate) 2009 Civilian Purchased Care or Direct Care Benchmark score. ² CONUS includes Alaska and Hawaii.

³ Region based on region where beneficiary received care.

Note. "--" no response for the reporting period

Note. Data are not shown in cases where fewer than 10 valid responses were received nor are statistical tests reported in cases where fewer than 30 valid responses were received.

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q24a - Take prescription medicine

					Percent Yes			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
1st SPEC OPS MED GRP-HURLBURT								
2009	50	87%	*	77%	86%	*	93%	79%
2008								
2nd MED GRP-BARKSDALE								
2009	162	90%	89%	82%	97%	90%	94%	88%
2008								
6th MED GRP-MACDILL								
2009	732	89%	80%	81%	88%	92%	93%	86%
2008								
7th MED GRP-DYESS								
2009	106	92%	79%	92%	98%	90%	98%	86%
2008								
12th MED GRP-RANDOLPH								
2009	100	90%	*	70%	93%	91%	94%	83%
2008								
14th MED GRP-COLUMBUS								
2009	56	91%	82%	*	96%	89%	99%	81%
2008								
17th MED GRP-GOODFELLOW								
2009	34	83%	77%	*	52%	100%	*	75%
2008								
19th MEDICAL GROUP-LITTLE ROCK								
2009	224	88%	79%	84%	92%	88%	91%	84%
2008								
20th MED GRP-SHAW								
2009	117	93%	81%	87%	93%	97%	96%	88%
2008								
23rd MED GRP-MOODY								
2009	114	93%	91%	91%	94%	92%	95%	90%
2008								
42ND MEDICAL GROUP-MAXWELL								
2009	163	85%	90%	87%	95%	78%	97%	80%
2008								
45th MED GRP-PATRICK								
2009	263	88%	86%	82%	86%	89%	92%	83%
2008								

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q24a - Take prescription medicine

	_				Percent Yes			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
47th MED GRP-LAUGHLIN								
2009	7	*	*	*	*		*	*
2008								
59th MED WING-LACKLAND								
2009	179	86%	*	73%	88%	88%	95%	78%
2008								
71st MED GRP-VANCE								
2009	28	77%	33%	*	*	*	*	74%
2008								
72nd MED GRP-TINKER								
2009	308	89%	85%	84%	91%	92%	90%	89%
2008								
78th MED GRP-ROBINS								
2009	219	90%	75%	81%	97%	89%	92%	89%
2008								
81st MED GRP-KEESLER								
2009	63	84%	*	64%	73%	93%	95%	73%
2008								
82nd MED GRP-SHEPPARD								
2009	88	88%	80%	85%	94%	89%	97%	85%
2008								
96th MED GRP-EGLIN								
2009	209	88%	97%	91%	87%	87%	89%	86%
2008								
97th MED GRP-ALTUS								
2009	19	93%	*	*	*	*	*	90%
2008								
325th MED GRP-TYNDALL								
2009	34	97%	*	90%	100%	*	100%	95%
2008								
437th MED GRP-CHARLESTON								
2009	178	86%	81%	80%	88%	85%	90%	83%
2008								
AHC FT. MCPHERSON								
2009	409	90%	79%	82%	93%	92%	92%	88%
2008								

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q24a - Take prescription medicine

	_				Percent Yes			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
ALABAMA								
2009	341	92%	81%	77%	92%	94%	95%	88%
2008								
ARKANSAS								
2009	254	95%	97%	90%	94%	96%	97%	92%
2008								
BAYNE-JONES ACH-FT. POLK								
2009	45	99%	*	100%	96%	100%	100%	97%
2008								
BMC NAVSUPPACT EAST BANK								
2009	28	74%	92%	*	*	*	82%	64%
2008								
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON								
2009	170	83%	*	66%	89%	83%	92%	75%
2008								
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD								
2009	374	91%	90%	85%	97%	91%	94%	86%
2008								
EASTERN FLORIDA								
2009	1050	91%	81%	86%	92%	91%	95%	86%
2008								
EASTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	65	89%	88%	80%	90%	93%	93%	84%
2008								
EASTERN TEXAS								
2009	1025	90%	85%	84%	92%	92%	93%	86%
2008								
EISENHOWER AMC-FT. GORDON								
2009	142	81%	100%	71%	90%	78%	86%	79%
2008								
FOX AHC-REDSTONE ARSENAL								
2009	187	95%	95%	100%	92%	97%	100%	87%
2008								
GEORGIA								
2009	130	96%	84%	91%	96%	98%	100%	89%
2008								

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q24a - Take prescription medicine

	_				Percent Yes			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
GEORGIA-FORMER NOBLE CATCHMENT								
2009	7	*		*	*	*	*	*
2008								
LYSTER AHC-FT. RUCKER								
2009	174	91%	88%	81%	89%	96%	97%	86%
2008								
MARTIN ACH-FT. BENNING								
2009	208	86%	81%	84%	95%	84%	93%	80%
2008								
MISSISSIPPI								
2009	333	89%	87%	91%	91%	87%	97%	74%
2008								
MONCRIEF ACH-FT. JACKSON								
2009	229	91%	84%	83%	90%	94%	97%	86%
2008								
NACC NEW ORLEANS								
2009	84	86%	79%	88%	88%	86%	94%	80%
2008								
NBHC ALBANY								
2009	56	86%	*	100%	84%	84%	93%	78%
2008								
NBHC ATHENS	404	240/	2001	<u>.</u>	200/	222/	2001	250/
2009	101	91%	88%	*	92%	90%	98%	85%
2008								
NBHC FORT WORTH	400	000/	0.40/	000/	0.40/	000/	0.40/	070/
2009	489	92%	84%	86%	94%	93%	94%	87%
2008								
NBHC GULFPORT	F2	0E0/	0.49/	*	1000/	010/	909/	040/
2009 2008	53	85%	94%		100%	81%	89%	81%
NBHC INGLESIDE								
	8	*		*		*	*	*
2009 2008	8							
NBHC KEY WEST								
2009	21	81%	969/	*	*	*	*	69%
2009	21 	81%	86%					69%
2000								(continue

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q24a - Take prescription medicine

	_				Percent Yes			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC KINGS BAY								
2009	97	94%	91%	89%	96%	93%	94%	93%
2008								
NBHC KINGSVILLE								
2009	4	*		*		*	*	*
2008								
NBHC MARIETTA								
2009	277	91%	78%	90%	91%	92%	92%	89%
2008								
NBHC MAYPORT								
2009	94	87%	*	66%	92%	88%	88%	84%
2008								
NBHC MCRD PARRIS ISLAND								
2009	21	79%	*	*	*	*	74%	86%
2008								
NBHC MERIDIAN								
2009	58	97%	*	77%	100%	100%	100%	92%
2008								
NBHC MILTON WHITING FIELD								
2009	41	91%	*	*	80%	100%	92%	89%
2008								
NBHC NAS BELLE CHASE								
2009	69	89%	86%	87%	100%	76%	88%	90%
2008								
NBHC NAS JACKSONVILLE								
2009	234	85%	81%	88%	85%	84%	89%	82%
2008								
NBHC NAS PENSACOLA								
2009	8	*			*	*	*	
2008								
NBHC NATTC PENSACOLA								
2009	95	92%	81%	89%	96%	90%	97%	86%
2008								
NBHC NAVCOASTSYSC PANAMA CITY								
2009	142	86%	92%	79%	92%	83%	100%	79%
2008								 (continue

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q24a - Take prescription medicine

	_				Percent Yes			
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC NSA MID-SOUTH								
2009	210	91%	89%	84%	97%	90%	94%	87%
2008								
NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA								
2009	143	89%	*	86%	88%	90%	98%	83%
2008								
NBHC PASCAGOULA								
2009	99	91%	84%	86%	88%	96%	93%	89%
2008								
NBHC WPNSTA CHARLESTON								
2009	41	95%	*	*	94%	*	98%	*
2008								
NH BEAUFORT								
2009	65	92%	95%	93%	72%	100%	97%	87%
2008								
NH JACKSONVILLE								
2009	190	90%	95%	90%	90%	90%	95%	83%
2008								
NH PENSACOLA								
2009	14	100%		*	*	*	100%	*
2008								
NHC CHARLESTON								
2009	148	93%	94%	95%	88%	95%	97%	89%
2008								
NHC CORPUS CHRISTI								
2009	104	89%	71%	82%	83%	94%	90%	86%
2008								
OKLAHOMA								
2009	193	93%	92%	89%	97%	92%	95%	88%
2008								
REYNOLDS ACH-FT. SILL				2	20.1		2	
2009	122	89%	96%	81%	93%	90%	94%	83%
2008								
SOUTH CAROLINA				2	2000			
2009	360	93%	82%	84%	96%	93%	97%	87%
2008								 (continue

Domain: Feelings About Interactions with Providers

Q24a - Take prescription medicine

az ia Tako procenpilon mean					Percent Yes			
	Pagnanaga	Total	Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members	Retirees and Family Members	Primary	Specialty
SOUTHCOM CLINIC	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
2009	200	760/	020/	640/	920/	900/	900/	700/
	200	76%	82%	61%	82%	80%	80%	70%
2008								
TENNESSEE								
2009	780	91%	87%	82%	92%	92%	94%	86%
2008								
TUTTLE AHC-HUNTER ARMY AIRFLD								
2009	181	83%	92%	85%	74%	90%	92%	77%
2008								
WESTERN FLORIDA								
2009	60	86%	*	*	80%	88%	96%	71%
2008								
WESTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	185	94%	89%	88%	98%	94%	96%	89%
2008								
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART								
2009	58	84%	82%	97%	80%	74%	94%	74%
2008								

TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey - MHS Wide - Provider Region South

Domain: DoD Composites

Composite 2 - Mental Health Care

·				Propo	rtion Not a P	roblem		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
2009 MHS Wide Civilian Benchmark ¹		Total			Under 65			
2009 Direct Care Civilian Benchmark								
2009 Purchased Care Civilian Benchmark								
MHS Wide								
2009	11356.5	66%	57%	59%	68%	82%	66%	66%
2008	7665.5	64%	53%	59%	65%	83%	65%	64%
Overall - Direct Care								
2009	4858	58%	57%	57%	64%	75%	57%	60%
2008	2480.5	56%	53%	58%	63%	80%	56%	56%
Direct Care - CONUS ²								
2009	4415	58%	57%	57%	65%	75%	57%	60%
2008	2202.5	56%	52%	58%	63%	81%	56%	56%
Direct Care - OCONUS								
2009	443	57%	55%	64%	55%	72%	56%	60%
2008	278	59%	57%	58%	65%	70%	61%	56%
Overall - Purchased Care								
2009	6498.5	71%	57%	60%	68%	83%	72%	70%
2008	5185	70%	57%	60%	66%	83%	71%	68%
Purchased Care - North Region ³								
2009	1969	72%	56%	61%	68%	85%	72%	71%
2008	1604	69%	56%	61%	64%	83%	71%	66%
Purchased Care - South Region ³	0040	700/	FF0/	F00/	000/	000/	700/	000/
2009	2610	70%	55%	59%	68%	80%	72%	69%
2008	2052	71%	59%	59%	67%	83%	72%	69%
Purchased Care - West Region ³	4050.5	700/	C40/	C40/	700/	0.40/	700/	740/
2009	1858.5	72%	61%	61%	70%	84%	73%	71%
2008	1447	69%	57%	61%	64%	83%	71%	68%
Purchased Care - U.S. Family Health Plan	61	720/		*	700/	700/	740/	600/
2009 2008	61 82	73% 68%		49%	70% 64%	79% 78%	74% 73%	69% 61%
2008	ŏ2	00%		49%	04%	18%	13%	01%

¹ Numbers in **bold** represent statistically significant differences, at the 95% confidence level, from the (as appropriate) 2009 Civilian Purchased Care or Direct Care Benchmark score. ² CONUS includes Alaska and Hawaii.

³ Region based on region where beneficiary received care.

Note. "--" no response for the reporting period

Note. Data are not shown in cases where fewer than 10 valid responses were received nor are statistical tests reported in cases where fewer than 30 valid responses were received.

Domain: DoD Composites

Composite 2 - Mental Health Care

					ortion Not a P			
						Retirees and		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Family Members Under 65	Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
1st SPEC OPS MED GRP-HURLBURT	·							
2009	14.5	69%	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	10	63%	*	*	*	*	*	*
2nd MED GRP-BARKSDALE								
2009	37	63%	66%	*	*	*	*	38%
2008	30.5	72%	*	*	*	77%	*	74%
6th MED GRP-MACDILL								
2009	150	73%	68%	68%	61%	91%	72%	74%
2008	107.5	76%	76%	67%	74%	88%	70%	81%
7th MED GRP-DYESS								
2009	23	82%	*	63%	*	*	*	81%
2008	12	68%	*	*	*	*	*	*
12th MED GRP-RANDOLPH								
2009	17	74%		*	*	*	*	*
2008	11.5	90%		*	*	*	*	*
14th MED GRP-COLUMBUS								
2009	10	93%	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
17th MED GRP-GOODFELLOW								
2009	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	4	*	*	*		*	*	*
19th MEDICAL GROUP-LITTLE ROCK								
2009	42	65%	62%	53%	*	*	71%	63%
2008	37	72%	*	58%	*	*	68%	74%
20th MED GRP-SHAW								
2009	22	76%	*	*	70%	*	*	80%
2008	20.5	65%	*	*	*	*	71%	*
23rd MED GRP-MOODY								
2009	19.5	71%	*	*	*	*	*	66%
2008	15	87%	*	*	*	*	*	*
42ND MEDICAL GROUP-MAXWELL								
2009	23.5	67%	*	*	*	*	*	66%
2008	19	82%	*	*	*	*	*	82%
45th MED GRP-PATRICK								5=70
2009	49.5	79%	*	*	69%	83%	84%	76%
2008	46.5	79%	*	*	73%	83%	80%	77%
	10.0	. 5 / 0			. 370	5570	5570	(continue

Domain: DoD Composites

Composite 2 - Mental Health Care

	Proportion Not a Problem							
	D	Total	Active	Active Duty Family	Retirees and Family Members Under 65	Retirees and Family Members 65+	Primary	Specialty
AZU MED ODD I ALIOULIN	Responses	Total	Duty	Members	Under 65	65+	Care	Care
47th MED GRP-LAUGHLIN	0	*	*		*			*
2009	2	*		 *				*
2008	1							•
59th MED WING-LACKLAND	40.5	0.407	*	000/	000/	000/	700/	500 /
2009	48.5	64%	*	62%	60%	69%	70%	58%
2008	20	53%						58%
71st MED GRP-VANCE	•	*		*	*		*	т.
2009	4	*		*	*	 *	*	*
2008	5	*		*	*	*	*	*
72nd MED GRP-TINKER								
2009	64.5	70%	57%	52%	77%	75%	76%	65%
2008	47.5	71%	40%	40%	84%	*	85%	56%
78th MED GRP-ROBINS								
2009	41	75%	60%	*	87%	*	*	70%
2008	42.5	74%	*	71%	69%	*	91%	55%
81st MED GRP-KEESLER								
2009	10	84%	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	8	*	*		*	*		*
82nd MED GRP-SHEPPARD								
2009	25	80%	51%	*	*	*	*	82%
2008	19	59%	*	*	*	*	*	73%
96th MED GRP-EGLIN								
2009	44.5	80%	*	*	70%	88%	84%	75%
2008	45	70%	*	55%	70%	87%	61%	77%
97th MED GRP-ALTUS								
2009	8	*	*	*	*		*	*
2008	2.5	*		*		*	*	*
325th MED GRP-TYNDALL								
2009	3.5	*	*		*	*	*	*
2008	4	*	*	*	*		*	*
437th MED GRP-CHARLESTON								
2009	32.5	63%	*	*	77%	*	85%	45%
2009	27.5	74%	*	*	80%	*	69%	78%
AHC FT. MCPHERSON	21.5	7 7 70			0070		0370	7070
2009	102	69%	67%	61%	66%	*	71%	65%
2009	63	60%	69%	46%	57%	*	67%	56%
2000	03	00%	09%	4070	3170		0770	(continue

Domain: DoD Composites

Composite 2 - Mental Health Care

				Propo	ortion Not a P	roblem		
						Retirees and		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Family Members Under 65	Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
ALABAMA								
2009	54	66%	52%	*	71%	72%	69%	63%
2008	45	69%	*	62%	58%	90%	55%	79%
ARKANSAS								
2009	49	83%	*	*	85%	86%	83%	83%
2008	28	71%	*	*	65%	*	70%	74%
BAYNE-JONES ACH-FT. POLK								
2009	8	*	*	*	*		*	*
2008	12.5	76%	*	*	*	*	*	81%
BMC NAVSUPPACT EAST BANK								
2009	5	*	*	*		*	*	*
2008	2	*	*				*	*
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON								
2009	32	80%	*	62%	88%	78%	73%	89%
2008	23	72%		*	*	73%	72%	*
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD								
2009	87.5	71%	55%	67%	66%	*	66%	75%
2008	75	61%	*	52%	61%	*	67%	55%
EASTERN FLORIDA								
2009	168	71%	49%	52%	72%	74%	75%	64%
2008	143	69%	*	57%	61%	82%	72%	66%
EASTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	7.5	*	*	*	*		*	*
2008	9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
EASTERN TEXAS								
2009	199.5	63%	42%	35%	60%	82%	61%	65%
2008	184	75%	69%	57%	71%	86%	75%	74%
EISENHOWER AMC-FT. GORDON								
2009	27	67%	*	*	65%	*	*	59%
2008	20.5	59%	*	*	*	*	*	58%
FOX AHC-REDSTONE ARSENAL	20.0	2370						30,0
2009	17	67%	*	*	*	*	*	70%
2008	22.5	75%	*		74%	*	*	72%
GEORGIA		. 3,0			, 0			
2009	24.5	53%	*	*	*	*	61%	*
2008	18	80%	*	*	*	*	*	

Domain: DoD Composites

Composite 2 - Mental Health Care

Composite 2 Wentar Fleatin Ca				Propo	ortion Not a P	roblem		
	_					Retirees and		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Family Members Under 65	Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
GEORGIA-FORMER NOBLE CATCHMENT								
2009	1	*		*				*
2008	1	*			*		*	
LYSTER AHC-FT. RUCKER								
2009	30	56%	*	*	48%	*	*	54%
2008	31	69%	*	*	70%	*	78%	65%
MARTIN ACH-FT. BENNING								
2009	52.5	72%	44%	57%	82%	*	74%	69%
2008	33.5	73%	*	59%	63%	*	*	73%
MISSISSIPPI								
2009	54.5	67%	68%	*	60%	78%	63%	75%
2008	42	77%	68%	*	83%	80%	75%	81%
MONCRIEF ACH-FT. JACKSON								
2009	66	75%	70%	66%	62%	90%	82%	69%
2008	31.5	60%	*	*	40%	86%	70%	53%
NACC NEW ORLEANS								
2009	17	68%	*	*	*		*	*
2008	10.5	77%	*	*	*	*	*	*
NBHC ALBANY								
2009	4	*	*		*		*	*
2008	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
NBHC ATHENS								
2009	13	58%	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	16.5	77%	*	*	*	*	*	*
NBHC FORT WORTH								
2009	83	64%	29%	51%	46%	91%	64%	64%
2008	72	61%	*	73%	54%	73%	64%	59%
NBHC GULFPORT								
2009	10.5	61%	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	11	92%	*	*	*	*	*	*
NBHC INGLESIDE								
2009								
2008	1	*				*	*	
NBHC KEY WEST								
2009	8	*	*		*	*		*
2008	4	*	*	*				*

Domain: DoD Composites

Composite 2 - Mental Health Care

	_				ortion Not a P			
						Retirees and		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Family Members Under 65	Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC KINGS BAY								
2009	23.5	80%	*	*	*	*	*	80%
2008	11.5	54%	*	*	*	*	*	*
NBHC KINGSVILLE								
2009	1	*			*		*	
2008								
NBHC MARIETTA								
2009	57.5	71%	*	*	75%	76%	77%	64%
2008	42	63%	*	53%	68%	*	66%	61%
NBHC MAYPORT								
2009	21	74%	*	*	84%	*	78%	69%
2008	23.5	76%	*	*	*	*	72%	*
NBHC MCRD PARRIS ISLAND								
2009	7.5	*	*	*	*		*	*
2008	2	*	*			*		*
NBHC MERIDIAN								
2009	13	70%		*	*	*	*	*
2008	8	*	*	*	*		*	*
NBHC MILTON WHITING FIELD								
2009	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	6	*		*	*	*	*	*
NBHC NAS BELLE CHASE								
2009	13	73%	*	*	*	*	*	78%
2008	11	89%	*	*	*	*	*	*
NBHC NAS JACKSONVILLE								
2009	40.5	68%	32%	81%	*	*	70%	66%
2008	42.5	69%	*	55%	65%	*	73%	67%
NBHC NAS PENSACOLA								
2009	1	*				*	*	
2008	2	*			*	*	*	
NBHC NATTC PENSACOLA								
2009	17	59%	*	*	*	*	49%	*
2008	12	85%	*	*	*	*	*	*
NBHC NAVCOASTSYSC PANAMA CITY								
2009	32	86%	*	*	86%	*	*	96%
2008	14.5	86%	*	*	*	*	*	*

Domain: DoD Composites

Composite 2 - Mental Health Care

					ortion Not a P			
						Retirees and		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Family Members Under 65	Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
NBHC NSA MID-SOUTH								
2009	34	62%	*	58%	80%	*	55%	73%
2008	20	51%	*	*	*	*	*	58%
NBHC NTTC PENSACOLA								
2009	25.5	72%	*	*	*	*	*	85%
2008	27	77%	*	*	76%	*	*	68%
NBHC PASCAGOULA								
2009	25	76%	*	*	*	*	76%	77%
2008	18	67%		*	*	*	*	65%
NBHC WPNSTA CHARLESTON								
2009	4	*	*		*		*	*
2008	6	*		*	*	*	*	*
NH BEAUFORT								
2009	11.5	90%	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	9	*	*	*	*		*	*
NH JACKSONVILLE								
2009	24	72%	*	*	70%	*	74%	69%
2008	21.5	77%	*	*	62%	*	78%	78%
NH PENSACOLA								
2009	1	*				*		*
2008	1.5	*			*		*	
NHC CHARLESTON								
2009	20.5	64%	*	*	*	*	*	67%
2008	21	72%	*	*	*	*	*	72%
NHC CORPUS CHRISTI								
2009	15.5	73%	*	*	*		*	78%
2008	17	71%	*	*	*	*	*	64%
OKLAHOMA								
2009	28.5	62%	*	*	41%	*	68%	*
2008	26	71%	*	*	*	*	96%	52%
REYNOLDS ACH-FT. SILL								
2009	27	64%	*	*	*	*	*	71%
2008	23	85%	*	*	*	*	*	78%
SOUTH CAROLINA								
2009	55.5	69%	*	*	60%	84%	68%	69%
2008	49.5	71%	*	43%	77%	75%	69%	73%

Domain: DoD Composites

Composite 2 - Mental Health Care

				Propo	ortion Not a P	roblem		
	_				Retirees and	Retirees and		
	Responses	Total	Active Duty	Active Duty Family Members	Family Members Under 65	Family Members 65+	Primary Care	Specialty Care
SOUTHCOM CLINIC								
2009 2008	33.5 31	65% 76%	53% *	51% 71%	*	*	73% *	55% 72%
TENNESSEE	•			, ,				
2009	146	70%	48%	56%	69%	80%	73%	66%
2008 TUTTLE AHC-HUNTER ARMY AIRFLD	102	72%	60%	62%	63%	87%	73%	71%
2009	43.5	80%	32%	65%	*	*	88%	75%
2008	14.5	70%		*	*	*	*	71%
WESTERN FLORIDA								
2009	10	51%	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	10	50%	*	*	*	*	*	*
WESTERN LOUISIANA								
2009	32	70%	*	*	*	75%	69%	71%
2008	17	84%	*	*	*	*	*	91%
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART								
2009	19	70%	*	63%	*		*	88%
2008	5	*	*	*	*		*	*

Appendix A:

Methodology Report

Methodology Report

Background

The TRICARE Outpatient Satisfaction Survey (TROSS) assesses beneficiary satisfaction with outpatient care received through the Direct Care system (worldwide) and the Purchased Care network (within the United States). The survey, which is modeled after the Clinician & Group Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (C & G CAHPS), asks adult beneficiaries about their experiences as an outpatient, and asks sponsors of child beneficiaries about their child's outpatient experiences. It focuses on access to care, doctor or provider communication, and courtesy and effectiveness of the staff at the doctor's office.

This report presents results for 2009 based on patient visits from May 2008 through April 2009. Prior year data are presented for comparison. Months and years noted in this report reflect the month of the encounter, rather than the month of fielding.

Overview of the TROSS Program

The primary objective of the TROSS is to collect reliable data on beneficiaries' perceptions of their outpatient experiences. Each quarter, a Civilian Benchmark survey was conducted using members of Synovate's Consumer Opinion Panel who have recently seen a provider for themselves or their child. The 2009 Civilian Benchmark data are intended to assist leadership efforts in sustaining and improving quality health care.

TROSS results, quarterly data files, and Civilian Benchmark comparisons are available through an interactive Web site. Web-based reports provide TRICARE regional offices, the Services, and MTF commanders with a comprehensive description of beneficiaries' satisfaction with outpatient care. Purchased Care reports are broken out by provider region and military treatment facility service areas. Direct Care reports are broken out by Service and include further breakouts by military treatment facility. Civilian Benchmarks are provided for key questions.

TROSS Survey Methods

The TROSS project has two components. Purchased Care beneficiaries receive care from civilian providers who are part of the Military Health System network within the United States. Direct Care component beneficiaries have received care at MTFs worldwide.

<u>Sampling.</u> The TROSS is conducted monthly. The following visit types are included in the sample:

- Children between the ages of 0-11;
- Adults 18 years or older at the time of the encounter;
- Outpatient visits at a MTF (clinic or hospital) or civilian network facility (excludes cancellations, left without being seen, no-shows, denied claims, pharmacy claims, and telephone consults); and
- Eligible for contact not included on the No Contact List, Recently Deceased file, or MDR Death file (for 12 months following date of death).

The following encounters were excluded from the sample:

- Psychiatric, substance abuse, or OBGYN (if less than 11 years of age), primary diagnosis code at the time of discharge or related provider specialty code;
- Laboratory, radiology, pathology, or anesthesiology provider specialty or provider type of care code;
- In cases where a single individual had multiple outpatient encounters during the month, all but the most recent encounter are excluded:
- Encounters for which provider information is incomplete:
- Duplicate records;
- Blank beneficiary category;
- Providers listed with a name of lab or laboratory;
- Those previously sampled within the past six months for either Purchased Care or Direct Care:
- Respondents with no age in the sample extract; and
- Incomplete provider information.

<u>Sampling Strategy – Purchased Care</u>. Approximately 8 weeks after the end of each calendar month, a list of all relevant Purchased Care outpatient visits made in that month is compiled based on claims submitted by providers. This list serves as the sample frame for the monthly Purchased Care survey.

After exclusions are applied, two samples are drawn. First, a sample is drawn to be surveyed by telephone. A stratified random sample is selected within region and beneficiary category. The telephone sample process excludes records with either no phone number or an invalid phone number. After the telephone sample is selected, the mail study selects beneficiaries with a valid mailing address and zip code from the remaining pool of records. The Purchased Care Mail is also a stratified random sample using region, enrollment area, and beneficiary category as strata. Active Duty beneficiaries are over sampled due to their lower yield rates. This allows the composition of respondents to more closely resemble the TROSS Purchased Care population.

<u>Sample Numbers – Purchased Care</u>. In January 2009, the sampling plan for the TROSS was reallocated to allow for an equal rate of returns between Direct and Purchased Care. Since the large majority of active duty and their dependents are in the Direct Care, and one of the goals of reporting on the TROSS is to get sufficient returns (n = 30) for approximately 150 MTFs in Direct Care, the Direct Care sample was increased, and the Purchased Care sample was decreased starting with October 2008 encounters.

Table A1 presents the numbers of individuals sampled in Purchased Care by month for the mail survey administration. The sampling process is dynamic to account for the monthly fluctuations in encounter volume.

Table A1. Month of Purchased Care Sample by Provider Region and USFHP

Encounter Month	Total Mail *	North	South	West	Overseas	USFHP
May 2008	18,755	5,708	7,516	5,289	2	169
June 2008	18,755	5,610	7,600	5,305	4	165
July 2008	18,755	5,779	7,582	5,217	0	109
August 2008	18,755	5,682	7,647	5,264	4	126
September 2008	18,755	5,755	7,583	5,251	2	139
October 2008 *	17,750	5,323	7,239	5,036	3	149
November 2008	17,932	5,402	7,307	5,066	2	155
December 2008	17,932	5,503	7,240	5,028	4	157
January 2009	17,932	5,426	7,324	5,086	3	93
February 2009	18,032	5,418	7,402	5,119	4	89
March 2009	18,032	5,436	7,381	5,128	3	84
April 2009	18,032	5,425	7,375	5,100	2	130
TOTAL	219,417	66,467	89,196	61,889	33	1,565

Note - A new structure for Purchased Care is reflected in the 2009 reports. Provider Region variable designations are not available for 267 sample records in the May through September 2008 waves; however data are included in Total for each month. USFHP classifications are based on Parent DMIS.

Survey Instrument

Though the TROSS project has been ongoing for several years, a new instrument was designed and adopted in 2007. Adapted from the Clinician and Group Consumer Assessment Health Plans Survey (C & G CAHPS), the questionnaire focuses on: (1) access to care, (2) doctor or provider communication and courtesy, (3) effectiveness of the clerks/receptionists at the doctor's office, and (4) overall satisfaction with TRICARE. In addition to standard CAHPS questions, it contains a number of questions specific to DoD to allow for trending key items from previous outpatient satisfaction surveys. It also includes several demographic items. Administration guidelines follow the most current instructions from the CAHPS Quality Assurance Guidelines.

<u>Versions of the Instrument.</u> The Purchased and Direct Care survey instruments have four versions: Mail Adult, Mail Child, Phone Adult, and Phone Child.

The Mail Adult and Mail Child versions comprise 57 and 56 questions, respectively. Both versions include 4 questions regarding mental health, 5 health care questions common to all Department of Defense surveys, and 37 questions from the Clinician & Group CAHPS Adult

^{*} NCOA address cleaning was incorporated with the October 2008 sample for Purchased Care TROSS survey. Starting with the November 2008 sample, records pulled for sample and then identified by the U.S. Postal Service as "relocated without a forwarding address" were dropped prior to sending the first mailing. Totals include the number sampled.

Primary Care survey. Of these 37 questions, 13 questions make up the three CAHPS Composites: 5 are on Access to Care, 6 are on Doctor Communication, and 2 are on Office Staff.

Differences between the TROSS Mail Adult and Mail Child versions are minimal. The CAHPS questions have standard versions for both adults and children, and these are used as appropriate. Other questions have slight variations in wording to pertain to either adults or children (see Appendix C for the Adult version). The questionnaires are also available on the TROSS reporting Web site.

Customization of Survey Documents. Survey materials are personalized in the following ways.

- Child survey booklets include the child's name, the provider's name, and the visit date.
 Cover letters sent with child surveys include the child's name, address, survey ID number, and password for the Web site.
- Adult survey booklets include the provider's name and the visit date. Cover letters sent
 with the adult surveys included the recipient's name, rank, address, survey ID, and
 password for the Web site.

<u>Internet Survey Design</u>. The cover letters for both survey mailings contain the URL, as well as unique login and password information for each sampled beneficiary. Beneficiaries who elect to complete the survey via Internet log into a secure, Synovate-hosted Web site.

Sampled beneficiaries have a 45-minute window to complete the Internet survey. It takes respondents approximately 11 minutes to complete the survey. If a respondent quits during the survey, he or she is able to log back in to the beginning and finish. However, after all questions have been completed, answers cannot be reviewed or revised.

Data Collection

Each month, a new sample of beneficiaries is sent a survey packet consisting of a cover letter, a four-page questionnaire booklet, and a postage-paid return envelope. Recipients can complete the survey in either of two ways: by filling out the questionnaire and returning it by mail, or by going to a Web site and completing the survey online. The mail and Internet versions of the questionnaire are exactly the same. The address of the Web site, a username, and password are included in the cover letter. A second survey packet is sent to beneficiaries who have not responded by mail or Internet 21 days after the mailing of the first packet.

<u>General Timeline.</u> Table A2 provides the timeline for the TROSS Mail study based on the average calendar days. From the time Synovate receives the monthly extract file, the first survey packet is mailed out in approximately 13 calendar days. Roughly 21 days after the first mailing, Synovate sends the second mailing to those who have not responded. Field ends for the Mail portion of this study approximately 55 calendar days after receiving the monthly extract file.

Table A2. TROSS Mail Timeline

Action	Direct Care	Purchased Care
Date of last possible encounter until sample frame received	12 days	54 days
Monthly extract frame received	0 days	0 days
Packet 1 mailed	13 days	13 days
Packet 2 mailed	34 days	34 days
End of field	55 days	55 days

<u>Purchased Care Schedule</u>. In general, samples are drawn about 8 weeks after the end of the calendar month in which outpatient encounters occurred, and data collection proceed according to the fielding schedule. The actual schedule of survey administration in for 28 September 2008 through 27 September 2009 is shown in Table A3.

Table A3. TROSS 2009 Purchased Care Mail Fielding Schedule

Encounter Month	Sample Delivery	Mailing 1	Mailing 2	Field Close	Data Compiled
May 2008	22-Jul-08	6-Aug-08	27-Aug-08	17-Sep-08	2-Oct-08
June 2008 *	26-Aug-08	5-Oct-08	28-Oct-08	5-Nov-08	7-Nov-08
July 2008 **	25-Sep-08	15-Oct-08	5-Nov-08	26-Nov-08	5-Dec-08
August 2008	28-Oct-08	10-Nov-08	2-Dec-08	22-Dec-08	5-Jan-09
September 2008 **	17-Nov-08	2-Dec-08	17-Dec-08	12-Jan-09	16-Jan-09
October 2008	19-Dec-08	9-Jan-09	3-Feb-09	23-Feb-09	6-Mar-09
November 2008 ***	27-Jan-09	9-Feb-09	2-Mar-09	23-Mar-09	3-Apr-09
December 2008 ***	24-Feb-09	9-Mar-09	30-Mar-09	20-Apr-09	8-May-09
January 2009	24-Mar-09	7-Apr-09	27-Apr-09	18-May-09	22-May-09
February 2009	22-Apr-09	1-May-09	22-May-09	15-Jun-09	3-Jul-09
March 2009	26-May-09	8-Jun-09	29-Jun-09	20-Jul-09	7-Aug-09
April 2009	23-Jun-09	6-Jul-09	27-Jul-09	17-Aug-09	21-Aug-09

^{*} Field period for the Mail Component of the Purchased Care June 2008 sample was shortened from 6 weeks to 4 weeks.

^{**} DOD-Wide questions and question 24a were incorporated into the July 2008 sample for the Internet component and September 2008 of the Purchased Care TROSS survey. Subsequent waves include DOD-Wide questions, respectively.

^{***} Full text of Privacy Statement was incorporated into the November 2008 sample for the Internet component and December 2008 of the Mail Purchased Care TROSS survey.

Data Processing

<u>Scanning of Mail Returns and Data Entry</u>. Mail returns are scanned to generate electronic images, and optical character recognition (OCR) software is then applied to all handwritten checkmarks and numeric characters. Any characters or marks that are identified with less than 90% confidence by OCR are directed to a human coder, who reviews the image and enters the information by hand. Responses to open-end questions are entered by human data processors.

Most of the questions on the TROSS questionnaires are designed to accept one response only. In cases where multiple responses are marked, the conflict is resolved by applying a "best mark" rule in conjunction with a look at previous marks on the questionnaire (to ascertain how the respondent tends to mark responses).

Contact Updating. Prior to the second mailing, the sample is updated to exclude:

- Sample members who completed the survey (by mail and Internet) in the two weeks following the first mailing
- Sample members who fell into one of the following categories in the two weeks following
 the first mailing: mail undeliverable, deceased, refused, incarcerated, ineligible, unable to
 complete the survey, deployed, or never saw provider.

Address updates received in time for the second mailing are included as well.

<u>Completion Criteria.</u> Data collection is terminated on different dates for the different modes of survey administration. Data collection by phone is terminated on the telephone field close date. Data collection by IVR is terminated after a grace period of two calendar days from the telephone field close date. Similarly, mail data collection is ended on the mail field close date, while Internet data collection is stopped after a grace period of two calendar days from the mail field close date.

The following criteria are used to determine complete returns eligible for inclusion in the data (completed surveys):

- Mail: Response to one question was sufficient.
- Internet: Respondent must have answered (responded or actively skipped) all the way through the survey.

<u>Cleaning of Mail Data.</u> If responses were marked on questions that should have been skipped, as determined by a response to a prior gate question, then these responses are omitted. Such responses are also omitted if the relevant gate question was simply left blank. The gate questions for the adult mail survey (see Appendix C) are questions 1, 4, 5, 7, 9, 12, 14, 19, 25, 33, 35, 37b, 39, 41, and 48. For the child mail survey (a copy of the child mail instrument is in the appendix), the gate questions are 1, 4, 5, 7, 9, 12, 14, 19, 25, 33, 35, 37b, and 47. In 2009, an additional gate question, 24a, appeared with the addition of the DOD wide questions for the adult and child surveys (See Tables A3).

Question 39 on the child questionnaire asks about the child's age, and includes a checkbox on "Less than 1 year old" and a blank in which the respondent can write a number of years. In some cases, respondents marked inconsistent responses: a check in the box plus a written age greater than 1 year. If inspection of the whole questionnaire suggested that the respondent had answered for more than one child, then the questionnaire was excluded from the data.

There are a few "other-specify" questions on the survey. If "other" was not checked but an open ended response was given, then the open-ended response is omitted.

No imputation is performed to estimate missing data.

<u>Cleaning of Internet Data.</u> No cleaning to reconcile Internet data with the survey skip pattern is necessary, as responses to gate questions are mandatory in the Internet survey, and questions inconsistent with the skip pattern are never presented to the respondent. In rare instances, though, respondents utilized their 'back' button to go back to prior gate questions and revised responses even though subsequent questions had already been presented. In these cases, if there was inconsistency with the skip pattern, data inconsistent with gate questions is omitted. The Internet method precludes the respondents from giving multiple responses to single-response questions.

No imputation is performed to estimate missing data.

Coding of Open-End Data. Responses to other-specify items (questions 11 and 37) are back coded. If a respondent gave an open-ended response similar to one of the pre-identified list of codes, then the response is merged back into the data. Not all open-ended responses can be coded back into the pre-identified list. If a respondent gave a verbatim that was unrelated to the question, they are treated as if they had given no response. In these cases, if there are common responses, we add a new code. For quality assurance purposes, 10% of the coding is independently verified. Based on analyses of verbatim responses, a new code '*Not eligible for care at MTF*' was created for question 37.

SAS data files containing all other-specify responses are provided at the end of each contract year. These data files contain sample and reporting variables, as well as all responses selected for the coded questions.

Merging and Deduplicating Respondent Data Files. Data from mail and Internet are merged and deduplicated by a "first-in" rule: The first return received from an individual is kept and subsequent returns are omitted. In cases where multiple returns are received "simultaneously" (for example, a mail return and an Internet return received on the same day), complete returns trump incomplete returns; if both returns are complete, then one is chosen arbitrarily, and the other excluded.

Response Rates

Tables A4 - A8 provide the response rates for the mail/Internet component of the Purchased Care TROSS using AAPOR's formula 1: the number of complete interviews divided by the number of interviews (complete plus partial) plus the number of non-interviews (refusal and break-off plus non-contacts plus others) plus all cases of unknown eligibility (unknown if housing unit, plus unknown other). Records returned as undeliverable or identified by the U.S. Postal Service as "relocated without a forwarding address" are excluded from the calculation.

Table A4. Purchased Care Mail AAPOR Response Rate

Sample	Completes	Initial Sample	Response Rate (AAPOR Formula 1)
May 2008	5,184	18,755	29.8%
June 2008	4,027	18,755	22.8%
July 2008	5,200	18,755	29.9%
August 2008	4,939	18,755	28.3%
September 2008	4,944	18,755	28.3%
October 2008	5,209	17,750	31.1%
November 2008	5,106	17,932	30.3%
December 2008	4,569	17,932	27.3%
January 2009	4,636	17,932	27.4%
February 2009	4,597	18,032	27.1%
March 2009	4,564	18,032	26.9%
April 2009	4,700	18,032	27.6%
TOTAL	57,675	219,417	28.0%

Table A5. Purchased Care Mail – Provider Region North AAPOR Response Rate

Sample	Completes	Initial Sample	Response Rate (AAPOR formula 1)
May 2008	1,577	5,708	29.8%
June 2008	1,212	5,610	23.0%
July 2008	1,583	5,779	29.6%
August 2008	1,510	5,682	28.6%
September 2008	1,461	5,755	27.4%
October 2008	1,585	5,323	31.5%
November 2008	1,517	5,402	29.9%
December 2008	1,396	5,503	27.1%
January 2009	1,402	5,426	27.6%
February 2009	1,337	5,418	26.2%
March 2009	1,363	5,436	26.6%
April 2009	1,401	5,425	27.3%
TOTAL	17,344	66,467	27.9%

Table A6. Purchased Care Mail – Provider Region South AAPOR Response Rate

abic Ao. i aronasca oare man		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	717 II OIT HOOPOHOO Hate		
Sample	Completes	Initial Sample	Response Rate (AAPOR Formula 1)		
May 2008	2,063	7,516	29.5%		
June 2008	1,588	7,600	22.1%		
July 2008	2,091	7,582	29.6%		
August 2008	1,989	7,647	27.7%		
September 2008	2,003	7,583	28.3%		
October 2008	2,096	7,239	30.6%		
November 2008	2,058	7,307	29.8%		
December 2008	1,841	7,240	27.1%		
January 2009	1,861	7,324	26.9%		
February 2009	1,912	7,402	27.4%		
March 2009	1,897	7,381	27.3%		
April 2009	1,933	7,375	27.7%		
TOTAL	23,332	89,196	27.8%		

Table A7. Purchased Care Mail – Provider Region West AAPOR Response Rate

Sample	Completes	Initial Sample	Response Rate (AAPOR Formula 1)
May 2008	1,450	5,289	29.5%
June 2008	1,156	5,305	23.2%
July 2008	1,458	5,217	30.1%
August 2008	1,374	5,264	28.2%
September 2008	1,412	5,251	28.8%
October 2008	1,458	5,036	30.8%
November 2008	1,469	5,066	30.9%
December 2008	1,265	5,028	27.1%
January 2009	1,331	5,086	27.7%
February 2009	1,303	5,119	27.1%
March 2009	1,259	5,128	26.2%
April 2009	1,309	5,100	27.4%
TOTAL	16,244	61,889	28.1%

Table A8. Purchased Care Mail – U.S. Family Health Plan AAPOR Response Rate

Sample	Completes	Initial Sample	Response Rate (AAPOR Formula 1)
May 2008	71	169	43.6%
June 2008	59	165	37.3%
July 2008	46	109	46.0%
August 2008	60	126	50.4%
September 2008	60	139	44.8%
October 2008	69	149	47.9%
November 2008	62	155	41.4%
December 2008	67	157	43.2%
January 2009	42	93	46.2%
February 2009	45	89	52.3%
March 2009	45	84	54.9%
April 2009	57	130	45.6%
TOTAL	683	1565	45.3%

Weighting

Statistical weights are included in the data to adjust for differing response rates among key demographic groups. The sampling weights were constructed using a post-stratification adjustment process called rim weighting, also known as raking estimation or sample balancing¹. For Purchased Care Mail, the weights reflect each month's population of outpatients with respect to age, gender, beneficiary category, and service area (a combination of region and PSA status). For Direct Care Mail, the weights reflect each month's population of outpatients with respect to age, gender, beneficiary category, and region. In Direct Care a pre-weight of PSU ID is included. The rim weighting process is iterative, whereby the key variables (age, gender, beneficiary category and region) are weighted to match the population characteristics for these characteristics after a pre-weight of PSU ID is added. The Direct Care sampling plan accounts for differences between regions (or MTFs) by including Parent DMIS in the weighting scheme.

The weights on TROSS, for both Purchased and Direct Care sum to the total number of outpatients within each calendar month. The weights provide unbiased estimates both within a given month, for quarters, and across years.

Civilian Benchmark Survey

Each quarter, a Civilian Benchmark survey was conducted using members of Synovate's Consumer Opinion Panel who have recently seen a provider for themselves or their child. Panel members were sent an email invitation to participate in the study and took the survey online. The

¹ Deming, W. E., & Stephan, F. F. (1940). On a least squares adjustment of a sample frequency table when the expected marginal totals are known. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, *11*, 427–444.

survey instruments were modified slightly to make them appropriate for civilians, but otherwise replicated the main TROSS instruments. For reporting purposes, respondents were categorized by type of visit (emergency, pediatric, primary care, or speciality care), age and gender. The benchmark scores reported in this annual report are based on respondents from four quarterly benchmark surveys. A detailed summary of the Civilian Benchmark is available in Appendix B.

Composite Scores

Composites follow the C & G CAHPS standard calculation methods. For more information about the CAHPS Composites, visit:

https://www.cahps.ahrq.gov/cahpskit/files/309-6 CG Reporting Measures 6pt.pdf

- Access to Care This C & G CAHPS composite focuses on questions relating to getting appointments and health care when needed. This is composed of five questions (Q8, 10, 13, 15, and 16): Received appointment as soon as need for care you needed right away; Received appointment as soon as need for a check-up or routine care; Get an answer to your medical question during business hours on the same day you called; Receive answer as soon as needed after regular hours; and See provider within 15 minutes of your appointment time.
- Doctors Communicate This C & G CAHPS composite focuses on questions relating to how well doctors communicate. This is composed of six questions (Q17, 18, 20, 21, 22, and 23): Explain things in an easy to understand way; Listen carefully to you; Give easy to understand instructions about your health care; Know the important information about your medical history; and Spend enough time with you.
- Office Staff This C & G CAHPS composite focuses on questions relating to the courteousness and helpfulness of office staff. This is composed of two questions (Q28 and 29) Helpful as you thought they should be and Treat you with courtesy and respect.

The DoD Composites (see Table A9) follow the same calculation methods as the C & G CAHPS Composites:

Table A9. DoD Composites

Composite Name	Question	Response Option			
Feelings Toward MHS	Q30. I am a partner with my health	% Somewhat agree and			
	care team	strongly agree			
	Q31. Military Health System was	% Somewhat agree and			
	designed just for me	strongly agree			
	Q32. Overall rating of health care	% Scores 9 and 10			
	Q34. Overall rating of TRICARE	% Scores 9 and 10			
	Prime				
	Q36. Overall rating of TRICARE	% Scores 9 and 10			
	Standard, Extra, or TRICARE for Life				
	benefits				
Mental Health Care	Q37c. How much a problem was to	% Not a problem			
	get treatment or counseling				
	Q37D. Rating of treatment or				
	counseling				

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix B:

Civilian Benchmark Survey

Civilian Benchmark Survey

One of the goals of TROSS is to compare the satisfaction of TRICARE beneficiaries to a relevant external benchmark. To this end, a Civilian Benchmark survey is conducted each quarter, whereby an external population is surveyed using instruments as similar as possible to the TROSS instruments. The resulting data are then adjusted to correct for certain differences between TROSS respondents and the external respondents—in particular, differences in age, gender, and type of healthcare received.

This section describes the methods employed in conducting this quarterly benchmark survey and in calculating benchmark scores to which TROSS scores can be appropriately and meaningfully compared.

Civilian Benchmark Sample

The sample for the Civilian Benchmark survey is drawn from Synovate's Consumer Opinion Panel, which comprises roughly 500,000 households in the United States. The panel is designed to be broadly representative of the general population of the United States, and the particular sample that is drawn for the Civilian Benchmark survey is further balanced to ensure that it resembles the U.S. population in terms of region, income, household size, age, and population density.

Respondents to the Civilian Benchmark survey are screened to determine whether they have had a recent outpatient experience. In the first two quarters of 2008, Civilian Benchmark respondents were required to have had an outpatient experience in the preceding 12 months. In the second two quarters of 2008, the design was enhanced to distinguish between those who had had an outpatient experience in the previous 3 months versus those who had an outpatient experience in the previous 12 months. Respondents who did not meet these criteria were not included in the Civilian Benchmark survey. The Civilian Benchmark surveys includes outpatient experiences of both adults and children.

In each of the first two quarters of 2008, 5,000 panel members were selected into the sample; in each of the next two quarters, after the design was enhanced to distinguish between outpatient experiences in the previous 3 versus 12 months, 7,500 panel members were selected. In general, the response rate was around 25%, such that roughly 1,675 individuals responded in each of the first two quarters, and roughly 2,025 in each of the next two quarters.

Civilian Benchmark Instruments

The Civilian Benchmark instruments are designed to be as similar as possible to the TROSS while, at the same time, being appropriate for a general civilian population. Consumer Opinion Panel members who are selected into the benchmark sample are sent an email invitation to participate in the survey online. After one week, those who have not responded are sent a second email invitation. Data collection continues for one more week and concludes two weeks after the initial invitation was sent.

In general, the online survey resembles the Internet version of the TROSS, and the rules concerning entry of responses etc. are identical. For example, as in TROSS itself, all questions must be answered in order for a respondent's data to be treated as a complete return.

Calculation of Civilian Benchmark Scores

Civilian Benchmark respondents differ from TROSS respondents in demographic and other respects, and there is reason to believe that some of these differences will affect respondents' assessments of their healthcare experiences and satisfaction. Consequently, there are statistical adjustments made in order to obtain benchmark scores that are relevant to the TROSS.

There are three important ways in which benchmark respondents tend to differ from TROSS respondents: age, gender, and type of health care received (emergency care, pediatric care, primary care, or specialty care). Furthermore, TROSS respondents are not homogeneous on these dimensions; in fact, Direct Care respondents and Purchased Care respondents differ greatly in age, gender, and type of care received. Consequently, three sets of benchmark scores are calculated: benchmarks for TROSS Direct Care scores, benchmarks for TROSS Purchased Care scores, and benchmarks for TROSS overall scores (Direct Care and Purchased Care combined). Table B1 provides an example using the Balanced Scorecard cut of Q32 *Overall Satisfaction with Outpatient Care*.

To make these adjustments, benchmark respondents are classified into 17 subgroups that represent different combinations of age, gender, and type of health care received (see Column A). Survey scores are calculated *within* these 17 subgroups. Column B, S_i represents the score given by 2009 benchmark respondents who fall into each of these 17 categories. Columns C, D, and E show the percentages of TROSS respondents in these 17 different subgroups for Direct Care ($P_{DC,i}$) for Purchased Care ($P_{PC,i}$), and MHS Wide $P_{C,i}$.

In order to calculate the benchmark for the TROSS Direct Care score with a top-three box rating (8, 9, or 10) on Q32 *Overall Satisfaction with Outpatient Care*, we combine the scores given by benchmark respondents in the 17 categories with the percentages of TROSS respondents who fall in the 17 categories:

DC Benchmark =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{17} P_{DC,i} S_i = (3.64\%)(0.622) + (10.39\%)(0.7742) + ...$$

Then, we sum the benchmark scores in the 17 subgroups using allocation parameters that weight them to resemble the percentages of TROSS 2009 Direct Care respondents in the 17 subgroups. This results in a 2009 Direct Care benchmark score of 66.60% for *Overall Satisfaction with Outpatient Care*.

PC Benchmark =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{17} P_{PC,i} S_i = (1.98\%)(0.622) + (7.52\%)(0.7742) + ...$$

MHS Wide Benchmark =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{17} P_i S_i = (2.57\%)(0.622) + (8.54\%)(0.7742) + ...$$

When calculating benchmark scores in this manner, it levels the playing field with respect to age, gender, and type of care. Simply put, the benchmark score answers the question, How would the general civilian healthcare system score on the TROSS *if* its patient population resembled the TROSS respondent population in terms of age, gender, and type of care? By adjusting for these differences, we arrive at fair benchmarks for TROSS scores.

Table B1. Civilian Benchmark Allocation Parameters

Column A	Column B Column C 2009 benchmark respondents' score for Q32 Column C % TROSS 2009 DC respondents		Column D % TROSS 2009 PC respondents	Column E % TROSS MHS Wide respondents
Subgroup	Si	P DC,i	P _{PC} ,	Pi
ER Only (no children)	62.20	3.64	1.98	2.57
Child (ER, Primary, Specialty) - All genders	77.42	10.39	7.52	8.54
18-34 Specialty Care Both Genders	68.02	21.06	5.55	11.04
35-54 Specialty Care Male	62.32	7.64	2.92	4.59
35-54 Specialty Care Female	71.41	4.27	5.18	4.86
55-64 Specialty Care Male	78.42	1.64	3.08	2.57
55-64 Specialty Care Female	71.04	1.22	4.01	3.02
65+ Specialty Care Male	85.35	1.50	10.51	7.32
65+ Specialty Care Female	89.57	0.84	11.23	7.55
18-34 Primary Care Male	51.25	13.64	0.88	5.40
18-34 Primary Care Female	59.83	11.56	3.07	6.08
35-54 Primary Care Male	62.90	9.53	2.72	5.14
35-54 Primary Care Female	71.72	5.63	4.64	4.99
55-64 Primary Care Male	75.06	2.23	2.90	2.66
55-64 Primary Care Female	76.33	1.93	4.26	3.44
65+ Primary Care Male	89.02	1.71	13.31	9.20
65+ Primary Care Female	86.97	1.58	16.22	11.03

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix C:

Adult Survey Instrument







According to the Privacy Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-579), the
Department of Defense is required to inform you of the purposes
and use of this survey. Please read it carefully.

Authority: 10 U.S.C., Chapter 55; Section 706, Public Law 102-484: E.O. 9397.

Purpose: This survey helps health policy makers gauge beneficiary satisfaction with the current military health care system and provides valuable input from beneficiaries that will be used to improve the Military Health System.

Routine Uses: None

Yes

Disclosure: Voluntary. Failure to respond will not result in any penalty to the respondent. However, maximum participation is encouraged so that data will be as complete and representative as possible.

PRIVACY STATEMENT

Providing information in this survey is voluntary. There is no penalty nor will your benefits be affected if you choose not to respond.

However, maximum participation is encouraged so that the data will be complete and representative. Your survey response will be treated as confidential, identifying information will be used only by person engaged in, and for the purposes of, the survey research.

However, if during this survey you indicate a direct threat to harm yourself or others, we are required to forward information about that threat to appropriate authorities for action, which will likely include their contacting you.

YOUR HEALTH PROVIDER

1.	Our records show that you got care from the provider or at the location named below on (POP IN VISIT DATE).
	(POP IN PROVIDER OR MTF)
	Is that right?

→ Go TO #30

A health provider is a doctor, nurse or anyone else you would see for health care. The questions in this survey booklet will refer to the provider you saw on (POP IN VISIT DATE) as "this provider." Please think of that provider as you answer the survey. Even if you only saw this provider once this year, please

<u>fill o</u>	<u>ut this</u>	s survey.						
2.		•	•	•	if you need a check- , or get sick or hurt?			
		Yes		No				
3.	How long have you been going to this provider?							
		☐ Less than 6 months						
		At least 6 r	nonths but	less tha	n 1 year			
		At least 1 y	ear but les	s than 3	years			
		At least 3 y	ears but le	ss than	5 years			
		5 years or i	more					

٠		g y		g
	In ge	eneral, I am able to see	my p	rovider when needed.
		Strongly Disagree Disagree Neither Agree nor Dis Agree Strongly Agree	sagree	
3b.		many days did you ha pintment and actually s		wait between making the a provider?
		Same day 1 to 7 days		8 to 30 days 31 days or more
3c.		rall, how satisfied are y vived?	ou wit	h the health care you
		Completely Dissatisfic Somewhat Dissatisfied Neither Satisfied nor Somewhat Satisfied Completely Satisfied	ed	isfied

How much do you agree with the following statement?

YOUR CARE FROM THIS PROVIDER **IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS**

These questions ask about your own health care. Do not include care you got when you stayed overnight in a hospital. Do not include any times you went for dental care visits.

In the last 12 months, how many times did you visit this

provider to get care for yourself?

None → Go TO #30

6.

		1 time	4
		2	5 to 9
		3	10 or more times
5.		ne last 12 months provider's office	ou make an appointment with ne?
		Yes	No → Go TO #7
6.	thro		n you made an appointment uld you rate the ease of making
		Excellent Very good	Fair Poor

	ш	G000				
7.	to g		ment for a		one this provider's office ss, injury, or condition th	
	_	Voo		No	N Co 70 #0	

☐ Never ☐ Never ☐ Usually ☐ Almost Nev ☐ Almost Never ☐ Almost Always ☐ Sometimes ☐ Sometimes ☐ Always ☐ 17. In the last 12 mo	noths, how often did this provider explain hat was easy to understand? ☐ Usually						
17 In the last 12 mo	hat was easy to understand? Usually						
☐ Never ☐ Yes ☐ No → Go To #11 ☐ Almost Ne ☐ Sometimes	•						
 10. In the last 12 months, when you made an appointment for a check-up or routine care with this provider, how often did you get an appointment as soon as you thought you needed? 18. In the last 12 mo carefully to you? 	onths, how often did this provider listen						
□ Never □ Never □ Usually □ Almost Nev □ Almost Never □ Almost Always □ Sometimes □ Sometimes □ Always	,						
11. What was the biggest problem you had, if any, in scheduling appointments? <i>Please mark only one.</i>	onths, did you talk with this provider about ems or concerns?						
☐ Yes ☐ N/A - I did not need an appointment	□ No → G o τo #21						
☐ I did not have any problems in scheduling 20. In the last 12 mo	onths, how often did this provider give you and instructions about taking care of these or concerns?						
☐ I could not get an appointment that worked with my							
schedule □ Never □ No consult or referral was in the system □ Almost Ne □ Phone was busy and I couldn't get through □ Sometimes □ No one would answer the phone	,						
☐ I was on hold too long 21. In the last 12 mo	In the last 12 months, how often did this provider seem to know the important information about your medical history						
□ Never □ Almost Never □ Sometimes	•						
12. In the last 12 months, did you phone this provider's office with a medical question <u>during</u> regular office hours?22. In the last 12 more respect for what	onths, how often did this provider show you had to say?						
 ☐ Yes ☐ No → Go To #14 ☐ Never 	☐ Usually						
13. In the last 12 months, when you phoned this provider's office during regular office hours, how often did you get an answer to your medical question that same day?	ver Almost Always						
23. In the last 12 mo ☐ Never ☐ Usually enough time with ☐ Almost Never ☐ Almost Always	onths, how often did this provider spend h you?						
☐ Sometimes ☐ Always ☐ Never ☐ Almost Ne	□ Usually ver □ Almost Always						
14. In the last 12 months, did you phone this provider's office □ Sometimes with a medical question <u>after</u> regular office hours?	s Always						
☐ Yes ☐ No → Go To #16 medicine?	onths, did you take any prescription						
15. In the last 12 months, when you phoned this provider's ☐ Yes office after regular office hours, how often did you get an	□ No → Go τo #25						
you about all the	onths, how often did this provider talk with different prescription medicines you are						
☐ Never ☐ Usually using, including of a line of the control of th							

25.	x-ray or other test for you?	32.	care possible and 10 is the best health care number would you use to rate your health care								possible, what			
	☐ Yes ☐ No → Go To #27		0 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
26.	In the last 12 months, when this provider ordered a blood test, x-ray or other test for you, how often did someone		Worst health Best health											
	from this provider's office follow up to give you those results?		Worst he								est ne e pos			
	□ Never □ Usually	33.	Are you	enrolle	ed in [TRIC	ARE I	<u>Prime</u>	?					
07	□ Almost Never □ Almost Always □ Sometimes □ Always			es, enre o, not e ot sure	enrolle			30 то 30 то						
27.	Using any number from 0 to 10, where 0 is the worst provider possible and 10 is the best provider possible, what number would you use to rate this provider?	34.	Using a	ny num	nber f	rom 0	to 10) whe	re 0 is					
	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		number				rate							
	Worst provider Best provider		0 1	2	3	4 □	5	6 □	7 □	8 □	9	10 □		
	possible possible CLERKS AND RECEPTIONISTS		Worst he								est he			
	AT THIS PROVIDER'S OFFICE	35.	Have yo		TRIC	CARE	Stan	dard,	Extra	or TI	RICA	RE for		
28.	In the last 12 months, how often were clerks and receptionists at this provider's office as helpful as you			es, hav	e use	d ber	nefits							
	thought they should be?			o, have	not u	used l	penef		→ Go					
	□ Never □ Usually □ Almost Never □ Almost Always			RICAR						0 TO #				
	□ Sometimes □ Always			Using any number from 0 to 10 where 0 is the worst benefits possible and 10 is the best benefits possible, what										
29.	In the last 12 months, how often did clerks and receptionists at this provider's office treat you with courtesy and respect?		number TRICAR					TRIC	ARE S	3tand	ard, E	Extra or		
	□ Never □ Usually □ Almost Never □ Almost Always		0 1	2 □	3	4 □	5 □	6 □	7 □	8 □	9	10 □		
	□ Sometimes □ Always		Worst be							Bes	st ben	efits sible		
	YOUR HEALTH CARE	37.	The time	e(s) tha	at you	usec	l a no	n-mili	itary tr	eatm	ent fa	acility		
Syst	the next two questions, thinking about the Military Health em, how much would you agree with the following tements:	(non MTF), which of the following explain(s) why you NOT receive care at a military treatment facility (Machine Please mark all that apply.												
30.	I am a partner with my health care team. They know and			A - Ha o diffic					at at a	o NATI	_			
30.	care about improving my health.			annot eferred	see th	ne sai	me pr	ovide	r each					
	□ No Opinion□ Strongly Disagree			et bett e serv	er ca	re froi	m civi	lian p	rovide					
	□ Somewhat Disagree □ Neither Agree nor Disagree		□ Us	sed no ie MTF	n-TRI	CARE	insu	ırance	Э	J				
	□ Somewhat Agree □ Strongly Agree		□ Ne	eded efer to	care b	oecau	ise of	an er	merge					
31.	It feels like the Military Health System was designed just		□ Ir	ever g TF is to	et ca	re at a	an MT		priyo	lolali				
	for me.		☐ Di	fficulty ot eligit	in ge	tting t	o an l		:					
	□ No Opinion□ Strongly Disagree			her (P										
	☐ Somewhat Disagree☐ Neither Agree nor Disagree										_			
	□ Somewhat Agree □ Strongly Agree													

37a.	In general, how would you rate your overall mental or emotional health now?	44. Are you male or female?	Are you male or female?						
	□ Excellent □ Fair	□ Male □ Female							
	□ Very good □ Poor □ Good	45. What is the highest grade or level of school the completed?	nat you have						
37b.	In the last 12 months, did you need any treatment or counseling for a personal or family problem?	8th grade or lessSome high school, but did not graduate							
	□ Yes □ No → Go To #38	☐ High school graduate or GED☐ Some college or 2-year degree							
37c.	In the last 12 months, how much of a problem, if any, was	4-year college graduateMore than 4-year college degree							
	it to get the <u>treatment or counseling</u> you needed through your health plan?	46. Are you of Hispanic or Latino origin or descei	nt?						
	☐ A big problem☐ A small problem☐ Not a problem	☐ Yes, Hispanic or Latino☐ No, not Hispanic or Latino							
37d.	Using any number from 0 to 10, where 0 is the worst	47. What is your race? Please mark one or more	9.						
<i>0, a.</i>	treatment or counseling possible and 10 is the best treatment or counseling possible, what number would you use to rate all your treatment or counseling in the last 12 months?	 □ White □ Black or African American □ Asian □ Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islande □ American Indian or Alaskan Native 	er						
	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	48. Did someone help you complete this survey?							
	Worst treatment or Best treatment or counseling possible counseling possible	□ Yes → G o To #49							
	ABOUT YOU	□ No → THANK YOU. PLEASE RETU							
38.	In general, how would you rate your overall health?	49. How did that person help you? Mark all that	apply.						
	□ Excellent □ Fair □ Very good □ Poor □ Good	 □ Read the questions to me □ Wrote down the answers I gave □ Answered the questions for me □ Translated the questions into my language 	age						
39.	A health provider is a doctor, nurse or anyone else you would see for health care. In the past 12 months, have you seen a doctor or other health provider 3 or more times for the same condition or problem?	☐ Helped in some other way (Please print)							
	☐ Yes ☐ No → Go To #41								
40.	Is this a condition or problem that has lasted for at least 3 months? Do <u>not</u> include pregnancy or menopause.	THANK YOU FOR TAKING THE TIME TO COMP	LETE THE						
	□ Yes □ No	SURVEY! Your generous contribution will greatly a improve the health of our military community.	aid efforts to						
41.	Do you need to take medicine prescribed by a provider? Do <u>not</u> include birth control.	Return your survey in the postage-paid envelop	e. If the						
	□ Yes □ No → Go To #43	envelope is missing, please send to:							
42.	Is this medicine to treat a condition that has lasted for at least 3 months? Do <u>not</u> include pregnancy or menopause.	Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (TMA/HPAE c/o Synovate	(HA)						
	□ Yes □ No	PO Box 5030 Chicago, IL 60680-4135							
	L res L NO	Chicago, IL 00000-4133							
43.	What is your age?	Cilicago, iL 00000-4133							

Appendix C:

Child Survey Instrument





According to the Privacy Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-579), the Department of Defense is required to inform you of the purposes and use of this survey. Please read it carefully.

Authority: 10 U.S.C., Chapter 55; Section 706, Public Law 102-484; E.O. 9397.

Purpose: This survey helps health policy makers gauge beneficiary satisfaction with the current military health care system and provides valuable input from beneficiaries that will be used to improve the Military Health System.

Routine Uses: None

Disclosure: Voluntary. Failure to respond will not result in any penalty to the respondent. However, maximum participation is encouraged so that data will be as complete and representative as possible.

PRIVACY STATEMENT

Providing information in this survey is voluntary. There is no penalty nor will your benefits be affected if you choose not to respond.

However, maximum participation is encouraged so that the data will be complete and representative. Your survey response will be treated as confidential, identifying information will be used only by person engaged in, and for the purposes of, the survey research.

However, if during this survey you indicate a direct threat to harm yourself or others, we are required to forward information about that threat to appropriate authorities for action, which will likely include their contacting you.

YOUR CHILD'S HEALTH PROVIDER

<u>Please answer the questions for (POP IN SAMPLE NAME).</u> <u>Please do not answer for any other children.</u>

1.	Our records show that your child got care from the provid or at the location named below on (POP IN VISIT DATE).					
		(POP IN I	PROV	IDER	NAME or MTF)	
	Is th	at right?				
		Yes		No	→ Go то #30	
would will reas "the state of the state of t	ld see efer t his pr survey	e for health care. to the provider yo ovider." Please t	The query child think of the child on the ch	uestio d saw of that p	anyone else your child ons in this survey bookle on (POP IN VISIT DAT provider as you answer of this provider once this	E)
2.		is the provider yo ck-up or gets sick		•	e if your child needs a	
		Yes		No		
3.	How	long has your ch		en goi	ing to this provider?	

At least 6 months but less than 1 year

At least 1 year but less than 3 years At least 3 years but less than 5 years

5 years or more

					-		
	In general, my child is able to see his or her provider when needed.						
		Strongly Disagree Disagree Neither Agree r Agree Strongly Agree		agree			
3b.					vait between making your eeing a provider?		
		Same day 1 to 7 days			8 to 30 days 31 days or more		
3c.		all, how satisfied received?	d are y	ou with	n the health care your		
		Completely Dis Somewhat Diss Neither Satisfie Somewhat Sati Completely Sat	satisfie d nor l sfied	d	sfied		
	Y	OUR CHILD'S C IN THE			THIS PROVIDER		
inclu	de ca pital. L S.	re your child got Do <u>not</u> include th	when e time	he or s s your	health care. Do <u>not</u> she stayed overnight in a child went for dental care		
4.		e last 12 months provider to get ca		many i	imes did your child visit		
		None → Go To 1 time 2 3	#30	4 5 to 9	9 r more times		
5.		e last 12 months child with this pr			ke an appointment for e by phone?		
		Yes		No	→ Go тo #7		
6.	your	e last 12 months child by phone h ng this appointm	now wo	you n ould yo	nade an appointment for ou rate the ease of		
		Excellent Very good Good		Fair Poor			
7.	to ge	e last 12 months et an appointmer lition that <u>needed</u>	t for yo	our chi	ne this provider's office ld for an illness, injury, or way?		
		Yes		No	→ Go то #9		

How much do you agree with the following statement?

8.	office to get an appointment for care your child needed right away, how often did you get an appointment as soon as you thought your child needed?				<u>needed</u>	exam room. In the last 12 months, how often did your child se this provider within 15 minutes of his or her appointment time?							
		Never Almost Never Sometimes		Usually Almost Always Always				Never Almost Never Sometimes		Usually Almost Always Always			
9.	In th	e last 12 months,	, did yo	ou make any appoir your child with this		17.	thing			often did this provider explain alth in a way that was easy to			
10		Yes		No → Go To #1				Never Almost Never		Usually Almost Always			
10.	a <u>ch</u> how	In the last 12 months, when you made an appointment for a <u>check-up or routine care</u> for your child with this provider, how often did you get an appointment as soon as you thought your child needed?		is provider,	18.	☐ Sometimes ☐ Always In the last 12 months, how often did this provider listen carefully to you?							
		Never Almost Never Sometimes		Usually Almost Always Always				Never Almost Never Sometimes		Usually Almost Always Always			
11.		eduling appointme	ents?	em you had, if any, Please mark only or	ne.	19.	In the last 12 months, did you talk with this provider abou any problems or concerns you had about your child's health?						
	□ N/A – My child did not need an appointment □ I did not have any problems in scheduling							Yes		No → Go TO #21			
		 □ I could not get an appointment that worked with my schedule □ No consult or referral was in the system □ Phone was busy and I couldn't get through □ No one would answer the phone 					In the last 12 months, how often did this provider give you easy to understand instructions about taking care of these health problems or concerns?						
								Never Almost Never Sometimes		Usually Almost Always Always			
		☐ Process to schedule an appointment was too long				21.	In the last 12 months, how often did this provider seem to know the important information about your child's medical history?						
12.	with			ou phone this provice out your child during				Never Almost Never Sometimes		Usually Almost Always Always			
		Yes		No → Go TO #1	4	22.		e last 12 months, ect for what you h		often did this provider show say?			
13.	office	e during regular o	office h	you phoned this proportion yours, how often did estion that same day	you get an			Never Almost Never Sometimes		Usually Almost Always Always			
		Never Almost Never Sometimes		Usually Almost Always Always		23.		e last 12 months, ugh time with you		often did this provider spend?			
14.	In th	e last 12 months, a medical question	, did yo	ou phone this provice out your child after re				Never Almost Never Sometimes		Usually Almost Always Always			
		Yes		No → Go TO #1	6	24a.		e last 12 months, icine?	did yo	our child take any prescription			
15.	office	e after regular off	ice ho	you phoned this prurs, how often did yestion as soon as yo	ou get an	0.4		→ Go то #25					
		Never Almost Never Sometimes		Usually Almost Always Always		24.	you child	about all of the di	fferent	often did this provider talk with t prescription medicines your dicines prescribed by other			
								Never Almost Never Sometimes		Usually Almost Always Always			

25.	x-ray or other test for your child?	32.	care	possib ber wo		10 is t	he be	st hea	alth ca	re po	ssible	e, wha
	□ Yes □ No → Go To #27		0	1 :	2 3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
26.	In the last 12 months, when this provider ordered a blood test, x-ray or other test for your child, how often did		□ Wor	□ □ st healt							□ est he	□ colth
	someone from this provider's office follow up to give you those results?			possib							est ne e pos	
	□ Never □ Usually	33.	ls yo	our child	l enrolle	ed in <u>1</u>	TRICA	RE P	rime?			
	□ Almost Never □ Almost Always □ Sometimes □ Always			No, n	enrolled ot enrol ure if er	led		G o то G o то				
27.	Using any number from 0 to 10, where 0 is the worst provider possible and 10 is the best provider possible, what number would you use to rate this provider?	34.	plan	g any r possib	umber le and 1	from (10 is tl	0 to 10 he bes), who	ere 0 alth pla	an pos	ssible	
	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10			ber wo	-	use to		_	_			10
	Worst provider Best provider		0	1 .	2 3		5 □	6 □	7	8	9	10
	possible possible CLERKS AND RECEPTIONISTS			st healt possib	-						est he	
	AT THIS PROVIDER'S OFFICE	35.		your ch				Stan	dard,	<u>Extra</u>	<u>, or</u>	
28.	In the last 12 months, how often were clerks and receptionists at this provider's office as helpful as you				nave us							
	thought they should be?			No, h	ave not are if us	used	benef			<mark>о то #</mark>		
	□ Never□ Usually□ Almost Never□ Almost Always		_		ARE for					о то і		
	□ Sometimes □ Always	36.		g any r efits po								
29.	In the last 12 months, how often did clerks and receptionists at this provider's office treat you with courtesy and respect?		num	ber wo	ıld you	use to	o rate					
	□ Never □ Usually		0	1 :	2 3 1 🗆	4 □	5 □	6 □	7 □	8 □	9	10 □
	□ Almost Never □ Almost Always □ Sometimes □ Always		Wor	st bene	fits					Bes	st ben	efits sible
	YOUR CHILD'S HEALTH CARE	37.	•	time(s)	that vo	II IISE	d a no	n-mil	itary t	reatm	•	
Syst	the next two questions, thinking about the Military Health tem, how much would you agree with the following ements:	07.	(non	MTF), NOT rease mai	which o	of the are at	follow a milit	ing ex	kplain	(s) wh	ıy yol	ur child
30.	I am a partner with my health care team. They know and care about improving my child's health.				Have o				nt at a	n MTI	=	
	 □ No Opinion □ Strongly Disagree □ Somewhat Disagree □ Neither Agree nor Disagree □ Somewhat Agree □ Strongly Agree 	☐ I cannot s ☐ Referred ☐ I get bette ☐ The servi ☐ Used nor ☐ The MTF			I cannot see the same provider each time Referred to a non-MTF provider I get better care from civilian providers The services I need are not available Used non-TRICARE insurance The MTF I use has been closed Needed care because of an emergency							
31.	It feels like the Military Health System was designed just for my child.		☐ Prefer to see a regular non-MTF physician☐ I never get care at an MTF☐ MTF is too far away						n			
	 □ No Opinion □ Strongly Disagree □ Somewhat Disagree □ Neither Agree nor Disagree □ Somewhat Agree □ Strongly Agree 			Diffict Not e	ilty in g igible fo (Pleas	etting or care	to an e at ar				<u>-</u>	

37a.	In general, how would you rate your child's overall mental or emotional health now?	44. Are you male or female?
		☐ Male ☐ Female
	□ Excellent □ Fair □ Very good □ Poor □ Good	45. What is the highest grade or level of school that you have completed?
	In the last 12 months, did your child need any treatment or counseling for a personal or family problem? ☐ Yes ☐ No → Go To #38 In the last 12 months, how much of a problem, if any, was it to get the treatment or counseling your child needed	 □ 8th grade or less □ Some high school, but did not graduate □ High school graduate or GED □ Some college or 2-year degree □ 4-year college graduate □ More than 4-year college degree
	through your health plan?	46. How are you related to the child?
37d.	□ A big problem □ A small problem □ Not a problem □ Sing any number from 0 to 10, where 0 is the worst treatment or counseling possible and 10 is the best treatment or counseling possible, what number would you use to rate all your child's treatment or counseling in the last 12 months?	☐ Mother or father ☐ Grandparent ☐ Aunt or uncle ☐ Older brother or sister ☐ Other relative ☐ Legal guardian ☐ Someone else:
	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	☐ Yes → Go το #48
	Worst treatment Best treatment	□ No → THANK YOU. PLEASE RETURN THE COMPLETED SURVEY IN THE POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE
	or counseling possible or counseling possible	48. How did that person help you? Mark all that apply.
	ABOUT YOUR CHILD AND YOU	☐ Read the questions to me
38.	In general, how would you rate your child's overall health? ☐ Excellent ☐ Fair ☐ Very good ☐ Poor ☐ Good	 □ Wrote down the answers I gave □ Answered the questions for me □ Translated the questions into my language □ Helped in some other way (Please print)
39.	What is your child's age?	
	☐ Less than 1 year old YEARS OLD <i>Write in.</i>	
40.	Is your child male or female?	
	□ Male □ Female	
41.	Is your child of Hispanic or Latino origin or descent?	THANK YOU FOR TAKING THE TIME TO COMPLETE THE SURVEY! Your generous contribution will greatly aid efforts to improve the beauth of our military computation.
	☐ Yes, Hispanic or Latino☐ No, not Hispanic or Latino	improve the health of our military community.
42.	What is your child's race? Mark one or more.	Return your survey in the postage-paid envelope. If the envelope is missing, please send to:
	 □ White □ Black or African American □ Asian □ Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander □ American Indian or Alaskan Native 	Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (HA) TMA/HPAE c/o Synovate PO Box 5030
43.	What is <u>your</u> age?	Chicago, IL 60680-4135
	□ 18 to 24 □ 55 to 64 □ 25 to 34 □ 65 to 74 □ 35 to 44 □ 75 or older □ 45 to 54	